

Department of the Air Force

Military Construction Program

Fiscal Year (FY) 2010 Budget Estimates

Justification Data Submitted to Congress
May 2009

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 2010 TABLE OF CONTENTS

eneral PAGE NU	MBER
Table of Contents1	
Program Summary3	
<u>lilitary Construction</u>	
State Summary (List of Projects)5	
New Mission / Current Mission Exhibit11	
Installation Index15	
Special Program Considerations	
Statements17	
Congressional Reporting Requirements18	
Research and Development20	
Third Party Financing21	
Appropriation Language23	
Projects Inside the United States25	
Projects Outside the United States211	
Unspecified Minor Construction263	
Planning and Design265	
verseas Contingency Operations	
Table of Content269	
Index By Priority271	
Program Summary273	
Military Construction Projects DD Form 1391s275	

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 2010 TABLE OF CONTENTS

Family Housing	PAGE NUMBER
Narrative Summary	345
Index	361
Summary	363
Legislative Language	365
New Construction	367
Post Acquisition Construction	369
Advanced Planning & Design	377
O&M Summary	379
Operations	389
Utilities	399
Maintenance	403
Maintenance & Repair Over \$20K	407
GFOQ O&M Costs	409
Reimbursable Program	413
Leasing	415
Housing Privatization	423
Foreign Currency Exchange Data	435

Department of the Air Force

Military Construction and Military Family Housing

Program Summary Fiscal Year 2010

	Appropriation Request	Authorization Request
	<u>(\$000s)</u>	<u>(\$000s)</u>
Military Construction	(Sec 2301)	(Sec 2304)
Inside the United States	644,169	644,169
Outside the United States	400,902	400,902
Planning and Design (10 USC 2807)	82,363	82,363
Unspecified Minor Construction (10 USC 2805	5)18,000	18,000
Total Military Construction	1,145,434	1,145,434
Military Family Housing	(Sec 2302/2303)	(Sec 2304)
New Construction	0	0
Improvements	61,787	61,787
Planning and Design	4,314	4,314
Subtotal	66,101	66,101
Operations, Utilities, and Maintenance	345,714	345,714
Leasing	103,406	103,406
Privatization	53,816	53,816
Subtotal	502,936	502,936
Total Military Family Housing	569,037	569,037
Grand Total Air Force	1,714,471	1,714,471

Page Intentionally Left Blank

INDEX

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 2010 (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS) INSIDE THE US

STATE/COUNTRY ALASKA	INSTALLATION Clear Elmendorf	PROJECT Power Plant Facility Red Flag Alaska Add/Alter Operations Center (TFI)		APPROP REQUEST 24,300 3,100	AUTH REQUEST 24,300 3,100	PAGE 26 30
	Elmendorf	F-22 Weapons Load Training Facility (TFI)		12,600	12,600	33
			Clear TOTAL:	24,300	24,300	
			Elmendorf TOTAL:	15,700	15,700	
			ALASKA TOTAL:	40,000	40,000	
ARIZONA	Davis-Monthan	Dormitory (144 Room)		20,000	20,000	37
	Davis-Monthan Davis-Monthan	HC - 130J Infrastructure HC - 130J Squadron Operations Facility		4,800 8,700	4,800 8,700	41 44
	Davis-Monthan	HC-130J Simulator Facility		8,400	8,400	47
			Davis-Monthan TOTAL:	41,900	41,900	
			ARIZONA TOTAL:	41,900	41,900	
A DIZ A NIC A C	Little Deels	C 120 Flight Simulaton Addition		5 000	5 800	
ARKANSAS	Little Rock	C-130 Flight Simulator Addition		5,800	5,800	
			Little Rock TOTAL:	5800	5800	50
			ARKANSAS TOTAL	5800	5800	
CALIFORNIA	Travis	KC-10 Cargo Load Training Facility		6,900	6,900	54
			Travis TOTAL:	6,900	6,900	
	Vandenberg	Child Development Center		13,000	13,000	58
			Vandenberg TOTAL:	13,000	13,000	
			CALIFORNIA TOTAL:	19,900	19,900	
COLOBADO	Determine	C 120 C L On CAMIL (TEI)		5 200	5 200	(2)
COLORADO	Peterson	C-130 Squadron Operations/AMU (TFI) National Security Space Institute		5,200 19,900	5,200 19,900	62 65
		• •		,		
			Peterson TOTAL:	25,100	25,100	
	USAF Academy	Cadet Fitness Center Addition		17,500	17,500	69
			USAF Academy TOTAL:	17,500	17,500	
			COLORADO TOTAL:	42,600	42,600	
DELAWARE	Dover	Consolidated Communications Facility		12,100	12,100	73
DELAWARE	Dovei	C-5 Cargo Aircraft Maintenance Training Facility, Pha	ase 1	5,300	5,300	76
			Dorror TOTAL.	17 400	17 400	
			Dover TOTAL: _ DELAWARE TOTAL:	17,400 17,400	17,400 17,400	
EL OBID I	T. 1.	D '4 (0(D)	- -	11 000	11 000	00
FLORIDA	Eglin	Dormitory (96 Room) F-35 Duke Control Tower		11,000 3,420	11,000 3,420	80 83
		F-35 JP8 Flightline Fillstands		5,400	5,400	86
		F-35 POL Operations Facility		3,180	3,180	89
		F-35 JP8 West Side Bulk Fuel Tank Upgrades		960	960	92
		F-35 Live Ordinance Load Facility F-35 Aircraft Prking Apron		9,900 16,400	9,900 16,400	95 98
		F-35 Hydrant Refueling System, Phase 1		8,100	8,100	101
		F-35 Parallel Taxiway Ladder		1,440	1,440	104
			Eglin TOTAL:	59,800	59,800	
			-	,		
	Hurlburt	Refueling Vehicle Maintenance Facility Electrical Distribution Substation		2,200 8,300	2,200 8,300	108 111
		Dictifical Distribution Substation		0,500	0,500	111
			Hurlburt TOTAL:	10,500	10,500	
	MacDill	Dormitory (120 Room)		16,000	16,000	115
		Child Development Center		7,000	7,000	118
		CENTCOM Commandant Facility		15,300	15,300	121
			MacDill TOTAL:	38,300	38,300	
			FLORIDA TOTAL:	108,600	108,600	
HAWAII	Wheeler	Construct ASOC Complex		15,000	15,000	125
			Wheeten Anne-TOTAL	15 000	15 000	
			Wheeter Annex TOTAL: HAWAII TOTAL:	15,000 15,000	15,000 15,000	
			· -	,	,	

INDEX

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 2010 (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS) INSIDE THE US

STATE/COUNTRY	INSTALLATION	PROJECT	APPROP REQUEST	AUTH REQUEST	PAGE
IDAHO	Mountain Home	Logistics Readiness Center	20,000	20,000	129
		Mountain Home TOTAL		20,000	
		IDAHO TOTAL	·	20,000	
MARYLAND	Andrews	Munitions Storage Area (TFI)	9,300	9,300	133
		Andrews TOTAL Maryland TOTAL		9,300 9,300	
NEW MEXICO	Cannon	Consolidated Communications Facility	15,000	15,000	137
		Cannon TOTAL	:15,000	15,000	
	Holloman	F-22 ConsolidatedMunitions Maintenance Facility (TFI)	5,500	5,500	141
		Holloman TOTAL	5,500	5,500	
	Kirtland	HC-130J Simulator Facility MC-130J Simulator Facility	8,700 8,000	8,700 8,000	145 148
		Kirtland TOTAL		16,700	
		NEW MEXICO TOTAL	: 37,200	37,200	
NORTH DAKOTA	Minot Minot	MHU-196 Munitions Trailer Storage Facility Missile Procedures Training Operations Facility	1,500 10,000	1,500 10,000	152 155
		Minot TOTAL NORTH DAKOTA TOTAL		11,500 11,500	
NEVADA	Creech	UAS AT/FP Security Upgrades (TFI)	2,700	2,700	159
		Creech TOTAL		2,700	
		NEVADA TOTAL	2,700	2,700	
ОНЮ	Wright Patterson	Conversion for Advance Power and Thermal Research Laboratory Information Technology Complex	21,000 27,000	21,000 27,000	163 167
		Wright Patterson TOTAL OHIO TOTAL		48,000 48,000	
OKLAHOMA	Altus	Repair Taxiway	20,300	20,300	171
		Altus TOTAL	:20,300	20,300	
	Tinker	Building 3001 Hangar Door	13,037	13,037	175
		Tinker TOTAL	: 13,037	13,037	
		OKLAHOMA TOTAL		33,337	
TEXAS	Dyess	C-130J Alter Hangar	4,500	4,500	178
		Dyess TOTAL	:4,500	4,500	
	Goodfellow	Student Dormitory (100 Room) Joint Intel Technical Training Facility, Phase I (TFI)	14,000 18,400	14,000 18,400	182 185
		Goodfellow TOTAL	:32,400	32,400	
	Lackland	BMT Recruit Dormitory, Phase 2	77,000	77,000	189
		BMT Satellite Classrom/Dining Facility, No. 1 Evasion, Conduct After Capture Training Facility	32,000 4,879	32,000 4,879	192 195
		Lackland TOTAL		113,879	
		TEXAS TOTAL	: 150,779	150,779	
UTAH	Hill	F-22 Radar Cross Section Testing Facility	21,053	21,053	199
		Hill TOTAL UTAH TOTAL		21,053 21,053	
VIRGINIA	Langley	West & LaSalle Gates Force Protection/Access	10,000	10,000	203

INDEX

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 2010 (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS) INSIDE THE US

STATE/COUNTRY	INSTALLATION	PROJECT		APPROP REQUEST	AUTH REQUEST	PAGE
			Langley TOTAL:	10,000	10,000	
			Virginia TOTAL: _	10,000	10,000	
WYOMING	FE Warren	Add/Alter Missile Services Complex		9,100	9,100	207
			FE Warren TOTAL:	9,100	9,100	
			WYOMING TOTAL:	9,100	9,100	
			INSIDE THE US TOTAL:	644,169	644,169	

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE INDEX MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 2010 (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS) OUTSIDE THE U.S.

STATE/COUNTRY AFGHANISTAN	INSTALLATION Bagram	PROJECT Passenger Terminal	APPROP REQUEST 22,000	AUTH REQUEST 22,000	PAGE 211
		Bagram TOTAL	22,000 22,000	22,000 22,000	
COLUMBIA	Palanquero	Air Base Development	46,000	46,000	215
		Palanquero TOTAL COLUMBIA TOTAL	46,000 46,000	46,000 46,000	
GERMANY	Ramstein Ramstein	Contingency Response Group Compound Construct Aerospace Ground Equipment maintenance Complex	23,200 11,500	23,200 11,500	219 223
		Ramstein TOTAL:	34,700	34,700	
	Spangdahlem	Fitness Center	23,500	23,500	227
		Spangdahlem TOTAL: GERMANY TOTAL:	23,500 58,200	23,500 58,200	
GUAM	Andersen	NW Field Combat Support Vehicle Maintenance Facility (TFI) NW Field ATFP Perimeter Fence and Road (TFI)	15,500 4,752	15,500 4,752	231 234
		NW Field Commando Warrier Operations Facility (TFI) Strike FOL Electrical Infrastructure (TFI)	4,200 33,750	4,200 33,750	237 240
		Andersen TOTAL:	58,202 58,202	58,202 58,202	
ITALY	Sigonella	Global Hawk Aircraft Maintenance and Operations Complex	31,300	31,300	244
		Sigonella TOTAL:	31,300 31,300	31,300 31,300	
OMAN	Al Musannah Al Musannah	Airlift Ramp and Fuel Facilities WRM Compound	69,000 47,000	69,000 47,000	248 251
		Al Musannah TOTALOMAN TOTAL	116,000 116,000	116,000 116,000	
QATAR	Al Udeid	Blatchford-Preston Complex PH II	60,000	60,000	254
		Al Udeid Total	60,000 60,000	60,000	
TURKEY	Incirlik	Consolidated Community Center	9,200	9,200	258
		Incirlik TOTAL: TURKEY TOTAL:	9,200 9,200	9,200 9,200	
		OUTSIDE THE US TOTAL: _	400,902	400,902	

May 2009

8

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE INDEX

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 2010 (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS) WORLDWIDE

${\mathbb E}$
62
63
6

Page Intentionally Left Blank

DEFINITIONS OF NEW AND CURRENT MISSION

<u>NEW MISSION PROJECTS</u> - New mission projects all support new and additional programs or initiatives that do not revitalize the existing physical plant. These projects support the deployment and beddown of new weapons systems; new or additional aircraft, missile, and space projects; and new equipment, i.e. radar, communication, computer satellite tracking and electronic security. Planning and design and unspecified minor construction (P-341) are also included in this category.

<u>CURRENT MISSION PROJECTS</u> - These projects revitalize the existing facility plant by replacing or upgrading existing facilities and alleviating long-standing deficiencies not generated by new missions or equipment. Included are projects to improve the quality of life, upgrade the workplace, enhance productivity, and achieve compliance with environmental, health and safety standards.

<u>FY10</u>	APPROP (\$000)	AUTH FOR APPROP (\$000)
NEW MISSION	\$211,232	\$211,232
CURRENT MISSION	\$833,839	\$833,839
PLANNING & DESIGN	\$82,363	\$82,363
MINOR CONSTRUCTION	<u>\$18,000</u>	<u>\$18,000</u>
TOTAL:	\$1,145,434	\$1,145,434

INDEX

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 2010 (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

CURRENT MISSION/NEW MISSION BREAKOUT

			APPROP	AUTH	
STATE/COUNTRY	INSTALLATION	PROJECT	REQUEST	REQUEST	TYPE
AFGHANISTAN	BAGRAM	Passenger Terminal	\$22,000	\$22,000	\mathbf{CM}
ALASKA	CLEAR	Power Plant Facility	\$24,300	\$24,300	CM
ARIZONA	DAVIS-MONTHAN	Dormitory (144 RM)	\$20,000	\$20,000	\mathbf{CM}
CALIFORNIA	VANDENBERG	Child Development Center	\$13,000	\$13,000	\mathbf{CM}
COLOMBIA	PALANQUERO	Air Base Development	\$46,000	\$46,000	\mathbf{CM}
COLORADO	PETERSON	National Security Space Institute	\$19,900	\$19,900	\mathbf{CM}
COLORADO	USAF ACADEMY	Cadet Fitness Center Addition	\$17,500	\$17,500	\mathbf{CM}
DELAWARE	DOVER	Consolidated Communications Facility	\$12,100	\$12,100	\mathbf{CM}
FLORIDA	EGLIN	Dormitory (96 RM)	\$11,000	\$11,000	\mathbf{CM}
FLORIDA	HURLBURT	Refueling Vehicle Maintenance Facility	\$2,200	\$2,200	\mathbf{CM}
FLORIDA	HURLBURT	Electrical Distribution Substation	\$8,300	\$8,300	\mathbf{CM}
FLORIDA	MACDILL	Dormitory (120 RM)	\$16,000	\$16,000	\mathbf{CM}
FLORIDA	MACDILL	Child Development Center	\$7,000	\$7,000	\mathbf{CM}
FLORIDA	MACDILL	SOCCENT Commandant & Cultural Engagement Group Facility	\$15,300	\$15,300	\mathbf{CM}
GERMANY	RAMSTEIN	Contingency Response Group Compound	\$23,200	\$23,200	\mathbf{CM}
GERMANY	RAMSTEIN	Construct Aerospace Ground Equipment Maintenance Complex	\$11,500	\$11,500	CM
GERMANY	SPANGDAHLEM	Fitness Center	\$23,500	\$23,500	\mathbf{CM}
GUAM	ANDERSON	NW Field Combat Support Vehicle Maintenance Facility (TFI)	\$15,500	\$15,500	\mathbf{CM}
GUAM	ANDERSON	NW Field ATFP Perimeter Fence and Road (TFI)	\$4,752	\$4,752	\mathbf{CM}
GUAM	ANDERSON	NW Field Commando Warrior Operations Facility (TFI)	\$4,200	\$4,200	\mathbf{CM}
GUAM	ANDERSON	Strike FOL Electrical Infrastructure (TFI)	\$33,750	\$33,750	\mathbf{CM}
IDAHO	MOUNTAIN-HOME	Logistics Readiness Center	\$20,000	\$20,000	\mathbf{CM}
MARYLAND	ANDREWS	Munitions Storage Area (TFI)	\$9,300	\$9,300	\mathbf{CM}
NEW MEXICO	CANNON	Consolidated Communications Facility	\$15,000	\$15,000	\mathbf{CM}
NORTH DAKOTA	MINOT	MHU-196 Munitions Trailer Storage Operations Facility	\$1,500	\$1,500	\mathbf{CM}
NORTH DAKOTA	MINOT	Missile Procedures Training Operations Facility	\$10,000	\$10,000	\mathbf{CM}
OHIO	WRIGHT PATTERSON	Conversion for Advanced Power and Thermal Research Lab	\$21,000	\$21,000	\mathbf{CM}
OHIO	WRIGHT PATTERSON	Information Technology Complex	\$27,000	\$27,000	\mathbf{CM}
OKALAHOMA	ALTUS	Repair Taxiways	\$20,300	\$20,300	\mathbf{CM}
OKALAHOMA	TINKER	Building 3001 Hangar Door	\$13,037	\$13,037	\mathbf{CM}
OMAN	AL MUSANNAH	Airlift Ramp and Fuel Facilities	\$69,000	\$69,000	\mathbf{CM}
OMAN	AL MUSANNAH	WRM Compound	\$47,000	\$47,000	\mathbf{CM}
QATAR	AL UDEID	Blatchford-Preston Complex PH II	\$60,000	\$60,000	\mathbf{CM}
TEXAS	GOODFELLOW	Student Dormitory (100 RM)	\$14,000	\$14,000	CM

