

About Project HiStories

The Project HiStories is an effort to bring people together to analyze main processes and developments in the fighting of the ruling organizations and institutions against us. The task is to analyze processes and structures of multi- and transnational economics and multinational security. Political, military and economic actions produce reality, which is presented through many forms of information. From number crunching to newspapers we pick those pieces needed to build consistent histories.

Mass Extermination

The dynamics of capitalist fighting in the Historic Crisis of Capital⁽¹⁾ to gain, restore and maintain profit and control over production and reproduction include as a method and function the extermination of millions of people.

Mass extermination was often used in many centuries. And it is continued today. The intensity of extermination (the numbers) was increased in the course of capitalist restructuring and most likely will be increased further.

The systems central counting units (control over money) combined with aggressive efforts to increase dependance upon the world markets are the main means to systematic and calculated exterminate the so-called (over)population.

The fundamental respect we have to give each other is treating the other worth living. It includes claiming the others physical and psychical integrity.

Respect is a key for trust and strength. Everyone wants to be respected. Values are a crucial problem in communication because different histories mean different values.

The moral dogma and central premise of HiStories is the right of every human being to get all the necessary means to live. The division of assets and owner-rights has to be subordinated. Much is about land and water (resources), control of food and prices, debt and money.

Communication is Critical

People disagree about everything. Apart from concrete cooperation on special issues there is no common basis of understanding and interests among many. Even within small groups we find oppression and hierarchical structures.

Maybe it could be possible to agree upon some basic facts. Another part is interpretation and that is where we disagree, build fractions and fight against each other. The problem cannot simply be solved.

- Many dogmatic and static principles block our ability to understand, what's going on. If we look through filters, which predefine interpretation, theory transforms to belief.
- It's important to realize, that interpretation and understanding of social and individual existing is never true, because everyone is different from others.
- A climate of acceptance and respect is crucial for any communication. That means listening and serious efforts to understand. Everyone knows best about his/her own life, needs and wishes.

.....

⁽¹⁾Capital is assumed to be in fundamental crisis mode since the late 1960s.

- In concentrating on analyzing the actions and functions of the dominant forces of society we can avoid disagreement between us over the right way to fight against.

However, communication and cooperation are the best way to increase knowledge and understanding.

Our Strategic Dilemma

There are No Revolutionary Politics

The end of the Cold War and communism finally opened the view on the cooperation between capitalism and communism in order to defend and stabilize power. Every national or trans- multi- international organization and system has to hold and intensify command (peace) or restore order (war).

Politics defined (quite classical) as a way to organize people to fight for political power in the frame of the state as the main force of decision making always signals a kind of acceptance and hope in the political process, which may be unfounded. As long as the privileged decide to kill millions of others year by year there can be no dialog, acceptance or even communication within politics from a radical humanistic perspective. The whole political system is part of the organization of mass extermination. Any politics is either reactionary or reformistic.

Only confrontation, destruction and disfunction are adequate answers. Avoid cooperation and integration with a society and structure which exists on the deaths of the others. Fundamental change in the long run will be a question of strength and character of the individuals.

Fundamental Contradictions in the Relations between Individuals and Others

A radical change of social organization and production depends on changed and changing acts of a majority of people. Therefore it seems to make sense to bet on mass organizations fighting for power and make use of the potential of a mass of members to gain influence.

But on the other side it is absurd to believe that a mass of people could ever agree upon or even compromise about aims and methods with far-reaching and unknown consequences for everyone. Interests and conditions are very different and often incompatible.

To deal with the problem, political organizations use ideology as a tool to bring about agreement over single and broadening issues among the many individuals which build the basis of the organization.

Rebellious movements have a phase of very intense impressions with far-reaching consequences for the participants. Organizing is mostly spontaneous and informal, a group or mass of people concentrates on central issues, identification with and engagement within the movement is strong, feelings of collectivity and strength circulate.

There is a difference between organizing and organizations. Some kind of organizing is always necessary. To some extent functions and responsibilities have to be delegated.

While organizing is a daily necessity of life, organizations are essential for systems survival.

For a while this often works pretty well. But beyond simple organizing the necessities of fighting, the dilemma begins. The process of building more complex organizational structures is connected with rising distance and a loss of understanding and influence of the individual.

Organizations power will never be the power of the individual member or supporter. The individual loses his/her influence the more power an organization gets.

There is no way to avoid the dilemma. Beyond relatively small communities, direct communication and participation, the individual loses influence and control. Fractions and leaders overtake initiative, organization structures delay change and prevent adaptation to changing conditions. The followers don't think and understand more about themselves and their lives. Instead they learn to reproduce what's coming down from authorities.

There is no scarcity of revolutions. But most revolutions mean only a replacement of those in command and their way to rule, exploit, arrest and kill. We have not been able to get the power and means to decide for ourselves, how we want to live.

The fundamental contradictions in the relations between individuals and others should not be further ignored. In the long run no organization can prevent 'entfremdung' from participating people. Mass organizations aren't an adequate way to realize freedom of scarcity and self-determination.

Let us concentrate on the strength of the individual for him/herself, in and against a mass of people, against control and oppression from society. Self-determination and organizing should be extended and organizations power reduced.

Against Politics

Against politics primary means not to delegate responsibilities beyond the frame of my reach. Exactly opposite we should fight for competence and control over all central parts of own's life. That includes to overcome dependencies from markets and money for reproduction. Autarky as reaction against power, violence and war. Don't trust any authorities.