INDEX

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 2010 (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

CURRENT MISSION/NEW MISSION BREAKOUT

			APPROP	AUTH	
STATE/COUNTRY	INSTALLATION	PROJECT	REQUEST	REQUEST	
TEXAS	GOODFELLOW	Joint Intel Technical Training Facility, Phase I (TFI)	\$18,400	\$18,400	CM
TEXAS	LACKLAND	BMT Recruit Dormitory Phase 2	\$77,000	\$77,000	CM
TEXAS	LACKLAND	BMT Satellite Classroom/Dining Facility No.1	\$32,000	\$32,000	CM
TURKEY	INCIRLIK	Consolidated Community Center	\$9,200	\$9,200	CM
VIRGINIA	LANGLEY	West & LaSalle Gates Force Protection/Access	\$10,000	\$10,000	CM
WYOMING	FE WARREN	ADAL Missile Service Complex	\$9,100	\$9,100	CM
		Current Mission TOTAL:	\$833,839	\$833,839	
ALASKA	Elmendorf	Red Flag Alaska Add/Alter Operations Center (TFI)	\$3,100	\$3,100	NM
ALASKA	Elmendorf	F-22 Weapons Load Training Facility (TFI)	\$12,600	\$12,600	NM
ARIZONA	Davis-Monthan	HC-130J Infrastructure	\$4,800	\$4,800	NM
ARIZONA	Davis-Monthan	HC-130J RQS Operations Facility	\$8,700	\$8,700	NM
ARIZONA	Davis-Monthan	HC-130J Simulator Facility	\$8,400	\$8,400	NM
ARKANSAS	Little Rock	C-130 Flight Simulator Addition	\$5,800	\$5,800	NM
CALIFORNIA	Travis	KC-10 Cargo Load Training Facility	\$6,900	\$6,900	NM
COLORADO	Peterson	C-130 Squadron Ops/AMU (TFI)	\$5,200	\$5,200	NM
DELAWARE	Dover	C-5 Cargo Aircraft Maintenance Training Facility, Phase 1	\$5,300	\$5,300	NM
FLORIDA	Eglin	F-35 Duke Control Tower	\$3,420	\$3,420	NM
FLORIDA	Eglin	F-35 JP8 Flighline Fillstands	\$5,400	\$5,400	NM
FLORIDA	Eglin	F-35 POL Ops Facility	\$3,180	\$3,180	NM
FLORIDA	Eglin	F-35 JP-8 West Side Bulk Fuel Tank Upgrades	\$960	\$960	NM
FLORIDA	Eglin	F-35 Live Ordinance Load Facility	\$9,900	\$9,900	NM
FLORIDA	Eglin	F-35 A/C Parking Apron	\$16,400	\$16,400	NM
FLORIDA	Eglin	F-35 Hydrant Refueling System Phase I	\$8,100	\$8,100	NM
FLORIDA	Eglin	F-35 Parallel Taxiway Ladder	\$1,440	\$1,440	NM
HAWAII	Wheeler Annex	Upgrade ASOC Complex	\$15,000	\$15,000	NM
ITALY	Sigonella	Global Hawk Aircraft Maintenance and Operations Complex	\$31,300	\$31,300	NM
NEVADA	Creech	UAS AT/FP Security Upgrades (TFI)	\$2,700	\$2,700	NM
NEW MEXICO	Holloman	F-22 Consolidated Munitions Maintenance (TFI)	\$5,500	\$5,500	NM
NEW MEXICO	Kirtland	HC-130J Simulator Facility	\$8,700	\$8,700	NM
NEW MEXICO	Kirtland	MC-130J Simulator Facility	\$8,000	\$8,000	NM
TEXAS	Dyess	C-130J Alter Hangar	\$4,500	\$4,500	NM
TEXAS	Lackland	Evasion, Conduct After Capture Training Facility	\$4,879	\$4,879	NM
UTAH	Hill	F-22 Radar Cross Section Testing Facility	\$21,053	\$21,053	NM
		New Mission TOTAL:	\$211,232	\$211,232	
VARIOUS LOCATIONS	Various	Planning and Design	\$82,363	\$82,363	P&D
VARIOUS LOCATIONS	Various	Unspecified Minor Construction	\$18,000	\$18,000	P-341
		Central Program TOTAL:	\$100,363	\$100,363	
		Active AF Program TOTAL:	1,145,434	1,145,434	

May 2009

13

Page Intentionally Left Blank

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 2010 INSTALLATION INDEX

INSTALLATION	COMMAND	STATE/COUNTRY	PAGE
AL MUSANNAH	CENTCOM	OMAN	248
ALTUS	AETC	OKLAHOMA	170
AL UDEID	CENTCOM	QATAR	254
ANDERSEN	PACAF	GUAM	231
BAGRAM	CENTCOM	AFGHANISTAN	211
ANDREWS	AFDW	MARYLAND	132
CANNON	AFSOC	NEW MEXICO	136
CLEAR	AFSPC	ALASKA	25
CREECH	ACC	NEVADA	158
DAVIS-MONTHAN	ACC	ARIZONA	37
DOVER	AMC	DELAWARE	72
DYESS	ACC	TEXAS	177
EGLIN	AFMC	FLORIDA	79
ELMENDORF	PACAF	ALASKA	29
F.E. WARREN	AFSPC	WYOMING	206
GOODFELLOW	AETC	TEXAS	181
HILL	AFMC	UTAH	198
HOLLOMAN	ACC	NEW MEXICO	140
HURLBURT	AFSOC	FLORIDA	107
INCIRLIK	USAFE	TURKEY	258
KIRTLAND	AFMC	NEW MEXICO	144
LACKLAND	AETC	TEXAS	188
LANGLEY	ACC	VIRGINIA	202
LITTLE ROCK	AMC	ARKANAS	50
MACDILL	AMC	FLORIDA	114
MINOT	ACC	NORTH DAKOTA	151
MOUNTAIN HOME	ACC	IDAHO	128
PALANQUERO	ACC	COLUMBIA	215
PETERSON	AFSPC	COLORADO	61
RAMSTEIN	USAFE	GERMANY	219
SIGONELLA	USAFE	ITALY	244
SPANGDAHLEM	USAFE	GERMANY	227
TINKER	AFMC	OKLAHOMA	174
TRAVIS	AMC	CALIFORNIA	53
USAF ACADEMY	USAFA	COLORADO	68
VANDENBERG	AFSPC	CALIFORNIA	57
WHEELER ANNEX	PACAF	HAWAII	124
WRIGHT - PATTERSON	AFMC	ОНЮ	162

Page Intentionally Left Blank

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 2010

ECONOMIC CONSIDERATIONS

An economic evaluation has been accomplished for all projects costing over \$2 million and the results are addressed in the individual DD Forms 1391.

DESIGN FOR ACCESSIBILITY OF PHYSICALLY HANDICAPPED PERSONNEL

In accordance with Public Law, 90-480, provisions for physically handicapped personnel will be provided for, where appropriate, in the design of facilities included in this program.

ENVIRONMENTAL STATEMENT

In accordance with Section 102(2) (c) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (PL 91-190), the environmental impact analysis process (EIAP) has been completed or is actively underway for all projects in the Air Force FY 2010 Military Construction Program.

EVALUATION OF FLOOD PLAINS AND WETLANDS

All projects in the program have been evaluated for compliance with Executive Orders 11988, Flood Plain Management, and 11990, Protection of Wetlands, and the Flood Plain Management Guidelines of U.S. Water Resources Council. Projects have been sited to avoid or reduce the risk of flood loss, minimize the impact of floods on human safety, health and welfare, preserve and enhance the natural and beneficial values of wetlands and minimize the destruction, loss or degradation of wetlands.

FY 2010

CONGRESSIONAL REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

1. STATEMENTS ON NATO ELIGIBILITY

These are in response to the requirement in the FY 1988 Senate Appropriations Committee Report, 100-200, page 13, and are included in the appropriate project justification.

2. STATEMENTS ON COMPLIANCE WITH CONSTRUCTION MANUAL 4210.1M

These are in response to the requirement in the FY 1988 Senate Appropriations Conference Report, 100-498, page 1003, and are included in each project justification.

3. NEW AND CURRENT MISSION ACTIVITIES

The FY 1989 Senate Appropriations Committee Report, 100-380, pages 10 and 11, identified a requirement to include an exhibit in the budget justification books that displayed required projects in two separate categories: New Mission and Current Mission. The CM (current mission) or NM (new mission) designation, which follows the project on the listing at page 9, identifies each project as new or current mission. Additionally, each justification in Block 11 of the DD Form 1391 indicates whether the project supports a new or current mission.

4. RESOLUTION TRUST CORPORATION ASSETS

The FY 1991 Senate Armed Services Committee Report, 101-384, requested the Department to screen Resolution Trust Corporation assets to determine if proposed construction projects could be more economically met through the purchase of existing assets held by the Resolution Trust Corporation. The FY07 Military Construction program was compared to the current real estate asset inventory published by the Resolution Trust Corporation. It was determined, and the Department certified, that no assets exist that can be economically used in lieu of the FY10 projects requested.

5. REAL PROPERTY MAINTENANCE

The FY 1997 House Appropriations Committee Report, 104-591, page 11, requested the Department to provide the real property maintenance backlog at all installations for which there is a requested construction project. Each DD Form 1390 reflects this information in block 12. In addition, the report requested all troop housing requests to show all real property maintenance conducted in the past two years and all future requirements for unaccompanied housing at that installation. Each DD Form 1391 for troop housing reflects this information in block 11.

6. METRIC CONVERSION

The FY 1999 House Appropriation Committee Report, 105-578, page 11, requested the Department to ensure that any Form 1390/1391, which is presented as justification in metric measurement, shall include parenthetically the English measurement. Each DD Form 1391 reflects the metric and English equivalent in block 11.

FY 2010

NON-MILCON FUNDING

Research and Development (RDT&E) NONE

FY 2010

THIRD PARTY FINANCING

Test of long-term facilities contracts

NONE

Page Intentionally Left Blank

APPROPRIATIONS LANGUAGE

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE

For acquisition, construction, installation, and equipment of temporary or permanent public works, military installations, facilities, and real property of the Air Force as currently authorized by law \$1,145,434,000 to remain available until September 30, 2014: Provided that, of this amount, not to exceed \$82,363,000 shall be available for study, planning, design, architect and engineer services, as authorized by law, unless the Secretary of Defense determines that additional obligations are necessary for such purposes and notifies the Committees on Appropriations of both Houses of Congress of his determination and the reasons therefore.

Page Intentionally Left Blank

4 COMPONENT		EV 004	O BALL	ITADV (CONCT	LICTIC	N DDOG	DAM	lo DATE			
 COMPONENT AIR FORCE 		FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM 2. DATE										
INSTALLATION AND												
CLEAR AIR FORCE					IAND. DRCE SI	DACE		COST IN				
ALASKA	COMM		ACE		2.25	NDEX						
	DE		_			TC	CLI		-D			
6. Personnel		RMANENT			TUDEN ENL			PPORTE ENL		TOTAL		
Strength	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF		CIV	OFF 15	92	CIV	TOTAL		
AS OF 30 Sep 08 END FY 2014	4 4	0 0	56 56			0	15 15	92 92		368 368		
		-	50	U	U	U	10	92	201	300		
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)												
_	Total Acreage: 11,438 Inventory Total as of: (30 Sep 08) 187,706,473											
										187,706,473		
Authorization Not Ye		•								24 200		
Authorization Reques		_	•							24,300		
Planned in Next Five		ogram:								13,170		
Remaining Deficienc Grand Total:	y.									36,500 187,780,443		
8. PROJECTS REQ	LICCTED	IN THIS D	DOCE) A N / I ·			/EV 201	0)		107,700,443		
CATEGORY	OESTED	IN THIS P	KUGF	KAIVI.			(FY 201	,	DECION	CTATUC		
CODE		T TITI E				SCODE			DESIGN			
	PROJEC					SCOPE	SOPE \$,000 START CMPL 1 LS 24,300 Design Build					
811-147	Power Pi	ant Facility	/			Total	LS			sulia		
						TOtal		24,300				
9a. Future Projects:	Typical F	Planned Ne	ext Five	e Years								
730-142	Fire Stati					1,920	SM	13,170				
						Total		13,170				
								-, -				
9c. Real Property Ma	aintenanc	e Backlog	This Ir	nstallatio	on (\$M)				7.1			
10. Mission or Major	· Function	s: Clear A	FS is a	an Air N	ational (Guard in:	stallation	with a A	ctive Air I	Force/Air		
National Guard space	e warning	mission.	The 13	3th/213t	h Space	Warning	g Squadi	rons prov	ide early	warning of		
sea-launched and int	ercontine	ntal ballisti	c miss	iles to t	he North	Americ	an Aeros	space De	fense Co	mmand's		
Missile Correlation C	enter loca	ated at Che	eyenne	Mounta	ain Air F	orce Sta	ition, CO	. Space	situation	awareness		
and tactical warning	of ballistic	missile at	tacks a	against t	the Unite	ed States	s and Ca	ınada is p	oart of the	Ballistic		
Missile Early Warnin	g System	. Also prov	vide sp	ace sur	veillance	e data oi	n orbiting	objects	to the Un	ited States		
Strategic Command's	s Joint Sp	ace Opera	tions (Center a	t Vande	nberg Ai	ir Force I	Base, Ca	lif.			
11. Outstanding poll	ution and	Safety (OS	SHA) F)eficien	cies:							
a. Air pollution	adon and	Sursty (Ot	J. 17 1) L	2011010111				0				
a. 7 iii poliation								J				
b. Water Pollutio	n							0				
								_				
c. Occupational	c. Occupational Safety and Health 0											
o. o coapational	o., an							Ū				
d. Other Environ	mental							0				
d. Saist Entrioning												

DD Form 1390, 24 Jul 00

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)

2. DATE

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

CLEAR AIR STATION, ALASKA

4. PROJECT TITLE
POWER PLANT FACILITY

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

35909 811-147 DXEB043001 24,300

	9.	COST	ESTI	(ATES	}		
ITEM				U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES							19,194
POWER PLANT FACILITY				SM	400	13,800	(5,520)
GENERATOR SETS (2 MW) & SWITCHGEAR				EA	3	1,950,000	(5,850)
POWER FEEDERS				LS			(750)
RF SHIELDING				LS			(4,720)
4 MW LOAD BANK				EA	1	808,000	(808)
110'-HIGH EXHAUST STACK				EA	1	825,000	(825)
OVERHEAD BUILDING CRANE				EA	1	250,000	(250)
ANTI-TERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION				LS			(352)
SDD & EPACT05				LS			(119)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES							2,539
UTILITIES				LS			(1,086)
PAVEMENTS				LS			(270)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS				LS			(729)
COMMUNICATIONS				LS			(454)
SUBTOTAL							21,733
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)							1,087
TOTAL CONTRACT COST							22,820
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD)	(6.5	%)				1,483
TOTAL REQUEST							24,303
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)							24,300

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Facility shall be radio frequency (RF) shielded in walls, floor and ceiling along with necessary power, communications, alarm, control filters, RF doors, weather protection, shielded air vent with honeycomb filters, sprinklers, etc in accordance with MILSTD 188.125.1. Relocate existing underground utilities, security alarms and structures as required. Install three independently operable 2 megawatt (MW) diesel-generators in a new structure adjacent to bldg 800 with a minimum capacity of 6MW. The new generator sets will provide automatic start and load transfer capabilities on loss of installation prime power, and will be capable of manual starting. System will also include an automatic transfer switch (ATS), which will disconnect power feeders from existing power plant. Also included will be a means to physically disconnect power feeders. All three generators will be operator-configured to maintain frequency control, or to provide power, while following bus frequency. Each shall be configured for load control if installation-supplied power is on-line and managing frequency control. Install a 4 MW load bank to test generators at full load and maintain Power Plant operability. Install a new 4,000 gallon above ground storage tank (AST). The AST shall be manifolded into the existing 30,000 gallon tank utilizing automatic fail-safe shut off valves. The resulting tank system shall supply the two new generators two fire pumps for the 30 day requirement. The work shall include all required design, testing and certification of the generators and RF shielding. The facility will be connected to bldg 800 by means of a permanent at-grade passageway within the existing controlled area. This project

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE							
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)							
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE									
CLEAR AIR STAT	TION, AL	ASKA		POWER PLANT E	FACILITY				
5. PROGRAM ELI	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)			
35909 811-147 DXEB043001 24,300						00			
	comply with DOD entitementary/ferge protection requirements now Unified Engilities								

comply with DOD antiterrorism/force protection requirements per Unified Facilities Criteria.

11. Requirement: 32060 KW Adequate: 26060 KW Substandard: 6000 KW

PROJECT: Power Plant Facility. (Current Mission)

REQUIREMENT: Provide reliable, fast starting back-up power necessary for the site's critical mission by installing three 2 MW diesel-generators in a new radio frequency (RF) shielded structure. The diesel-generators will permit one power plant boiler / turbine to operate during times of low load, while maintaining available and reliable power. The diesel-generators will provide automatic start and load transfer on loss of installation-supplied power, and capability to operate in conjunction with installation-supplied power. An additional requirement is to support the Upgraded Early Warning Radar.

<u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> The existing power plant was originally designed to produce site power using three coal fired boiler / turbine units. Through completion of the mission radar upgrades in 2001, the site power and heating loads have been greatly reduced. Due to the critical nature of the mission to national defense, reliable and redundant power is essential. Currently two boiler / turbine units must be in operation in case of failure one unit. During summer months and other periods of low load, the boilers are operating at such minimum outputs that there is insufficient steam pressure to perform required soot blows, which can result in catastrophic failures of the boilers. The existing power plant is the only source of back-up power for building 800.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If the new back-up diesel generators are not installed, the power plant will be required to run two boiler / turbine units making it nearly impossible to perform operational soot blows. This may lead to possible catastrophic boiler failure and ultimately compromising national security. Furthermore, the mission building will continue to operate under a less than favorable power availability mode and be susceptible to boiler failures or power plant disabilities. Continuing to run two boilers will burn excessive amounts of coal, destroying a non-renewable energy source as well as senselessly wasting valuable government assets.

<u>ADDITIONAL</u>: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements". A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovation, upgrade/removal, new construction, leasing) was done. It indicates there is only one option that will satisfy statutory requirements/will meet operational requirements. Because of this a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the design, development, and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423 and otjer applicable laws and Executive Orders. Base Civil Engineer: LTC Gary Schneider, (719)556-7631. Power Plant Facility: 400 SM = 4,305 SF.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: Mission requirements, operational considerations, and location are incompatable with use by other components.

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

1. COMPONENT		DATA	2. DATE					
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE								
CLEAR AIR STA	CLEAR AIR STATION, ALASKA POWER PLANT FACILITY							
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PF	ROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)		
35909	5909 811-147 DXEB043001 24,300							
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:								

- a. Estimated Design Data:
 - (1) Project to be accomplished by design-build procedures
 - (2) Basis:
 - (a) Standard or Definitive Design -

NO

- (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used
- (3) All Other Design Costs

1,215 10 MAR

(4) Construction Contract Award

10 APR

(6) Construction Completion

(5) Construction Start

11 SEP

(7) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed

YES

b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations: $\ensuremath{\mathrm{N/A}}$

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

1. COMPONENT		FY 2010MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM 2. DATE									
AIR FORCE								· ·			
INSTALLATION AND									CONST		
ELMENDORF AIR FO	ORCE BA	SE		PACIF	C AIR FO	RCES		COST IND			
ALASKA						-		1.68			
6. Personnel		RMANENT			TUDENTS			PPORTED			
Strength	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL	
AS OF 30 SEP 08	799	4,570	815		0	0	0	_	0	6,184	
END FY 2014	777	4,367	816	0	0	0	0	0	0	5,960	
7. INVENTORY DAT	A (\$000)	40.400									
	Total Acreage: 13,123										
Inventory Total as of										1,423,900	
Authorization Not Yet		•								159,700	
Authorization Reques		-	:							15,700	
Planned in Next Five		ogram:								44,314	
Remaining Deficiency	y:									0	
Grand Total:										1,643,614	
8. PROJECTS REQU	UESTED I	IN THIS PI	ROGRA	AM:			(FY 201				
CATEGORY								COST	DESIGN	STATUS	
<u>CODE</u>	PROJEC	T TITLE				<u>SCOPE</u>	i	\$,000	<u>START</u>	CMPL	
171-875		apons Loa				2,387	SM	\$12,600	May-08	Sep-09	
141-753	RED FLA	G Alaska	Add Al	ter Ops	Center	840	SM	\$3,100	May-08	Sep-09	
						Total		\$15,700			
9a. Future Projects:	Typical P	lanned Ne	xt Five	Years:							
141-454		Air Suppo			Trng Fac	1,140	SM	\$4,749			
215-552		apons & R				2,594	SM	\$10,525			
722-351		e Dining 8		-		1,618	SM	\$6,300			
214-422		ps & Auto				600	SM	\$6,200			
179-511		t Regional			•	760	SM	\$6,240			
811-145		tic Utilities		_	-	44,980	LM	\$10,300			
011110	rtopan 7 ti		, w 111110	2011 0010		Total		\$44,314	-		
						Total		Ψ-1-,Ο 1-1			
9b. Real Property Ma	aintenanc	e Backlog	This In	stallatio	n: (\$M)					53	
10. Mission or Major						n three F	-15C/E	squadrons	a C-130	H and 12F/J	
tactical airlift squadro											
for all aircraft.	, 40 1101	. 30 <u> </u>		551161	oquau10	,			c.i.a.io	2 30p.ox	
11. Outstanding poll	ution and	Safety (O.9	SHA) D	eficienc	ies:						
a. Air pollution		- La. O. G. (OC	, D	2				0			
a. / poliation	a. 7 iii poliation										
b. Water Pollutio	n							0			
c. Occupational	Safety and	d Health						0			
d. Other Environ	mental							0			

DD Form 1390, 24 Jul 00

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE AIR FORCE (computer generated)

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA

4. PROJECT TITLE

RED FLAG ALASKA ADD ALTER OPERATIONS
CENTER (TFI)

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT | 6. CATEGORY CODE | 7. PROJECT NUMBER

7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

27603 | 141-753 | FXSB103009 | 3,100

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES				2,237
ALTER OPERATIONS CENTER	SM	796	2,500	(1,990)
ADD OPERATIONS CENTER	SM	44	4,003	(176)
SDD & EPACT 05	LS	İ		(50)
ANTITERRORISM FORCE PROTECTION	SM	840	25	(21)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES		j j	į	540
SITE PREPARATION	LS			(40)
COMMUNICATION SUPPORT	LS	i i		(60)
ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP	LS			(100)
UTILITIES	LS	i i		(180)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(160)
SUBTOTAL		i i		2,777
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				139
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				2,916
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.5%)				190
TOTAL REQUEST			·	3,106
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				3,100)
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)				(200

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Renovate a building to accommodate Red Flag Alaska (RF-A) operations. Reconfigure building into briefing and meeting rooms as well as administrative areas. Recondition all HVAC, plumbing, electrical, and infrastructure systems to current standards. Install fire protection system to code. This project will comply with DoD antiterrorism/force protection requirements per Unified Facilities Criteria.

Air Conditioning: 10 Tons

11. Requirement: 25595 SM Adequate: 24755 SM Substandard: 1450 SM

PROJECT: Add/Alter Red Flag Alaska Operations Center. (New Mission)

<u>REQUIREMENT:</u> Operations building expansion and renovation on the north end of the facility to include administrative offices, restroom repair work, space mission planning area, and flight / mass briefing rooms adequately sized to support the growing RED FLAG Alaska (RF-A) mission training activities. Referenced areas and new construction must meet Joint Air Force Army Navy (JAFAN) 6/9 security requirements.

<u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> Existing configuration of Bldg 9549 is inadequate to meet current and projected exercise participant load requiring the use of facilities scattered across the base including leased temporary trailers and portable modular buildings. Training value is negatively impacted by the inability of all participants to plan brief and debrief at various classification levels together in a consolidated operations facility. One first floor restroom is in a state of disrepair and is marginally usable. During exercises participant load routinely exceeds the maximum allowable personnel in existing RF-A allocated space in bldg 9549.

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITA	DATA 2. DATE				
AIR FORCE	(cc	omputer generated)				
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE						
ELMENDORF AIR	SKA ADD ALTER OPERATIONS					
5. PROGRAM ELI	EMENT 6. CATEGORY COI	GORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT CO				
27603	141-753	FXSB103009	3,100			

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Administrative offices, workspace, mission planning, and briefing areas will continue to be crowded routinely exceeding capacity during exercises. Training value will be degraded due to inability for all participants to plan, brief, and debrief at various classification levels together in a consolidated operations facility. Duty days are extended to allow for transit time between geographically separated facilities negatively impacting following day's aircraft and aircrew availability. This project is integral to the RF-A transformation roadmap at Elmendorf AFB to meet CSAF's exercise vision that RF-A and RF-N be equivalent and relevant and will directly limit the scope, quality, and value of exercise training if not constructed.

ADDITIONAL: This project follows the criteria/scope as specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements". An economic analysis has been prepared. It indicates that Adding to/Altering an existing facility to the the best alternative. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the design, development, and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423 and other applicable laws and executive orders. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Dean H. Hartman, 907-552-3747. Add to Operations Center: 465 SM = 5,005 SF. Alter Operations Center: 375 SM = 4,036 SF.

<u>JOINT USE CERTIFICATION:</u> This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements. This project supports Total Force Integration Initiatives.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE							
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)							
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE								
ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA RED FLAG ALASKA ADD ALTER OPERATION CENTER (TFI)								
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$00								
27603		141-753	F	3,:	100			
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: a. Estimated Design Data: (1) Project to be accomplished by design-build procedures (2) Basis:								
` '		or Definitive Design ign Was Most Recentl		d		NO		
(3) All O	ther Des	ign Costs				155		
(4) Const	ruction	Contract Award				10 FEB		
(5) Construction Start 10 MAR								
(6) Const	ruction	Completion				11 MAR		
(7) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed YES								

b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations:

EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE	PROCURING APPROPRIATION	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED	COST (\$000)
COMMUNICATIONS	3080	2011	50
SYSTES FURNATURE	3400	2011	150

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99 Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE AIR FORCE (computer generated)

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA

4. PROJECT TITLE

F-22 WEAPONS LOAD TRAINING FACILITY (TFI)

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

27138 171-875 FXSB073022 12,600

9. COST ESTIMATES

			UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES				9,290
WEAPONS LOAD TRAINING FACILITY	SM	1,693	5,317	(9,002)
ANTI-TERRORISM FORCE PROTECTION	LS			(96)
SDD & EP ACT 05	LS			(192)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				2,012
UTILITIES	LS			(314)
AIRCRAFT ACCESS PAVEMENT	SM	5,000	207	(1,037)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(287)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(215)
COMMUNICATIONS	LS			(159)
SUBTOTAL				11,302
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				565
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				11,867
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.5%)				771
TOTAL REQUEST				12,638
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				12,600)
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)				(200

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete foundation meeting Alaska seismic and frost heaving requirements, structural steel frame with insulated metal skin, and standing seam metal roof facility consisting of one (1) aircraft bays, offices, and training classrooms and restrooms. Includes fire suppression/detection, intrusion detection system, environmental controls, communications, utilities, pavements, parking, site improvements and all necessary supporting facilities for a complete and usable facility. This project will comply with DoD anti-terrorism/force protection requirements per Unified Facilities Criteria.

11. Requirement: 40314 SM Adequate: 11146 SM Substandard: 15436 SM

PROJECT: Construct F-22 Munitions Load Crew Training Facility. (New Mission)

REQUIREMENT: An adequately sized and configured training facility is required to support the beddown of 36 F-22A aircraft. This facility provides space to train weapons load crew personnel in techniques and procedures for loading weapons. Training is conducted using dummy or inert training weapons. Additional functions include training classrooms, equipment maintenance and storage, mechanical equipment room, and restrooms. This facility requires adequate lighting, aircraft grounding points, intrusion detection system, and environmental controls including ground support equipment exhaust extraction and diversion or extraction of the aircraft alternate power unit exhaust. Aircraft delivery began in 2007.

<u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> The existing facility used for training shares the aircraft bay with another organization. The facility is 60 years old and has antiquated plumbing and heating systems. The hangar doors are manually operated, cannot seal completely, and allow wind and snow into the facility during the winter months. There is no way to secure the aircraft bays adequately. The facility lacks fire

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

33

1. COMPONENT	FY 2	010 MILITARY	CONSTRU	JCTION PROJECT	DATA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE						
				F-22 WEAPONS LOAD TRAINING FACILITY (TFI)		
5. PROGRAM ELI	PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE		7. PROJECT NUMBER		8. PROJECT COST (\$000)	
27138	3 171-875		FXSB073022		12,600	

suppression system and adequate system for venting fumes generated by the F-22A's on-board power unit, and requires noise suppression to protect the training rooms from the hangar bay during hands-on training operations. The existing facility is also located in an area where noise generated by loading and load checking operations interfere with nearby non-industrial facilities.

<u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Adequate facilities are not available on a daily basis to perform essential monthly and yearly training/certification requirements in a safe environment. The wing lacks dedicated classroom and storage space for Weapons Load Training. Ultimately, readiness and sortic generation times will suffer, and the risk of a mishap will increase.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in "F/A-22 Facilities Requirements Plan Rev. T" October 2005. A preliminary analysis of reasonable options (status quo, renovation, upgrade/removal, new construction) for satisfying this requirement indicates that only one option will meet mission needs. Therefore, a complete economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the design, development, and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423 and other applicable laws and Executive orders. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Dean H. Hartman (907) 552-3007. Weapons Load Training Facility: 1693 SM = 18,224 SF.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements. This project supports Total Force Integration initiatives.

						2. DATE	
1. COMPONENT		FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA					
AIR FORCE	AIR FORCE (computer generated)						
3. INSTALLATI	3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE						
ELMENDORF AIR	ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA F-22 WEAPONS LOAD TRAINING FACILITY (TFI)						
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PF	ROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)	
27138		171-875	F	XSB073022	12,	600	
12. SUPPLEMEN	TAL DAT	A:					
a. Estimate	d Design	n Data:					
(1) Proje	ct to be	accomplished by de	sign-	build procedure	es		
(2) Basis	:						
		or Definitive Design				NO	
(b) Wh	ere Des	ign Was Most Recent	Ly Use	ed			
(3) All O	ther Des	ign Costs				630	
(4) Construction Contract Award 10 FEB					10 FEB		
(5) Construction Start 10 MAR					10 MAR		
(6) Construction Completion 11 SEP					11 SEP		

b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations:

(7) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed

EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE	PROCURING APPROPRIATION	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED	COST (\$000)
COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT	3080	2011	75
FURNITURE	3400	2011	125

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

YES

COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 201	0 MILI	TARY C	ONST	RUCTIO	N PROC	GRAM	2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION A	ND LOO	ATION		4 001	48.4.A.B.I.C				CONCT	
				4. CON			ND	5. AREA		
DAVIS-MONTHAN A	IR FORC	E BASE,		AIR CC	IMBAT	COMMA	מאו	COST IN	IDEX	
ARIZONA	55			0.7			0.1	1.03		
6. Personnel		RMANENT			UDEN			PPORTE		
Strength	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
AS OF 30 SEP 08	1013	5686	1749		553		2		471	9,498
END FY 2014	1041	5856	1721	0	553	0	2	24	471	9,668
7. INVENTORY DAT	A (\$000)									
a. Total Acreage:		10,953								
b. Inventory Total as	of: (30 S	Sep 08)								1,916,244
c. Authorization Not	Yet in Inv	entory:								28,557
 d. Authorization Req 	uested in	this Progra	am:							41,900
f. Planned in Next Fi	ve Years	Program:								71,700
g. Remaining Deficie	ency:									89,000
h. Grand Total:									•	2,147,401
8. PROJECTS REQ	UESTED	IN THIS P	ROGR	AM:			(FY 201	0)		
CATEGORY							`		DESIGN	STATUS
	PROJEC	T TITLE				SCOPE		\$,000		CMPL
		Simulator	Facility	/		1,256	SM	8,400	Jun-08	Sep-09
		RQS Ope				2,323	SM	8,700	Jun-08	Sep-09
		Infrastruct				1	LS	4,800		Sep-09
721-312		/ (144 RM)				4,752	SM	20,000	Jun-08	Sep-09
72.0.2	Dommor.	, (,	•			Total	0	41,900	0000	30p 33
9a. Future Projects:	Typical F	Planned Ne	yt Five	Years.		rotar		11,000		
_		Aerial Car				2,325	SM	9,900		
	AMARG		gorac	illy		7,130	SM	22,400		
218-712		AGE Maint	enance	- Facility	,	1,022	SM	4,100		
442-758		Parts Stor		o i aciiity		2,323	SM	7,800		
730-839		try Comple				175	SM	6,200		
610-281		ated Missic		nort Cor	ator	2,365	SM	7,400		
			JII Sup	port Cer	ilei		SM			
218-712	AGE Fac	IIILY				6,657 Total	SIVI	13,900		
Ob. Deal Dranes Ma	!	Daaldaa T	Flata I.a.	-4-11-4:	· (ΦΝΔ)	Total		71,700		0.4
9b. Real Propery Ma							141- 4	Sandard Control		84
10. Mission or Major		•				-		-	• .	
responsible for trainir	-				-					
squadrons, Combat S						_				scue
squadron; and Air Fo						tenance	and Reg	generation	Center.	
11. Outstanding Poll	ution and	Safety (OS	SHA D	eficienci	es):					
a. Air pollution								0		
b. Water Pollutio	n							0		
c. Occupational S	Safety and	d Health						0		
d. Other Environ	mental							0		
DD Form 1390, 9 Jul	02									

DD Form 1390, 9 Jul 02

2. DATE

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

27576

DAVIS-MONTHAN AIR FORCE BASE, ARIZONA

4. PROJECT TITLE

DORMITORY (144 RM)

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

721-312 FBNV073004

20,000

9. COST ESTIMATES

				GO GT
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES				14,655
DORMITORY (144 RM)	SM	4,752	2,992	(14,218)
SDD & EPACT 05	SM	4,752	60	(285)
ANTITERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION	SM	4,752	32	(152)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				3,365
UTILITIES	LS			(485)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(784)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(625)
COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT	LS			(385)
PASSIVE FORCE PROTECTION	LS			(245)
DEMOLITION/ASBESTOS ABATEMENT	SM	2,587	325	(841)
SUBTOTAL				18,020
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				901
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				18,921
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%)			1,078
TOTAL REQUEST				19,999
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				20,000
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)				(8,860.0)

10. Description of Proposed Construction: A two-story facility with reinforced concrete foundation and floor slabs, structural steel frame, split block masonry walls, and standing seam metal roof. Includes Dorms-4-Airment four bedroom module design, storage, lounge area, site preparation, and all other supporting facilities. Includes demolition/asbestos abatement of one facility (2,587 SM), and all other necessary support. This project will comply with DoD antiterrorism/force protection requirements per the unified facilities criteria.

Air Conditioning: 140 Tons Grade Mix: E1-E4 144

11. Requirement: 33890 SM Adequate: 14882 SM Substandard: 14118 SM

PROJECT: Dormitory (144 RM). (Current Mission)

REQUIREMENT: A major Air Force objective is to provide permanent party unaccompanied enlisted personnel with housing conducive to their proper rest, relaxation and personal well-being. Properly designed and furnished quarters providing individual privacy are essential to the successful accomplishment of the increasingly complicated and important jobs they must perform. The retention of these highly trained airmen is essential to our readiness posture and continued world-wide presence.

CURRENT SITUATION: The base has insufficient on-base housing that meets AF standards to accommodate assigned unaccompanied enlisted personnel. Therefore, a new facility is needed to prevent enlisted personnel from living off-base in expensive accommodations. This project is in accordance with the Air Force Dormitory Master Plan.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Adequate living quarters which provide a level of privacy required for today's airmen will not be available. This will result in degradation of morale, productivity and career satisfaction for unaccompanied enlisted

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE					2. DATE
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE						
DAVIS-MONTHAN	AIR FORC	E BASE, ARIZONA		DORMITORY (14	4 RM)	
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$0					ST (\$000)	
27576 721-312 FBNV073004 20,000						00

personnel.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in the uniform barracks construction standard known as "dorm-4-airmen module" established by the Air Force and AFH 32-1084, Facility Requirements. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the project design, development and construction in accordance with Executive Order 13423 and other applicable laws and Executive orders. A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovation, new construction) was done. It indicates there is only one option that will meet operational requirements; new construction. A certificate of exception has been prepared. FY2007 Unaccompanied Housing RPM Conducted: \$102K. FY2008 Unaccompanied Housing RPM Conducted: \$108K; Future Unaccompanied Housing RMP requirements (estimated): FY09: \$111K; FY10: \$113K; FY11: \$116K. Base Civil Engineer: LtCol Charles D. Perham, (520) 228-3401. Dormitory: 4,752 SM = 51,132 SF.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements.

1. COMPONENT	1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE					
AIR FORCE		(compute				
3. INSTALLATI	ON AND T	_		4. PROJECT		
DAVIS-MONTHAN	AIR FOR	CE BASE, ARIZONA	ı	DORMITORY (144 RM)	
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)
27576		721-312	FBI	₩073004	20,	000
12. SUPPLEMEN	TAL DATA	\:				
a. Estimate	d Design	n Data:				
(1) Statu	.s :					
(a) Da	te Desig	n Started			15	-JUN-08
(b) Pa	rametrio	Cost Estimates use	ed to de	evelop costs		YES
* (c) Pe	rcent Co	omplete as of 01 JAN	1 2009			15%
* (d) Da	te 35% I	Designed			30	-JAN-09
(e) Date Design Complete 30-SEP-09					-SEP-09	
(f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed YES					YES	
(2) Basis	:					
, ,		or Definitive Design	ı -			NO
` ′		ign Was Most Recentl				
(3) Total	Cost (e) = (a) + (b) or (d	l) + (e)	:		(\$000)
(a) Pr	oduction	n of Plans and Speci	fication	ons		1,194
(b) Al	1 Other	Design Costs				597
(c) To	tal					1,791
(d) Co	ntract					1,493
(e) In	-house					299
(4) Const	ruction	Contract Award				10 FEB
(5) Const	ruction	Start				10 MAR
(6) Const	(6) Construction Completion 12 MAR					12 MAR
which i	* Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.					
b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations:						

EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE	PROCURING APPROPRIATION	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED	COST (\$000)
FURNITURE	3400	2011	8,800
COMMUNICATIONS	3080	2011	60

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

4. PROJECT TITLE

DAVIS-MONTHAN AIR FORCE BASE, ARIZONA HC-130J INFRASTRUCTURE

8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

2. DATE

6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 27224 141-753 FBNV103003 4,800

9. COST ESTIMATES

9. COS1	FOITMALES			
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES				4,010
A/C CENTRAL PLANT FACILITY	TN	800	3,200	(2,560)
WATER/FIRE PUMP STATION AND STORAGE TANKS	LS			(1,450)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				299
UTILITIES	LS			(175)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(10)
PAVEMENTS/DEMOLITION	LS			(19)
COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT	LS			(45)
PASSIVE FORCE PROTECTION MEASURES	LS			(50)
SUBTOTAL				4,309
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				215
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				4,524
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD	(5.7%)			258
TOTAL REQUEST				4,782
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				4,800

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct utility infrastructure facilities with split-face block, reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab, structural steel frame, standing seam metal roof, fire detection/protection, utilities, site improvements, landscaping, access roads, parking, walkways, pavements demolition, and all other necessary support. Includes upgrades to utility main distribution systems directly related to and in support of the CSAR Center of Excellence campus. Air conditioning should equate to 800 tons and the water system have the capability of 4,000 gpm with appropriate water storage tanks. This project will comply with antiterrorism/force protection requirements identified in DoD Unified Facilities Criteria.

11. Requirement: 1200 TN Adequate: 400 TN Substandard: 0 TN

PROJECT: HC-130J Infrastructure. (New Mission)

REQUIREMENT: Adequately sized and configured infrastructure is required for facilities supporting operational requirements of the CSAR weapon system beddown. The infrastructure upgrades will serve as the spine for all CSAR training, operations, and maintenance facilities within the strategically sited campus; designed to increase the readiness of the CSAR community.

CURRENT SITUATION: The existing utility infrastructure supporting the installation of the CSAR Center of Excellence campus is nearly at capacity. The additional infrastructure requirements needed to support a beddown of this magnitude will exceed the capacity of these utility systems. Also, existing utility systems or facilities on the installation cannot be converted or efficiently upgraded to accommodate the utility requirements of the CASR beddown mission.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Adequate utilities infrastructure will not be available to support the increased implementation of the CSAR Center of Excellence campus. The potential for significant degradation of mission performance and capabilities will be increased. In addition, due to the inadequate work environment, morale of Air Force personnel will be extremely lowered resulting in less productivity.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

40

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA					2. DATE
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)				
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE						
DAVIS-MONTHAN	AIR FOR	RCE BASE, ARIZONA		HC-130J INFR	ASTRUCTURE	
5. PROGRAM ELE	EMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT CO			ST (\$000)		
27224	141-753 FBNV103003 4,800				00	

32-1084, "Facility Requirements" and the CSAR Facilities Requirement Plan. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the project design, development and construction in accordance with Executive Order 13423 and other applicable laws and Executive orders. A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovation, new construction) was done. It indicates there is only one option that meets operational requirements; new construction. A waiver to exception has been done. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Valerie L. Hasberry, (520) 228-3401. CSAR HC-130J Infrastructure project: A/C: 800 tons - Pumping 4,000 g.p.m. with appropriate water storage tanks.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This is an installation utility/infrastructure project, and does not qualify for joint use at this location. However, all tenants on this installation are benefited by this project. Project is specifically provided for the recapitalization and new mission priority requirements of the CSAR Center of Excellence.

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE							
AIR FORCE (computer generated)							
3. INSTALLATION	N AND L	OCATION		4. PROJECT	TITLE		
DAVIS-MONTHAN A	AIR FOR	CE BASE, ARIZONA		HC-130J INF	RASTRUCTURE		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$0						OST (\$000)	
27224		141-753	FBI	NV103003	4,	800	
12. SUPPLEMENT	AL DATA	۷:					
a. Estimated	Design	n Data:					
(1) Status	:						
(a) Dat	e Desig	n Started			15	-JUN-08	
(b) Par	ametrio	Cost Estimates use	ed to de	evelop costs		YES	
* (c) Per	cent Co	omplete as of 01 JAN	1 2009			15%	
* (d) Dat	e 35% I	Designed			18	-MAR-09	
(e) Date Design Complete 30-SEP-09						-SEP-09	
(f) Ene	rgy Stu	udy/Life-Cycle analy	sis was	s/will be per	formed	YES	
(2) Basis:							
(a) Sta	ndard o	or Definitive Design	n -			NO	
(b) Whe	re Desi	ign Was Most Recentl	ly Used				
(3) Total	Cost ((a) = (a) + (b) or (a)	i) + (e)	:		(\$000)	
		n of Plans and Speci	ificatio	ons		288	
		Design Costs				144	
(c) Tot						432	
(d) Con						360	
(e) In-	(e) In-house 72						
(4) Construction Contract Award 10 FEB						10 FEB	
(5) Constr	uction	Start				10 MAR	
(6) Constr	(6) Construction Completion 11 MAR						
* Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate							

- * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.
- b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations: $\ensuremath{\mathtt{N}/\mathtt{A}}$

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

2. DATE

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

DAVIS-MONTHAN AIR FORCE BASE, ARIZONA

4. PROJECT TITLE

HC-130J SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT

6. CATEGORY CODE | 7. PROJECT NUMBER

8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

27224

141-753

FBNV103002

8,700

9. COST ESTIMATES

J. COB1 EB1.	IMALES	,		
			UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES				6,809
HC-130J SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY	SM	2,323	2,850	(6,621)
SDD & EPACT 05	SM	2,323	54	(125)
ANTITERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION	SM	2,323	27	(63)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				1,045
UTILITIES	LS			(106)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(86)
PAVEMENTS/DEMOLITION	LS			(310)
COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT	LS			(353)
RADIO TOWER	LS			(175)
PASSIVE FORCE PROTECTION MEASURES	LS			(15)
SUBTOTAL				7,854
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				393
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				8,246
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%	•			470
TOTAL REQUEST				8,716
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				8,700
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)				(740.0)

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Split-face block with reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab, structural steel frame, standing seam metal roof, fire detection/protection, utilities, site improvements, landscaping, access roads, parking, walkways, pavement demolition, communication support, radio tower and all other necessary support. This project will comply with antiterrorism/force protection requirements identified in DoD unified facilities criteria.

Air Conditioning: 85 Tons

Adequate: 24024 SM 11. Requirement: 26347 SM Substandard: 1856 SM

PROJECT: HC-130J Squadron Operations Facility. (New Mission)

REQUIREMENT: Adequate space is required for an HC-130J squadron operations facility for the 79th Rescue Squadron (RQS). Space will accommodate mission planning, briefing, operations support, maintenance of life support and mobility equipment, crew rooms and locker space. This project requirement and scope was identified as part of the HQ ACC Facilities Site Survey 16-20 April 2007.

CURRENT SITUATION: There are no existing facilities on the installation that can be converted to accommodate space and functional requirements for HC-130J squadron operations. Therefore, when personnel supporting the HC-130J arrive on station they will have to work in temporary and/or inadequate facilities.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Adequate facilities will not be available to perform essential HC-130J flight operations and mission planning functions, forcing inadequate and high risk work-arounds. The potential for significant degradation of mission performance and capabilities will increase. In addition, due to the inadequate work environment, morale of Air Force personnel will be lowered resulting in less productivity.

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

1. COMPONENT		r data	2. DATE			
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)				
3. INSTALLATIO	3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE					
DAVIS-MONTHAN	DAVIS-MONTHAN AIR FORCE BASE, ARIZONA HC-130J SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY					
5. PROGRAM ELE	. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)					
27224	141-753 FBNV103002 8,700					

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements". Sustainable principles will be integrated into the design, development and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423 and other applicable laws and Executive orders. A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovation, upgrade/removal, and new construction) was done. It indicates there is only one option that will meet operational requirements; new construction. A waiver of exception has been prepared. HC-130J Squadron Operationss Facility: 2,323 SM = 25,000 SF. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Valerie L. Hasberry, (520) 228-3401

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: Mission requirements, operational considerations, and location are incompatible with use by other components.

1. COMPONENT		FY 2010 MILITARY C	ONSTRUC'	TION PROJECT	DATA	2. DATE	
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)					
3. INSTALLATIO	N AND L	OCATION		4. PROJECT	TITLE	l	
DAVIS-MONTHAN	AIR FOR	CE BASE, ARIZONA		HC-130J SQU	ADRON OPERATIO	NS FACILI	
5. PROGRAM ELI	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)	
27224		141-753	FBI	V103002	8,	700	
12. SUPPLEMENT	TAL DATA	\:					
a. Estimated	d Design	n Data:					
(1) Status		Chambad			1.5		
	-	yn Started C Cost Estimates use	.a .a .a.	unalan gagta	15	-JUN-08	
				evelop costs		YES	
* (c) Percent Complete as of 01 JAN 2009 15% * (d) Date 35% Designed 18-MAR-09							
(e) Date Design Complete 30-SEP-0							
	-	ndy/Life-Cycle analy	ysis was	s/will be per		YES	
(2) Basis:	•						
		or Definitive Design	ı -			NO	
(b) Whe	ere Desi	ign Was Most Recent	ly Used				
(3) Total	Cost (e) = (a) + (b) or (d	i) + (e)	:		(\$000)	
(a) Pro	oduction	n of Plans and Speci	ificatio	ons		522	
(b) Al:	l Other	Design Costs				261	
(c) Tot						783	
(d) Co						653	
(e) In	-house					131	
(4) Constr	ruction	Contract Award				10 FEB	
(5) Consti	ruction	Start				10 MAR	
(6) Consti	ruction	Completion				11 MAR	
	_	etion of Project De	~! !.!				

- * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.
- b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations:

EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE	PROCURING APPROPRIATION	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED	COST (\$000)
COMM EQUIPMENT	3400	2011	325
FURNISHINGS	3400	2011	415

171-212

2. DATE

8,400

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

27224

DAVIS-MONTHAN AIR FORCE BASE, ARIZONA

4. PROJECT TITLE

FBNV103001

HC-130J SIMULATOR FACILITY

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

9. COST ESTIMATES

or Dollmin				
U/	м	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
				5,426
s	M	1,256	4,200	(5,275)
s	м	1,256	80	(100)
s	м	1,256	40	(50)
	j			2,170
L	s			(530)
L	ıs			(365)
L	s			(325)
L	ıs			(240)
L	ıs			(220)
L	ıs			(260)
L	ıs			(40)
L	ıs			(190)
				7,596
				380
				7,976
(5.7%)				455
				8,430
				8,400
))				(32,250.0)
	(5.7%)	SM SM SM SM LS	SM 1,256 SM 1,256 SM 1,256 LS L	U/M QUANTITY COST SM 1,256 4,200 SM 1,256 80 SM 1,256 40 LS L

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct two-story open bay, reinforced concrete foundation, concrete slab, structural steel frame, standing seam metal roof and split-faced block, back-up generator, utilities, pavements, site improvements, landscaping with establishment irrigation, walkways, fire detection/protection, and communication support to include secure communications trench, and all other necessary support. This project will comply with antiterrorism/force protection requirements identified in DoD unified facilities criteria.

Air Conditioning: 60 Tons

11. Requirement: 2818 SM Adequate: 1562 SM Substandard: 0 SM

PROJECT: HC-130J Simulator Facility. (New Mission)

REQUIREMENT: Adequate space is required to operate an HC-130J flight simulator to train Combat Search and Rescue (CSAR) personnel. Facility must house the HC-130J simulator to provide realistic training and accurately portray the Mission Design Series (MDS) needed to properly train and increase readiness of the CSAR community. CURRENT SITUATION: There are no facilities on the installation that can accept the

CURRENT SITUATION: There are no facilities on the installation that can accept the new simulator training requirement. All HC-130J simulator training for Davis-Monthan personnel requires temporary duty to other installations or contractor locations that have both the additional capacity and simulator time.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Despite allocating all available assets and resources to meet mission qualifying training requirements, personnel assigned to Davis-Monthan AFB will not be able to meet the minimal graduate program requirements needed for

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

1. COMPONENT	F	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE					
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE							
DAVIS-MONTHAN	DAVIS-MONTHAN AIR FORCE BASE, ARIZONA HC-130J SIMULATOR FACILITY						
5. PROGRAM ELI	EMENT 6.	CATEG	ORY CODE	7. PRO	7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT CO		ST (\$000)
27224		171	-212	FI	FBNV103001 8,		00

the number of aircraft assigned to the installation.

location are incompatible with use by other components.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements." A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovation, upgrade/removal, and new construction) was done. It indicates there is only one option that will meet operational requirements; new construction. A certificate of exception has been prepared. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the design, development and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423 and other applicable laws and Executive orders. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Valerie L. Hasberry, (520) 228-3401. HC-130J Simulator Facility: 1,256 SM = 13,515 SF. JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: Mission requirements, operational considerations, and

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE					
AIR FORCE		(compute	er gene	rated)		
3. INSTALLATI	ON AND I	OCATION		4. PROJECT	FITLE	
DAVIS-MONTHAN	AIR FOR	RCE BASE, ARIZONA		HC-130J SIM	ULATOR FACILIT	ΥΥ
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000			
27224		171-212	FBI	V103001	8,	400
12. SUPPLEMEN	TAL DATA	A:				
a. Estimate	d Design	n Data:				
(1) Statu	s:					
(a) Da	te Desig	gn Started			16	-JUN-08
(b) Pa	rametri	c Cost Estimates use	ed to de	evelop costs		YES
* (c) Pe	rcent Co	omplete as of 01 JAM	1 2009			15%
* (d) Da	te 35% 1	Designed			18	-MAR-09
(e) Da	te Desig	gn Complete			30	-SEP-09
(f) En	ergy St	udy/Life-Cycle analy	sis was	s/will be per	formed	YES
(2) Basis	:					
(a) St	andard o	or Definitive Design	ı -			NO
(b) Wh	ere Des	ign Was Most Recentl	ly Used			
(3) Total	Cost ((a) = (a) + (b) or (a)	l) + (e)	:		(\$000)

*	Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate
	which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope,
	cost and executability.

(a) Production of Plans and Specifications

(b) All Other Design Costs

(4) Construction Contract Award

(c) Total

(d) Contract

(e) In-house

(5) Construction Start

(6) Construction Completion

b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations:

EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE	PROCURING APPROPRIATION	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED	COST (\$000)
COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT	3400	2011	150
FURNISHINGS	3400	2011	100
FLIGHT SIMULATOR	3080	2011	32,000

504 252

756

630 126

10 FEB

10 MAR

11 MAR

4 COMPONENT			V 0040 MII	ITARY O	NOTOL	ICTION D	DOODAM		IO DATE	
1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM 2. DATE AIR FORCE										
				COMMAN						
LITTLE ROCK AIR	FORCE	BASE		AIR MOBI	LITY CC	MIMAND		COST IN		
ARKANSAS								0.9		
6. Personnel		RMANENT			DENTS			PORTED		
Strength	OFF		CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
AS OF 30 SEP 08	301	2,819	436	30	6					6,139
END FY 2014	301		436	30	6	0	372	1467	708	6,139
7. INVENTORY DA	TA (\$00	0)								
Total Acreage:		1,611								
Inventory Total as o	f: (30 S	ep 08)								1,261,000
Authorization Not Ye	et in Inve	entory:								25,936
Authorization Reque	ested in t	his Progran	n:							5,800
Planned in Next Five		-								43,200
Remaining Deficiend		3 -								73,300
Grand Total:	-,-								•	1,409,236
8. PROJECTS REC	OUESTE	D IN THIS I	PROGRAM	· (FY2010)						.,,
CATEGORY	QC_C L	D 11.110 1	11001010	. (1 12010)				COST	DESIGN	STATUS
	PRO IF	CT TITLE				SCOPE		\$,000	START	CMPL
		light Simula	tor Addition	,		898	SM	\$5,800	May-08	Sep-09
171-212	C-130 F	ligrit Sirriula	itoi Additioi	l		Total	SIVI		_ iviay-uo	Sep-09
On Future Drainete	. T	I Diama ad N	and Fine Ma			TOLAI		\$5,800		
9a. Future Projects				ars.		4 470	CNA	#0.700		
		ingine Stora				1,472	SM	\$3,700		
		ry (120 Roo	,			4,394	SM	\$17,000		
	-	Forces Op		Cility	2,130 SM			\$5,900		
721-312	Dormito	ry (120 Roo	m)			4,394	SM	\$16,600	_	
						Total		\$43,200		
9b. Real Propery M										70
10. Mission or Majo	or Function	ons: An airli	ft wing with	five C-130	squadro	ons condu	cting opera	ations and	trainingtl	ne only
DoD C-130 training	base; an	Air Mobility	/ Command	l airlift grou	ip with C	-130 aircr	aft; an AN	G C-130 a	airlift wing; a	and an
AFRC aerial port sq	uadron.									
11. Outstanding po	llution ar	nd Safety (C	SHA Defici	encies:						
 a. Air pollution 				0						
b. Water Polluti	ion			0						
c. Occupational	l Safety a	and Health		0						
	, -									
d. Other Enviro	d. Other Environmental 0									
5. 5 3.15. 2.17110				-						

DD Form 1390, 24 Jul 00

2. DATE

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

LITTLE ROCK AIR FORCE BASE, ARKANSAS

4. PROJECT TITLE

C-130 FLIGHT SIMULATOR ADDITION

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER

8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

41897

171-212

NKAK103003

5,800

9. COST ESTIMATES

2002 20				
			UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES				3,922
FLT SIMLTR TNG	SM	898	4,241	(3,808)
ANTITERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION	SM	898	41	(37)
SDD & EP ACT 05	SM	898	86	(77)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				1,259
SITE PREPARATION	LS			(32)
REMOVE RELOCATE ANODE BED	LS			(91)
UTILITIES	LS			(199)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(128)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(484)
SPECIAL FOUNDATIONS	LS			(325)
SUBTOTAL				5,181
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				259
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				5,440
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%	;)			310
TOTAL REQUEST				5,750
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				5,800
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)				(28,694.0)

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a two-story Weapons System Trainer (WST) high-bay addition to existing flight simulator facility 1228. Addition to mirror existing facility architecture and include concrete footings and slab on grade, structural steel frame, standing seam metal roof, brick exterior walls, fire protection/ suppression, and entrance canopy. Site work includes relocation of anode bed and runoff drainage swale, asphalt access roadway, and parking areas. This project will comply with DoD antiterrorism/force protection requirements per Unified Facilities Criteria.

Air Conditioning: 70 Tons

11. Requirement: 16149 SM Adequate: 12143 SM Substandard: 0 SM

PROJECT: C-130 Flight Simulator Addition (New Mission).

REQUIREMENT: A properly sized and configured area to accommodate a new six-axis C-130 flight simulator with adequate space for operational computers, briefing rooms, component and facility storage, classrooms, and instructor areas in support of the C-130 aircrew training program. This additional simulator will provide required and essential initial, qualification, proficiency, hazardous/emergency, and effective mission procedures training. Area must be securable to the Secret level and conform to the security architecture of the existing facility, meet requirements of Air Force Occupational Safety and Health Standard 91-118 for new construction, and comply with C-130 Aircrew Training System Program Office physical security guidelines.

CURRENT SITUATION: Little Rock AFB currently provides flight simulator training in three separate facilities. In December 2006, HQ AMC programmed \$460M to purchase new aircraft simulators and construct facilities in support of Mobility Air Forces (MAF) training requirements.

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE						
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			ATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE				
LITTLE ROCK AIR FORCE BASE, ARKANSAS C-130 FLIGHT SIMULATOR ADD					SIMULATOR ADDI	TION	
5. PROGRAM ELI	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (ST (\$000)	
41897		171-212	NE	XAK103003	5,80	00	

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: C-130 flight crew training requirements cannot be met without an additional C-130 flight simulator. New flight simulators provide around-the-clock availability, save on aviation fuel consumption, and reduce wear and tear on aircraft. An expected simulator training tempo of 344 sorties at 1.7 hours per sortie will convert 585 cockpit flying hours. With the current C-130 flying hour rate of \$5,016K, this will produce estimated annual savings of nearly \$3M and a payback of less than two years. In addition, increasing reliance on simulators lessens the maintenance requirements on aircraft that have been heavily taxed by nearly 17 years of continuous contingency operations.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the scope/criteria specified in AFH 32-1084, "Facility Requirements". A preliminary analysis was conducted comparing alternatives of status quo, renovation, and new construction. It indicates that new construction is the only option that will meet operational requirements. A certificate of exception has been prepared and submitted to the MAJCOM for approval. Sustainable principles will be integrated into design, development, and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423 and other applicable laws and Executive Orders. BCE: Lt Col Richard E. Sloop, Jr., Commercial 501-987-3322. C130 Flight Simulator Addition: 898 SM = 9,666 SF.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements.

1. COMPONENT		FY 2010 MILITARY C	ONSTRUCT	TION PROJECT	DATA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)					
3. INSTALLATIO	N AND I	OCATION		4. PROJECT	TITLE	
LITTLE ROCK A	R FORCE	BASE, ARKANSAS		C-130 FLIGH	T SIMULATOR AI	DDITION
5. PROGRAM ELI	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROS	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)
41897		171-212	NK.	XK103003	5,	800
12. SUPPLEMENT	TAL DATA	\:				
a. Estimated	d Design	n Data:				
(1) Status (a) Dat		gn Started			14	-MAY-08
(b) Pa	rametrio	C Cost Estimates use	ed to de	velop costs		YES
• •		omplete as of 01 JAM	1 2009			15%
* (d) Date 35% Designed 18-MAR-						-MAR-09
(e) Date Design Complete 30-SEP-0						-SEP-09
(f) En	ergy Stu	udy/Life-Cycle analy	sis was	s/will be per	rformed	YES
(2) Basis	:					
(a) Sta	andard o	or Definitive Design	n -			NO
(b) Who	ere Desi	ign Was Most Recentl	ly Used			
(3) Total	Cost ((a) = (a) + (b) or (a)	l) + (e)	:		(\$000)
(a) Pro	oduction	n of Plans and Speci	fication	ons		348
		Design Costs				174
(c) To						522
(d) Co						435
(e) In	-house					87
(4) Constr	ruction	Contract Award				10 FEB
(5) Consti	ruction	Start				10 MAR
(6) Const	ruction	Completion				11 MAR
* Indiast	as sompl	letion of Project De	finitio	n with Daran	etric Cost Fs	timate

- * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.
- b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations:

EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE	PROCURING APPROPRIATION	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED	COST (\$000)
FURNISHINGS/EQUIPMENT	3400	2011	94
WEAPONS SYSTEM TRAINER (WST)	3010	2010	28,600

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILI				CONST	RUCTION	2. DATE					
AIR FORCE											
3. INSTALLATION A		ATION		4. COMMAND: 5. ARE					A CONST		
TRAVIS AIR FORCE	BASE		AIR MO	BILITY C	OMMAND		COST IN	NDEX			
CALIFORNIA							1.26				
6. Personnel	PEI	RMANENT		UDENTS		Sl	JPPORTI				
Strength	OFF	ENL CI\	/ OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL		
AS OF 30 SEP 08	1300	5866 224	7 0	C		661	2629	1564	14,267		
END FY 2014	1300	5866 224	7 0	C	0	661	2629	1564	14,267		
7. INVENTORY DAT	ΓA (\$000)										
Total Acreage:		6,383									
Inventory Total as of	: (30 Ser	08)							3,060,808		
Authorization Not Ye									170,705		
Authorization Reques		•							6,900		
Planned in Next Five		-							50,900		
Remaining Deficience		- 0							201,500		
Grand Total:	,								3,490,813		
									2, 122,212		
8. PROJECTS REQ	UESTED	IN THIS PROG	RAM: (FY	2010)							
CATEGORY				_0.0,			COST	DESIGN	STATUS		
	PROJEC	T TITI F			SCOPE		\$,000	START	CMPL		
		argo Load Trair	er		3,150	SM	6,900				
		go _000			Total	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	6,900				
9a. Future Projects:	Typical F	Planned Next Fi	ve Years:				-,				
_	• .	Cargo Aircraft N		ing Fac	625	SM	3,200				
		/ (120 Room)	viaint main	iiig i do	3,959	SM	16,000				
851-147		ite Bypass Roa	d		3,260	SM	4,600				
		/ (120 Room)	u		3,959	SM	16,500				
	-	ntenance Shop	8		3,809	SM	10,600				
010 121	DOL Man	nteriarioe eriop	5		Total	Civi	50,900				
					Total		00,000				
9b. Real Property M	aintenanc	e Backlog This	Installation	n (\$M):					209		
								1.1	0.40 :		
10. Mission or Major					, ,			ons and two K	C-10 air		
refueling squadrons;	an AFRC	Associate air r	nobility wir	ig; and D	avid Grant	iviedical	Center.				
11. Outstanding poll	ution and	Safety (OSHA)	Deficienci	es:							
a. Air pollution		, ,					0				
b. Water Pollutio	on						0				
c. Occupational	Safety an	d Health					0				
	-										
d. Other Environ	mental						0				
DD Form 1200 24 le											

DD Form 1390, 24 Jul 00

2. DATE

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

TRAVIS AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA

4. PROJECT TITLE

KC-10 CARGO LOAD TRAINING (CLT)

FACILITY

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

41897 171-875

XDAT083002

6,900

9. COST ESTIMATES

3. 6621 2211		<u> </u>		
			UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES				4,568
CARGO LOADING BUILDING	SM	850	3,950	(3,358)
TRAINING ROOMS & STORAGE	SM	250	4,225	(1,056)
ANTITERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION	SM	1,100	47	(52)
SDD & EPACT05	SM	1,100	93	(102)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	İ			1,646
UTILITIES	LS			(378)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(456)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(490)
COMMUNICATIONS	LS			(175)
ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION	LS			(147)
SUBTOTAL				6,214
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				311
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				6,524
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%)				372
TOTAL REQUEST				6,896
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				6,900
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)				(4,750.0)

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Steel frame building with dryvit system on exterior walls and standing seam metal roofing system. Insulated walls, fire alarm and suppression system, electrical, HVAC to include inside plane area for cargo training, and all necessary equipment to support training requirements. This project will comply with DoD antiterrorism/force protection requirements per Unified Facilities Criteria.

Air Conditioning: 50 Tons

11. Requirement: 1100 SM Adequate: SM Substandard: SM

PROJECT: Construct Load Training Facility. (New Mission)

REQUIREMENT: Construct new KC-10 Cargo Load Training (CLT) Facility to include a high bay equipped with a KC-10 fuselage for cargo load operation training. Facility includes two separate training rooms each with direct access to training bay.

CURRENT SITUATION: There is no facility in the entire Air Force dedicated to the mission of cargo loading training operations for KC-10 aircraft. Currently, personnel responsible for loading KC-10 aircraft must learn through on-the-job training and do not have a controlled training environment to receive formal instruction. Existing training utilizes mission-ready aircraft and operational missions which is time consuming and reduces KC-10 assets available to the warfighter.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Personnel responsible for loading cargo on KC-10 aircraft must continue to learn on the job, which puts active KC-10 assets at risk of damage due to training accidents. Without a dedicated facility to train personnel before they are assigned to KC-10 units, training must now occur during duty hours which

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITAR	DATA 2. DATE							
AIR FORCE	(com	(computer generated)							
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE									
TRAVIS AIR FO	RCE BASE, CALIFORNIA	KC-10 CARGO I	KC-10 CARGO LOAD TRAINING (CLT) FACILITY						
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST (\$000)						
41897	171-875	XDAT083002	6,900						

decreases productivity and mission effectiveness. The ability to have trained personnel to rapidly turn KC-10 mobility sorties by being able to quickly, safely, and efficiently load cargo will help AMC support USTRANSCOM's global mobility mission and move more warfighting material to the theater.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements". A preliminary analysis of reasonable options (status quo, renovation, addition/alteration, and new construction) was done. It indicates there is only one option that will meet operational requirements; new construction. A certificate of exception has been prepared. Sustainable principles will be integrated into design, development, and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423 and other applicable laws and Executive Orders. C.S. Hoover, Lt Col, USAF, Base Civil Engineer. Construct KC-10 Cargo Load Trainer Facility (1,100 SM = 11,836 SF)

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements.

1. COMPONENT		FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA							
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)							
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE									
TRAVIS AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA KC-10 CARGO LOAD TRAINING (0 FACILITY									
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)			
41897		171-875	XD	AT083002	6,9	900			
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:									

- a. Estimated Design Data:
 - (1) Status:

•			
	(a)	Date Design Started	14-MAY-08
	(b)	Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs	YES
*	(c)	Percent Complete as of 01 JAN 2009	15%
*	(d)	Date 35% Designed	18-MAR-09
	(e)	Date Design Complete	30-SEP-09
	(f)	Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed	YES

(2) Basis:

,	Jabib.			
(a) Standard on	r Definitive	Design	- NO

(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used

(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	414
(b) All Other Design Costs	207
(c) Total	621
(d) Contract	518
(e) In-house	104
(4) Construction Contract Award	10 FEB

(5) Construction Start 10 MAR

(6) Construction Completion 11 MAR

- * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.
- b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations:

EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE	PROCURING APPROPRIATION	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED	COST (\$000)
CARGO LOAD TRAINER	3010	10	4,200
FURNITURE	3400	11	400
COMM EQUIPMENT	3080	11	150

4 0014501515	T	=>/ 00							D D A T E	
1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM 2. DATE										
AIR FORCE							CONCT			
INSTALLATION AND LOCATION COMMAND: 5. AREA CONST										
VANDENBERG AIR FORCE BASE AIR FORCE SPACE COST INDEX CALIFORNIA COMMAND 1.23							NDEX			
CALIFORNIA	חרו		-			ro I	CLI	1.23	·n 1	
6. Personnel		RMANENT		OFF	TUDEN ENL			PPORTE		TOTAL
Strength	OFF	ENL	CIV			CIV 0	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
AS OF 30 Sep 08 END FY 2014	212 195	1155 1155	924 920		75 75	0	653 625			6,496 6,441
7. INVENTORY DAT		1100	320	200	7.5	υ	023	1001	1720	0,441
Total Acreage:	Α (ψυσυ)	132,184								
Inventory Total as of	· (30 Ser									1,595,422
Authorization Not Yet		•								16,676
Authorization Reques		-								13,000
Planned in Next Five		•	•							69,894
Remaining Deficiency		ogram.								66,000
Grand Total:	у.								-	1,760,992
8. PROJECTS REQ	IESTED	IN THIS P	ROGR	ΔM·			(FY 201	0)		1,700,002
CATEGORY	OLOTED		10010	WIVI.			(1 1 201	,	DESIGN	STATUS
	PROJEC	T TITI F				SCOPE		\$,000	START	CMPL
		elopment	Center	•		2,173	SM		May 08	Sep 09
7 40 004	Offina Do	Ciopinicini	Ochica			Total	Oivi	13,000	. May 00	OCP 00
9a. Future Projects:	Typical F	lanned Ne	xt Five	Years:				10,000		
-	• .	ce Wing H			h1	1,858	SM	9,894		
	•	ce Wing H				4,000	SM	16,900		
	•	ated Base				6,600	SM	13,000		
		enter Add				3,598	SM	13,800		
		Enlisted D		v Ph1		96	RM	16,300		
	. торлого			,		Total		69,894	_	
9b. Real Property Ma	aintenanc	e Backlog	This In	stallatio	n (\$M)					67.3
10. Mission or Major						fend the	United	States thr	rough exc	eptional
Launch, Range, Expe									se is head	•
for the 30th Space W	ing and 1	4th Air Fo	ce. Th	ne 30th i	manage	s Depart	tment of	Defense	space and	d missile
testing, and placing s	atellites in	nto polar o	rbit froi	n the W	est Coa	ıst, using	expend	dable boo	sters.	
11. Outstanding poll	ution and	Safety (OS	SHA) D	eficienc	ies:					
 a. Air pollution 								0		
b. Water Pollutio	n							0		
_	_									
c. Occupational S	Safety and	d Health						0		
								_		
d. Other Environ	mental							0		

DD Form 1390, 24 Jul 00

2. DATE

13,000

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

VANDENBERG AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA

4. PROJECT TITLE

CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

31476 740-884 XUMU003000

9. COST ESTIMATES

U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)							
			9,066							
SM	2,173	3,850	(8,366)							
LS	İ		(162)							
LS			(350)							
LS			(188)							
			2,612							
LS			(250)							
LS			(450)							
LS			(125)							
LS			(210)							
LS			(650)							
LS	İ		(377)							
LS			(550)							
			11,678							
			584							
			12,262							
			699							
			12,961							
			13,000)							
			(1,000							
	SM LS LS LS LS LS LS LS LS LS	SM 2,173 LS LS LS LS LS LS LS LS LS LS LS LS	U/M QUANTITY COST SM 2,173 3,850 LS LS LS LS LS LS LS LS LS LS LS LS LS L							

10. Description of Proposed Construction: One-story steel structure with reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab, masonry walls, interior partition framing, a sloped tile roof, an adequately sized and safe playground, and all appurtenances for a complete and usable CDC. The facility shall have a lobby and administrative areas that must satisfy the American with Disabilities Act (ADA). Complies with DoD force protection requirements standards per Unified Facilities Criteria (UFC).

Air Conditioning: 40 Tons

11. Requirement: 2173 SM Adequate: 0 SM Substandard: 2118 SM

PROJECT: Child Development Center. (Current Mission)

REQUIREMENT: This project will provide an adequate CDC and consolidate family day care to accommodate and support Vandenberg Air Force Base's (AFB) child care needs for 220 children. The CDC includes a central administration area; staff/public toilets; child developmental areas for young infants (6 wks - 6 mos), older infants (6-12 mos), pre-toddlers (12-24 mos), and toddlers (24-36 mos); and multipurpose rooms that can be easily converted to accommodate the different age groups. The facility shall have a correctly sized and properly configured food preparation/dishwashing area, administrative and lobby areas, dry and cold storage area, janitor's closet, and support areas. The new CDC must comply with current AT/FP and ADA standards, fire codes, and all other applicable child care facility regulations.

CURRENT SITUATION: Presently, the CDC is housed in five undersized permanent

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

1. COMPONENT		DATA	2. DATE			
AIR FORCE						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE						
VANDENBERG AI	VANDENBERG AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER					
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)
31476 740-884			XUMU003000 13			00

facilities and in part of one temporary facility located approximately 2 miles away from the permanent facilities. The existing center constructed in 1992 and can only enroll 145 children, with a waiting list that has reached to 120 children in recent years. The rooms are too small and do not meet the current child/adult square footage ratio per Unified Facilities Criteria (UFC) 4-740-14 Design: Child Development Centers requirements (1 August 2002). Without adequate toilets and wash stands, the classrooms cannot easily be converted to accommodate the maximum allowable kids per care provider, thus reducing the efficiency of the child care operation. The existing reception and administrative areas are undersized and poorly configured. The facility currently does not have an isolation/health room, a training room, or a break room for the staff. In addition, the existing food preparation and laundry areas are too small and poorly configured. The nearest communities are between 8 and 20.5 miles from the base, making access to off-base child care facilities expensive and impractical.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Failure to provide an adequate CDC will leave dependant children in inadequate and undersized facilities and will negatively impact morale of personnel. Military members will have difficulty arranging child care which will affect their ability to focus on the base mission. Readiness response will be impeded by lack of childcare. An undersized and poorly configured childcare center deprives the children of an atmosphere most conducive to development during their critical formative years such as social, emotional, physical and cognitive development of the children in care. In addition, the childcare staff will continue to endure inefficient workarounds, wasting much needed resources and manpower.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the scope/criteria specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "facility Requirement". All known alternatives were considered during the development of this project. No other viable and economical option could meet the mission requirements; therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the design, development, and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423 and other applicable laws and Executive Orders. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col David C. Piech, Commercial (805) 606-6855. Child Development Center: 2,173 SM = 23,390 SF

<u>JOINT USE CERTIFICATION:</u> This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements.

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE (computer generated)						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE VANDENBERG AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER								
5. PROGRAM EL	LEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)					ST (\$000)		
31476		740-884	X	UMU003000	13,000			
12. SUPPLEMEN								
(1) Proje	ct to be	accomplished by de	sign-l	ouild procedure	es			
(a) St	(2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - NO (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used							
(3) All Other Design Costs 650						650		

(4) Construction Contract Award 10 FEB

(5) Construction Start 10 MAR

(6) Construction Completion 11 AUG

b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations:

(7) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed

EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE	PROCURING APPROPRIATION	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED	COST (\$000)
COMM EQUIPMENT	3080	2011	200
KITCHEN EQUIPMENT/FURNISHINGS	3400	2011	800

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

YES

1. COMPONENT		FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM 2. DATE								
AIR FORCE										
INSTALLATION AND	LOCATION	NC		COMM	AND:			5. AREA CONST		
PETERSON AIR FOR	RCE BAS	E		AIR FC	RCE SI	PACE		COST IN	IDEX	
COLORADO				COMM	AND			1.07		
Personnel	PEF	RMANENT	-	S	TUDEN ⁻	TS	SU	PPORTE	D	
Strength	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
AS OF 30 Sep 08	189	1123	645	0	0	0	1446	2034	2336	7,773
END FY 2014	174	1083	649	0	0	0	1367	1965	2460	7,698
INVENTORY DAT	A (\$000)									
Total Acreage:		1,387								
Inventory Total as of	: (30 Sep	(80 (433,330
Authorization Not Yet	in Invent	ory:								25,245
Authorization Reques	sted in this	s Program								25,100
Planned in Next Five	Years Pro	ogram:								70,126
Remaining Deficiency	y:									194,100
Grand Total:									-	747,901
8. PROJECTS REQU	JESTED	IN THIS P	ROGR	AM:			(FY 201	0)		
CATEGORY								COST	DESIGN	STATUS
CODE	PROJEC	T TITLE				SCOPE	_	\$,000	<u>START</u>	CMPL
141-753	C-130 Sq	uadron Op	peration	ns/AMU		1314	SM	5,200	Design Build	
171-627	National S	tional Security Space Ir				4,898	SM	19,900	Jun 08	Sep 09
					Total		25,100	•		
9a. Future Projects:	Typical P	lanned Ne	xt Five	Years:						
141-454	RAIDRS	Space Co	ntrol Fa	cility		4,408	SM	26,100		
740-674	Fitness C	enter Ann	ex			3,606	SM	11,800		
610-284	HQ AFSF	PC Annex				6,780	SM	18,656		
871-183	Peterson	East Storr	nwater	Draina	ge	3,350	LM	6,200		
871-183	Widen Pa	aine Street				3,100	SM	2,508		
851-147	East Gate	e Realignn	nent			650	SM	4,862		
						Total		70,126	•	
9b. Real Property Ma	aintenanc	e Backlog	This In	stallatic	n (\$M)					45.4
10. Mission or Major	Functions	s: The mis	sion of	the 21:	st Space	e Wing is	s to cond	luct world	class space s	uperiority
operations and provid	de unsurp	assed inst	allation	suppor	t and pr	otection	while de	eploying V	Varrior Airmen.	The 21st
SW provides worldwi	de missile	warning a	and spa	ace con	trol to u	nified co	mmande	ers, NORA	AD, US NORTH	ICOM, US
STRATCOM, and cor	mbat force	es. 21 SW	also n	nanages	s the glo	bal spac	ce surve	illance ne	twork that dete	cts,
tracks, and catalogs	all man-m	ade object	s in sp	ace and	l also pr	ovides e	early war	ning of st	rategic and the	ater
ballistic missile attack	ks and for	eign space	e launc	hes.						
11. Outstanding pollu	ıtion and	Safety (OS	SHA) D	eficienc	ies.					
a. Air pollution	ation and	ouldly (Ot	JI 17 () D	CHOICH	,ico.			0		
a. 7 ai poliation								Ū		
b. Water Pollutio	n							0		
c. Occupational S	Safety and	d Health						0		
d. Other Environi	mental							0		
d. Other Environi	neniai							U		

DD Form 1390, 24 Jul 00

2. DATE

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

PETERSON AIR FORCE BASE, COLORADO

4. PROJECT TITLE

C-130 SQUADRON OPS/AMU (TFI)

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

41115 141-753 TDKA109005 5,200

9. COST ESTIMATES

J. COST ESTI	MAIBO	,		
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES				3,725
SQUADRON OPERATIONS	SM	714	2,938	(2,098)
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE UNIT	SM	381	2,693	(1,026)
LIFE SUPPORT	SM	81	2,678	(217)
MOBILITY STORAGE	SM	101	1,465	(148)
MEDICAL ADDITION	SM	37	4,148	(153)
ANTITERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION	SM	1,314	21	(28)
SDD & EPACT 05	SM	1,314	42	(55)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				985
UTILITIES	LS			(245)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(245)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(245)
COMMUNICATIONS	LS			(250)
SUBTOTAL				4,710
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				235
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				4,945
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%)				282
TOTAL REQUEST				5,227
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				5,200
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)				(762.0)

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a new co-located Squadron Operations/AMU facility to support the TFI active associate to the 302 AW (AFRC). The facility shall be compatible with installation architectural guidance. This project will comply with DoD antiterrorism/force protection requirements per Unified Facilities Criteria.

11. Requirement: 1314 SM Adequate: 0 SM Substandard: 0 SM

PROJECT: Construct a squadron operations/AMU complex. (New Mission)

REQUIREMENT: Adequately sized and functionally configured to satisfy all training requirements, storage, and administrative requirements of the new unit. Construct new associate Squadron Operations and Aircraft Maintenance Squadrons. Add/alter existing facilities such as mobility storage, life support, and medical clinic to support the associate squadron. Medical addition to be constructed to meet medical requirements.

CURRENT SITUATION: The TFI initiatives recommendation includes the addition of an active associate to the 302 AW (12 PAA) C-130 mission at Peterson AFB, CO. A Facility Utilization Survey recently validated a current mission deficit of 46,000 SF for the 302 AW. There are no additional facilities available at Peterson AFB to accommodate this mission.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The unit will not have adequate facilities upon beddown which will negatively impact their ability to fully augment the 302 AW under activation conditions. Without this addition, the unit will not have an area suitable for operations and training personnel to perform their mission.

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

May 2009

1. COMPONENT	I	DATA	2. DATE					
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE								
PETERSON AIR I	PETERSON AIR FORCE BASE, COLORADO C-130 SQUADRON OPS/AMU (TFI)						[)	
5. PROGRAM ELI	EMENT 6	. CATEGO	ATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST					
41115		141-753 TDKA109005 5,200						

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in AFRC Handbook 32-1001, Standard Facility Requirements and Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements". A preliminary analysis of reasonable options was accomplished comparing alternatives of status quo, renovation, addition/alteration, and new construction. It indicates there is only one option that will meet operational requirements. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception will be prepared. Sustainable principles will be integrated into design, development, and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423 and other applicable laws and Executive Orders. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Derrek Sanks, Comm 719-556-7631. Active Associate Squad Ops/AMU (1,314 SM = 14,150 SF)

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force Reserve and Air Mobility Command requirements. This project supports Total Force Integration initiatives.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DAT							
AIR FORCE	ORCE (computer generated)							
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE								
PETERSON AIR FORCE BASE, COLORADO C-130 SQUADRON OPS/AMU (TFI)								
5. PROGRAM ELE	GRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$							
41115		141-753	TDK	CA109005	5,	200		
12. SUPPLEMENT	AL DATA:				1			
a. Estimated	Design	Data:						
(1) Status	:							
(a) Date Design Started 14-MAY-0								
(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs						YES		
* (c) Percent Complete as of 01 JAN 2009						15%		
(1)						-MAR-09		
(e) Date Design Complete 30-SEP-0						-SEP-09		
(f) Ene	rgy Stud	y/Life-Cycle analy	sis was	/will be per	formed	YES		
(2) Basis:								
(a) Sta	ndard or	Definitive Design	ı -			NO		
(b) Whe	re Desig	n Was Most Recentl	Ly Used					
(3) Total	Cost (c)	= (a) + (b) or (a)	l) + (e)	:		(\$000)		
(a) Pro	duction	of Plans and Speci	ificatio	ons		312		
(b) All	Other D	esign Costs				156		
(c) Tota	al					468		
(d) Con	tract					390		
(e) In-	house					78		
(4) Construction Contract Award 10 F						10 FEB		
	(5) Construction Start 10 MA							
(5) Constr	uction S	tart				IU MAR		

- * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.
- b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations:

EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE	PROCURING APPROPRIATION	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED	COST (\$000)
INTERIOR FURNISHINGS/LOCKERS	3400	2011	662
COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT	3080	2011	100

2. DATE

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

PETERSON AIR FORCE BASE, COLORADO

4. PROJECT TITLE

NATIONAL SECURITY SPACE INSTITUTE

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

31476 171-627 TDKA074036B 19,900

9. COST ESTIMATES

9. COST ESTI	MATES	3		
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES				14,598
NATIONAL SECURITY SPACE INSTITUTE	SM	4,898	2,683	(13,141)
ANTITERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION	LS			(239)
INTERIOR COMMUNICATIONS	SM	4,886	192	(938)
SDD & EPACT05	LS			(280)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				3,312
SENSITIVE COMPARTMENTED INFORMATION FAC(SCIF)	SM	877	200	(175)
UTILITIES	LS			(242)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(672)
PAVEMENTS	SM	12,000	89	(1,068)
TRAFFIC SIGNAL	EA	1	198,000	(198)
EXTERIOR COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT	LS			(261)
PASSIVE ANTITERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION	LS			(341)
RELOCATE EXISTING CITY HI-VOLTAGE UG POWER	LM	138	2,570	(355)
SUBTOTAL				17,911
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				896
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				18,806
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%)				1,072
TOTAL REQUEST				19,878
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				19,900
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)				(1,800.0)

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a two-story structure consisting of a reinforced concrete foundation and structural slab with structural steel framing. Exterior walls shall be insulated metal panels and incorporate large areas of insulated tinted glass in aluminum curtain wall framing. The roof shall be a membrane roof system installed over metal deck and sloped, rigid insulation. The project will include appropriate fire sprinkler and suppression system, humidity control, heating, ventilation, and air conditioning system (HVAC), lightning protection; and other building systems necessary to produce a complete and usable facility. The facility includes classrooms, conference rooms, reception, lobby, administrative support areas, Sensitive Compartmented Information Facilities (SCIF), Top Secret storage vault, and Emissions Security Compliance (EMSEC). The facility shall incorporate handicap accessibility requirements. This project complies with Department of Defense (DoD) force protection requirements per Unified Facilities Criteria (UFC).

Air Conditioning: 94 Tons

11. Requirement: 4898 SM Adequate: 0 SM Substandard: 0 SM

PROJECT: Construct a National Security Space Institute (NSSI). (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: The establishment of the National Security Space Institute (NSSI) is in direct support of the Congressionally-directed Space Commission which found that DoD "must place a high priority on intensifying investments in career development, education, and training to develop and sustain a cadre of highly competent and

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA						2. DATE	
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE								
PETERSON AIR E	FORCE BA	ASE, COLORADO			NATIONAL SECU	RITY SPACE INS	TITUTE	
5. PROGRAM ELI	EMENT	ENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT CO					ST (\$000)	
31476	171-627 TDKA074036B 19,900						00	

motivated military and civilian space professionals." As the DoD Executive Agent for Space, the Air Force must establish a Joint Space Institute to provide sensitive, classified training to Air Force and other DoD space professionals who will comprise the SECDEF-mandated Space Professional Cadre. Estimated annual student throughput is 1,700-2,000 students. The NSSI must provide space for SCIFs, classified storage, and secure classrooms for classified instruction.

CURRENT SITUATION: Presently, the NSSI is temporarily located in leased space comprising 5,295 SM within a contractor's facility in Colorado Springs, approximately 30 minutes drive from Peterson Air Force Base (AFB) and 45 minutes from Schriever AFB. Current annual cost of the lease is \$1.6 million/year and rising. The leased space is not designed to provide the necessary capabilities for an academic environment and does not provide adequate anti-terrorism/force protection (AT/FP) for the cadre and students who will comprise the Space Professional Cadre. The leased space is not cleared to accommodate instruction or discussions at the Special Access Program Special Access Required (SAPSAR) level, forcing Cadre and students to commute to Peterson to use facilities on a space-available basis. The off-base location also forces students to seek off-base accommodations to include meals, lodging, and transportation.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If a new, on-base NSSI is not constructed, the DoD will continue to incur costs of \$1.6 million/year plus escalation without having the necessary facilities, equipment, and security to create and sustain within the government a trained cadre of military and civilian space professionals. Security and AT/FP measures will continue to be deficient and the synergy of being near the hub of operations of numerous, nationally critical space programs based at Peterson AFB and Schriever AFB will be hampered. The US Air Force may not have the flexibility to make adjustments in facilities and equipment to meet future demands of an advancing space technology and curriculum.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria and scope specified in Air Force Handbook (AFH) 32-1084, "Facility Requirements". An Economic Analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo. Based on the net present values and benefits of respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the design, development, and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423 and other applicable laws and Executive Orders. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Derrek Sanks, commercial 719-556-7631. National Security Space Institute 4,898 SM (52,702 SF).

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility is programmed for joint use with other DOD components; however, it is fully funded by the Air Force.

L. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE						
AIR FORCE (computer generated)							
3. INSTALLATION	ON AND I	OCATION		4. PROJECT	FITLE		
PETERSON AIR FORCE BASE, COLORADO NATIONAL SECURITY SPACE INSTITUTE							
5. PROGRAM EL	OGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST						
31476		171-627	TDK	A074036B	19,	900	
12. SUPPLEMEN	TAL DATA	\:					
a. Estimate	d Design	n Data:					
(1) Statu	s:						
	-	gn Started			10	-JUN-08	
(b) Pa	rametrio	Cost Estimates use	ed to de	evelop costs		YES	
* (c) Percent Complete as of 01 JAN 2009 15%							
(4, 1400 000 1000						-JAN-09	
(e) Date Design Complete 25-SEP-09						-SEP-09	
(f) En	ergy Stu	udy/Life-Cycle analy	rsis was	s/will be per	formed	YES	
(2) Basis	:						
(a) St	andard o	or Definitive Design	ı -			NO	
(b) Wh	ere Desi	ign Was Most Recentl	y Used				
(3) Total	Cost ((a) = (a) + (b) or (a)	l) + (e)	:		(\$000)	
(a) Pr	oduction	n of Plans and Speci	fication	ons		1,194	
(b) Al	1 Other	Design Costs				597	
(c) To	tal					1,791	
(d) Co	ntract					1,600	
(e) In	-house					191	
(4) Const	ruction	Contract Award				10 FEB	
(5) Const	ruction	Start				10 MAR	
(6) Const	ruction	Completion				11 DEC	
which i	s compai	letion of Project De cable to traditional					

 $\ensuremath{\text{b.}}$ Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations:

EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE	PROCURING APPROPRIATION	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED	COST (\$000)
FURNITURE	3400	2011	1,200
COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS	3080	2011	600

1. COMPONENT		EV 20	10 MII	ITADV (CONSTR	LICTION	LDDOC	D A M	2. DATE	
AIR FORCE		F1 20	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM 2. DATE							
INSTALLATION AND		ON!		COMMA	/ND:			S ADE/	A CONST	
USAF ACADEMY							ORCE	COST IN		
COLORADO				ACADE		O All CT C	JINOL	1.11	IDLX	
6. Personnel	PF	RMANENT			UDENTS	3	SU	PPORTE	D I	
Strength	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
AS OF 30 SEP 08	929	1011	2483		182		21			8,816
END FY 2014	902	872	2223		182		21			8,390
7. INVENTORY DAT	A (\$000)									·
Total Acreage:	(, ,	53,276								
Inventory Total as of	: (30 Sep	08)								429,549
Authorization Not Yet	t in Invent	ory:								46,000
Authorization Reques	sted in thi	s Program:								17,500
Planned in Next Five	Years Pr	ogram:								58,574
Remaining Deficiency	y:								_	36,000
Grand Total:										587,623
8. PROJECTS REQU	UESTED	IN THIS PE	ROGRA	AM:			(FY 201			
CATEGORY									DESIGN	STATUS
CODE	PROJEC					SCOPE		\$,000		<u>CMPL</u>
171-157	Cadet Fit	ness Cent	er Addı	ition		5,019	SM	17,500	Jun-08	Sep-09
						Total		17,500		
9a. Future Projects:	Typical F	Dannad Na	vt Eivo	Voore:						
730-835		cy Operati				2,667	SM	10,300		
730-837		hicle Sear				474	SM	10,300		
171-393		servatory			escone	742	SM	8,908		
171-853		Wind Tun			осорс	2,729	SM	7,941		
171-853		r Characte			Develo	3716	SM	21,130		
11 1 000	Conton ic	n Onaraoto		adoromp	DOVOIO	Total	Oivi	58,574	•	
9b. Real Propery Ma	intenance	Backlog	This Ins	stallation	(\$M)			, -		187
10. Mission or Major						ation and	training	for cadet	ts to becor	ne Air
Force officers; a train		•		•	_		_			
aircraft; and an air ba		· ·	•	Ū	•			•	0 0	
11. Outstanding poll	ution and	Safety (OS	SHA De	eficiencie	es:					
a. Air pollution								0		
b. Water Pollutio	n							0		
0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	Cafat:	طالم والماء						^		
c. Occupational	sarety an	u Health						0		
d. Other Environ	mental							0		
u. Other Environ	meniai							U		

DD Form 1390, 24 Jul 00

2. DATE

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

USAF ACADEMY, COLORADO

4. PROJECT TITLE

CADET FITNESS CENTER ADDITION

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

86076 171-157 XQPZ104004 17,500

9. COST ESTIMATES

9. COST ES	TIMATES			
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES				13,441
FITNESS CENTER ADDITION	SM	5,019	2,600	(13,049)
ANTITERRORISM FORCE PROTECTION	SM	5,019	26	(130)
SDD & EP ACT 2005	SM	5,019	52	(261)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				2,407
UTILITIES	LS			(875)
PAVEMENTS	LS		į	(650)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS		į	(350)
ASBESTOS REMOVAL	LS		İ	(250)
COMMUNICATIONS	LS			(282)
SUBTOTAL				15,848
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				792
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				16,640
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7	%)			948
TOTAL REQUEST				17,589
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				17,500
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)				(1,535.0)

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete slab on grade; foundation; structural steel framing; exterior window curtain walls with aluminum mullions; all supporting building systems; a low-slope modified bitumen roof; interior architectural finishes; site preparation; and development to include walkways, landscaping, appropriate access drives, and all other requirements. Complies with DoD force protection requirements per Unified Facilities Criteria.

11. Requirement: 70403 SM Adequate: 24512 SM Substandard: 40872 SM

135 Tons

PROJECT: Construct cadet fitness center addition. (Current Mission)

REQUIREMENT: The cadet gymnasium is the single facility that provides space, equipment, and programs essential to all indoor athletic and fitness training for 4,400 cadets. The facility also supports 500 assigned faculty and staff complying with Air Force fit to fight, aviator, and commissioning standards. The facility must provide a full range of capabilities including aerobic, aquatic, strength, and numerous individual and competitive sports venues essential to cadet intramural, intercollegiate, and military training programs (water survival, swimming, unarmed combat, weight training). The facility includes separate locker rooms for male/female cadets and faculty, equipment and materials storage areas, therapy rooms and equipment, and dedicated space for sports requiring large/heavy equipment and materials (gymnastics, boxing, weight training) or unique configurations (racquetball courts, swimming pools, indoor rifle range). This particular facility must be sized and configured to support 800 non-NCAA team sport cadets at one time for personal fitness programs during peak two-hour periods each weekday.

CURRENT SITUATION: The existing cadet gymnasium was designed in the late 1950's for 2,200 male cadets and first entered service in 1959. Since it was originally designed for male only cadre, it was inefficiently converted to support co-ed

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Air Conditioning:

Previous editions are obsolete.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA					2. DATE
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				4. PROJECT TITLE		
USAF ACADEMY, COLORADO				CADET FITNESS CENTER ADDITION		
5. PROGRAM ELE	PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE			JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST (\$000)	
86076 171-157		XQPZ104004		17,500		

athletics in 1976. The facility is an essential part of the Academy's ability to recruit, train, and sustain highly qualified individuals to be future Air Force officers and community leaders. The facility no longer has adequate space to provide basic individual fitness training for cadets who do not participate in competitive athletic programs at the intercollegiate level. The current facility has not kept pace with current Air Force standards and modern athletic programs and training techniques now common at comparable academic institutions. Many gym functions are operating in converted squash courts; modern aerobic and weighttraining machines are squeezed into already constrained and poorly configured spaces or into corridors and hallways creating life safety egress concerns. These congested conditions limit cadets' access during very defined periods when their rigid schedule for Academic and military training allows them to workout. Additional space is required to alleviate these conditions and place the Academy back on par with modern institutional standards. Sixty-five percent of each cadet's Physical Education Average (PEA) is derived from scores on the Physical Fitness Test (PFT) and Aerobic Fitness Test (AFT). Similar to a Grade Point Average (GPA), a minimum cumulative average of 2.00 is required to graduate. All cadets must pass a USAF Commissioning Fitness Test with a minimum 75% score in order to receive a commission upon graduation. Cadets are held to a rigorous graduation standard, although USAFA is unable to provide adequate facilities and equipment to meet this standard. Additional space and equipment to accommodate current cadet demand is necessary to ensure preparation for these rigid commissioning requirements.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The Academy will not be able to meet the goals established by the AF Chief of Staff (CSAF). Cadets will be ill-prepared to meet demands of the Global War on Terror, lack of training space to pass the physical fitness test, aviator fitness test, and USAF commissioning fitness test, and range and quality of programs necessary to recruit top-quality officer candidates and train them to modern Air Force and institutional standards cannot be met.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in the Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements." A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovation, upgrade/removal, and new construction) indicates there is a single option that will meet operations requirements. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not prepared. A certificate of exception has been prepared. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the design, development, and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423 and other applicable laws and Executive Orders. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Justin Davey, Commercial (719) 333-2660. Add to Cadet Fitness Center: 5,019 SM = 54,029 SF.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: Mission requirements, operational considerations, and location are incompatible with use by other components.

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE								
AIR FORCE (computer generated)								
3. INSTALLATIO	ON AND L	OCATION		4. PROJECT	TITLE			
USAF ACADEMY,	COLORAD	0		CADET FITNE	SS CENTER ADD	ITION		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000								
86076 171-157 XQPZ104004 17,500								
12. SUPPLEMEN	TAL DATA	Λ:						
a. Estimate	d Desigr	n Data:						
(1) Statu								
	_	n Started			10	-JUN-08		
, ,		Cost Estimates use		evelop costs		YES		
• •		omplete as of 01 JAN	1 2009			15%		
* (d) Da		-			_	-JAN-09		
(e) Date Design Complete 24-SEP-09								
(f) En	ergy Stu	dy/Life-Cycle analy	sis was	s/will be per	rformed	YES		
(2) Basis	:							
		or Definitive Design				NO		
(b) Wh	ere Desi	lgn Was Most Recentl	Ly Used					
(3) Total	Cost (c	(a) = (a) + (b) or (a)	l) + (e)	:		(\$000)		
(a) Pr	oduction	of Plans and Speci	fication	ons		1,050		
(b) Al	1 Other	Design Costs				525		
(c) To	tal					1,575		
(d) Co	ntract					1,400		
(e) In	-house					175		
(4) Const	ruction	Contract Award				09 DEC		
(5) Const	ruction	Start				10 JAN		
(6) Const	ruction	Completion				11 MAY		
which i	s compar	etion of Project Detable to traditional						
h. Equipmen	t associ	lated with this pro	iect pro	ovided from o	other appropri	ations:		

EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE	PROCURING APPROPRIATION	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED	COST (\$000)
GYM EQUIPMENT	3080	2011	1,385
FURNISHING	3400	2011	150

COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 20	010 M	ILITAR'	Y CONSTRU	ICTION	PROGR	AM	2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION A		\TION		4 COM	MMAND:			5. AREA	CONST	
DOVER AIR FORCE		ATION			VIIVIAND. DBILITY COI	MMAND		COST IN		
DELAWARE	DAGE			All VIVIC	DDIETT COI	VIIVIAIND		1.03	DLX	
6. Personnel	PFF	RMANENT		S	TUDENTS		SL	PPORTE	D	
Strength	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
AS OF 30 SEP 08	507	4235	709	0	0	0	0			0 5,451
END FY 2014	504	4137	706	0	0	0	0			0 5,347
7. INVENTORY DAT	TA (\$000)	·				<u> </u>				•
Total Acreage:	(, ,	3,824								
Inventory Total as of	: (30 Sep	(80 (1,353,020
Authorization Not Ye										131,600
Authorization Reques		•								17,400
Planned in Next Four		-								47,702
Remaining Deficienc		-								72,000
Grand Total:	-									1,621,722
PROJECTS REQ	UESTED	IN THIS PE	ROGR	AM:	(FY20)10)				
CATEGORY									DESIGN	STATUS
	PROJEC [®]					<u>SCOPE</u>			<u>START</u>	<u>CMPL</u>
	-	o Aircraft Maint Trng Facility, Ph 1 801 SM 5,300 Design Build								
131-111	Consolida	ated Comm	unicat	tions Fa	cility	3,000	SM	12,100	Design Bui	ld
						Total		17,400		
Oo. Futura Praiacta:	Typical D	lannad Na	et Fixe	Vooro						
9a. Future Projects: 211-111		nce Hanga				6,250	SM	26,702		
		Measurem			nt I ah	925	SM	4,000		
	Chapel C		iciit L	quipiriei	it Lab	1,220	SM	5,000		
730-835		Forces Con	nnlex			3,700	SM	12,000		
700 000	Coounty 1	01000 0011	ipiox			Total	Oivi	47,702	•	
9b. Real Property M	aintenanc	e Backlog	This Ir	stallatio	on (\$M)			,. 02		110
							C 1=			
10. Mission or Major	Function	s: An airlift	wing \	with one	C-5 squadro	on and o	ne C-17	squadror	n; and an AFF	RC Associate C-
5 airlift wing.										
11. Outstanding poll	ution and	Safety (OS	HA) C	eficienc	cies:					
a. Air pollution			-					0		
b. Water Pollution	n							0		
c. Occupational	Safety and	d Health						0		
d. Other Environ	mental							0		
u. Other Environ	memai									

DD Form 1390, 24 Jul 00

May 2009 72

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION
DOVER AIR FORCE BASE, DELAWARE

4. PROJECT TITLE

CONSOLIDATED COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER

8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

41976 131-111

FJXT033003

12,100

2. DATE

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)					
PRIMARY FACILITIES				7,045					
TELEPHONE SWITCH/NETWORK CONTROL CENTER	SM	500	2,700	(1,350)					
WAREHOUSE/MAINTENANCE	SM	500	1,200	(600)					
ADMINISTRATIVE	SM	2,000	2,450	(4,900)					
ANTITERRORISM FORCE PROTECTION	SM	3,000	20	(60)					
SDD & EP ACT 05	SM	3,000	45	(135)					
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				3,857					
UTILITIES	LS			(1,000)					
PAVEMENTS	SM	5,000	100	(500)					
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(586)					
CABLE VAULT	LS			(750)					
DEMOLITION	SM	2,606	200	(521)					
COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT	LS			(500)					
SUBTOTAL				10,902					
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				545					
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				11,447					
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%)				652					
TOTAL REQUEST				12,100					
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				12,100)					
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)				(8,000					
l									

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Two story steel frame structure with reinforced concrete foundation, floor slabs, reinforced masonry walls/finish system, sloped metal roof, pavements, elevator, utilities and all necessary support. First floor shall be hardened to house the Network Control Center and Telephone Switch. Other spaces include a communication security vault, base records management, administration and engineering, server room, classified/unclassified networks, computer training classrooms, land mobile radio and administrative offices. Demolishes four facilities (223, 439, 447, 612) in excess of 45 years of age (2,606 SM). This project will comply with DoD antiterrorism/force protection requirements per the Unified Facility Criteria.

Air Conditioning: 200 Tons

11. Requirement: 3000 SM Adequate: 0 SM Substandard: 3416 SM

<u>PROJECT:</u> Construct a consolidated communications facility. (Current Mission).

<u>REQUIREMENT:</u> Adequately sized and properly configured facility to house all the functions of the Communications Squadron. The Network Control Center is a weapons system and the facility is classified as a Priority Level 1 resource and must be protected and hardened. The Network Control Center and Telephone Switch are the communications hubs of the base.

<u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> The squadron functions are currently spread among five facilities separated by as much as 1.75 miles. None of these facilities are utilized for their originally designed purpose. The telephone switch, which is in critical need of replacement, is currently operating at 95% of capacity and cannot

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

May 2009

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE							
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)							
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE									
DOVER AIR FORCE BASE, DELAWARE CONSOLIDATED COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY									
5. PROGRAM ELI	RAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT CO								
41976	1976 131-111 FJXT033003 12								

be upgraded as it is past its life expectancy, is located in a fifty year old facility and is inadequately protected for AT/FP and severe weather conditions.

The switch and NCC have been deemed Dover AFB critical facilities and must be protected as part of the base's critical infrastructure program. UFC 4-010-01 states that critical facilties "should be designed to significantly higher levels of protection." The existing communication facilities lack this protection. The criticality of these communication resources was validated during a July 2002 USTRANSCOM Infrastructure Vulnerability Assessment (VA), as well as during multiple AMC and local VAs. A new switch will allow a base-wide decrease in communication infrastructure by 60%, ultimately resulting in a \$60K per year contract savings. Comprehensive and integrated communication systems planning is impeded by fragmented location of related functions. Consolidating functions will improve manpower efficiency approximately 25%. In addition consolidation and demolition of old facilities will result in approximately \$17K annual energy savings. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Over \$200M worth of projects are either under construction or projected to be constructed in the next 2-3 years. Facilities include a new air traffic control facility, numerous C-17 mission related projects, an Army Joint Personal Effects Depot, and an Armed Forces Medical Examiner System facility. Existing communications infrastructure is incapable of adequately supporting these new facilities. All these facilities require state-of-the-art communications support. Communications Squadron command and control will continue to be a problem due to the numerous facilities and distances involved. New capabilities and emerging technologies are difficult and often impossible to implement. The network control center and switch is a priority one resource and is the critical cyber link connecting Dover's warfighting capability to USTRANSCOM's global missions. Without

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Civil Engineering Facility Requirements". A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovation, upgrade/removal, new construction, leasing) was done. It indicates that new construction is the only option that will meet operational requirements. A certificate of exception will be prepared. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the design, development, and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423 and other applicable laws and Executive Orders. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Sherry A. Brown, (302) 677-6768. Comm Facility: 3,000 SM = 32,293 SF.

facilities accounted for a total of 86 direct-service work orders at a cost of 893 man-hours (\$39,556 in labor) and a total cost of \$47,255 (labor and materials). Without repair, Dover's communication facilities will remain one of the worst in

this new consolidated facility, the base will continue to pour valuable O&M resources into maintaining 5 inadequate, delapidated facilities. These 5

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements.

1. COMPONENT		DATA	2. DATE						
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)							
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE									
DOVER AIR FORCE BASE, DELAWARE CONSOLIDATED COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY									
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PF	ROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CC	ST (\$000)			
41976		131-111	100						
		•			•				

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

- a. Estimated Design Data:
 - (1) Project to be accomplished by design-build procedures
 - (2) Basis:
 - (a) Standard or Definitive Design NO
 - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used
 - (3) All Other Design Costs 360
 - (4) Construction Contract Award 10 FEB
 - (5) Construction Start 10 MAR
 - (6) Construction Completion 11 JUN
 - (7) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed YES
- b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations:

EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE	PROCURING APPROPRIATION	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED	COST (\$000)
TELEPHONE SWITCH	3080	11	5,000
COMM EQUIPMENT	3080	11	2,000
FURNITURE	3400	11	1,000

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

May 2009 75

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA
AIR FORCE (computer generated)

2. DATE

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

DOVER AIR FORCE BASE, DELAWARE

4. PROJECT TITLE

C-5 CARGO AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE TRAINING FACILITY, PH 1

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

41119 171-618 FJXT103003 5,300

9. COST ESTIMATES

U/M SM SM SM	QUANTITY 615 186 615	UNIT COST 3,649 8,065 41	COST (\$000) 3,844 (2,244) (1,500)
SM SM	186 615	8,065	(2,244) (1,500)
SM SM	186 615	8,065	(2,244) (1,500)
SM SM	186 615	8,065	(1,500)
SM	615	•	
		41	(25)
SM			(25)
	615	122	(75)
			935
LS			(125)
LS			(650)
LS			(110)
LS			(50)
			4,779
			239
			5,018
			286
			5,304
			5,300
			(75.0)

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Single story facility with two high bays. Facility to include reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab, masonry exterior walls, metal sloped roof, structural framing, fire protection suppression system, electrical, mechanical, and appurtenances. Alter existing facility to accommodate the addition to the facility and all utilities, site improvements, pavements, and necessary support. This project will comply with DoD antiterrorism/force protection requirements per Unified Facilities Criteria.

11. Requirement: 1532 SM Adequate: 0 SM Substandard: 186 SM

PROJECT: Construct a maintenance training device (MTD) facility. (New Mission)
REQUIREMENT: A maintenance training facility is required to support new
Maintenance Training Devices (MTD). The MTD provides tools and classrooms to
furnish specialized hands-on instruction for C-17 and C-5M engine maintenance.
This facility is essential for initial efficiency maintenance qualification
training; skill level upgrade training, proficiency training and system
development/augmentation upgrade training. This on-site training facility is
essential to provide this initial and on-going training methods and procedures that
will otherwise not be available through other training avenues. Facility includes
maintenance training device bays, training and briefing/debriefing rooms, and
administrative offices. This facility will accommodate students, instructors,
maintenance support, and administrative personnel.

CURRENT SITUATION: Dover AFB does not have a MTD facility. There is no unoccupied facility on base that could be renovated to accomplish this mission. Construction of a new MTD facility is essential to support the C-17 beddown and C-5 Reliability Enhancement and Re-engining Program (RERP).

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Without training devices in place, maintenance training

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE						
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE								
DOVER AIR FORCE BASE, DELAWARE C-5 CARGO AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE TRAINING FACILITY, PH 1						ANCE		
5. PROGRAM EL	AM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT CO					ST (\$000)		
41119 171-618 FJXT103003 5,300								

will need to be accomplished on assigned operational aircraft. Both maintenance and flying training will be hindered due to lack of adequate training time. The safe operation of the C-17 or C-5M aircraft will not be accomplished without providing a required MTD facility. Training at another location will incur additional TDY costs and a negative impact on maintenance due to maintainers being in transit for training.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the scope/criteria specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements." This is the first phase of a two phase addition to provide a maintenance training device facility for Dover AFB. The second phase is FJXT113001, Cargo Aircraft Maintenance Training Device Facility. An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, addition/alteration, and status quo operations. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, additon/alteration option was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. Sustainable principles will be integrated into design, development, and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423 and other applicable laws and Executive Orders. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Sherry Brown, (302) 677-6768. Maintenance Training Device Facility: 615 SM = 6,616 SF.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements.

1. COMPONENT	1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE								
AIR FORCE		(com	puter gene	rated)					
3. INSTALLATION	ON AND I	OCATION		4. PROJECT	TITLE	•			
DOVER AIR FOR	CE BASE,	DELAWARE			AIRCRAFT MAINT	ENANCE			
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST									
41119 171-618 FJXT103003 5,300									
12. SUPPLEMEN	TAL DATA	A:							
a. Estimate	d Design	n Data:							
(1) Statu	s:								
	-	gn Started				80-YAM-			
		C Cost Estimates		evelop cost	s	YES			
		omplete as of 01	JAN 2009			15%			
* (d) Da		-				3-MAR-09			
` '		gn Complete)-SEP-09			
(f) En	ergy St	udy/Life-Cycle a	nalysis wa	s/will be p	erformed	YES			
(2) Basis									
` '		or Definitive Dea	sian -			NO			
		ign Was Most Rec	_						
(3) Total	Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or	r (d) + (e):		(\$000)			
(a) Pr	oduction	n of Plans and Sp	pecification	ons		318			
(b) Al	1 Other	Design Costs				159			
(c) To	tal					477			
(d) Co	ntract					398			
(e) In	-house					80			
(4) Const	ruction	Contract Award				10 FEB			
(5) Const	ruction	Start				10 MAR			
(6) Const	ruction	Completion				11 MAR			
* Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.									
b. Equipmen	t assoc:	iated with this p	project pr	ovided from	other appropri	lations:			
				FIS	CAL YEAR				
EOUTOV	NOMES C	T AMITON	PROCURIN		ROPRIATED	COST			
EQUIPMENT	NOMENC	TATUKE	APPROPRIA:	LION OR	REQUESTED	(\$000)			
FF&E			3400		2011	75			

1. COMPONENT		FY	2010 M	ILITAR	Y CONSTR	RUCTION	PROGR	RAM	2. DATE	
AIR FORCE	NDIOO	TION		4 001	40.440.150			le ADEA	CONOT	
3. INSTALLATION A		ATION		4. COMMAND: AIR FORCE MATERIEL				5. AREA		
EGLIN AIR FORCE	BASE					EKIEL		COST INI		
FLORIDA	DEF		_	COMM		-	011	0.94		
6. Personnel		RMANENT			UDENTS	On (PPORTE		TOTAL
Strength	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
AS OF 30 SEP 08	726	2,776	3,156		0	0	502	,		10,146
END FY 2014	726	2,560	3,300	0	0	0	563	2,931	447	10,527
7. INVENTORY DAT	A (\$000)	462.067								
Total Acreage:	. (20 Con	463,067								2 657 500
Inventory Total as of										3,657,509
Authorization Not Yet Authorization Reques		•								117,250 59,800
Planned in Next Five		-	•							190,534
Remaining Deficiency		ogram.								210,450
Grand Total:	у.								-	4,235,543
8. PROJECTS REQU	IIESTED	INI THIS D	POCP/	\ N./I·			(FY 201	٥)		4,200,040
CATEGORY	OESTED	IIV IIIIS F	ROGRA	AIVI.			(1 201	COST	DESIGN	STATUS
	PROJEC	T TITI =				SCOPE		\$,000	START	CMPL
 		(96 RM)				3,343	SM		Design Bu	
149-962		e Control	Tower			329	SM		Design Bu	
		Flightline		de		2	EA	5,420	•	Sep-09
		Operatio				1,039	SM	3,400	•	Sep-09 Sep-09
					k Upgrade		EA	960	-	Sep-09
		Ordanan			. •	81,300	SM	9,900	,	Sep-09
113-321		Parking A		1 acmity		110,000	SM	16,400	•	Sep-09 Sep-09
121-122		rant Refue	•	stem nh	nase I	4	EA	8,100	•	Sep-09
	-	allel Taxiw			1450 1	11,617	SM	1,440	-	Sep-09
112211	1 001 010	anor raxiv	ay Laac	.0.		Total	Oivi	59,800	_	00p 00
9a. Future Projects:	Typical P	lanned Ne	xt Five	Years:				00,000		
934-277		sa Island			toration	1	LS	18,000		
730-835					n Complex		SM	18,200		
218-868		PMEL Fa	_	4		2,448	SM	12,400		
121-122	_	rant Refue	•	stem, Pl	nase II	4	EΑ	4,800		
	-	adron Ope				6,400	SM	29,140		
211-179		l Cell MX I			J	2,324	SM	18,700		
841-427		oression W	-		uke Field	2	EA	2,600		
	Fire Stati					2,805	SM	13,500		
742-674	Fitness C	enter				15,778	SM	29,194		
721-312	Dormitori	es (192 R	M)			6,336	SM	29,000		
721-312	Construc	t Replacer	nent Do	rmitory	(96 RM)	3,168	SM	15,000		
						Total		190,534	_	
9b. Restoration and	Moderniza	ation (R&N	/I) Unfur	nded Re	quirement	(\$M)				116
10. Mission or Major		,	,		•	,	ch, deve	lopment, to	est and eva	luation
(RDT&E) of convention		•	•					•		
operational units. Eg				-		•			-	_
supports approximate	ely 25 ass	ociate unit	s, inclu	ding: 33	rd Fighter	Wing, Air	Combat	Command	d, 53rd Win	g, Air
Combat Command, U	-			-	-	-				
(SOW). 919th SOW,	, U.S. Air I	Force Res	erve (D	uke Fiel	d). 20th S	pace Surv	eillance	, U.S. Air I	Force Space	9
Command, 6th Range			•		•	•			•	
Disposal School and		-			-					
									-	
11. Outstanding polls	ution and	Safety (O	SHA De	ficiencie	es:					
a. Air pollution								0	l	
b. Water Pollutio	n							0		
c. Occupational S	Safety and	d Health						0		
d. Other Environi	mental							0		
DD Form 1390, 24 Ju	1.00									

DD Form 1390, 24 Jul 00

May 2009 79

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITAR	2. DATE				
AIR FORCE	(com					
3. INSTALLATION	ON AND LOCATION	4	4. PROJECT TITLE			
EGLIN AIR FOR	CE BASE, FLORIDA	D	DORMITORY (96 RM)			
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJE	CT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)	

	,
72976 721-312 FTFA053025 11,000	

_		
9.	COST	ESTIMATES

J. COST EST.	THATES	,		
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES				8,267
DORMITORY (96 RM)	SM	3,168	2,420	(7,667)
COMMONS BUILDING	SM	175	1,400	(245)
ANTITERRORISM FORCE PROTECTION	LS			(215)
SDD & EP ACT2005	LS			(140)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				1,640
UTILITIES	LS			(620)
PAVEMENTS	LS	j		(540)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(260)
COMMUNICATIONS	LS			(220)
SUBTOTAL				9,907
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				495
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				10,402
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%)				593
TOTAL REQUEST				10,995
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				11,000)
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)				(640

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Multi-story facility with reinforced concrete foundation and floor slabs, masonry walls, and metal roof. Includes Dorms-4-Airmen four-bedroom module design, storage, lounge areas, site preparation, and all other supporting facilities. Also includes separate commons building. Comply with DoD force protection requirements per unified facilities criteria.

Air Conditioning: 96 Tons Grade Mix: E1-E4 96

11. Requirement: 920 RM Adequate: 670 RM Substandard: 405 RM

PROJECT: Dormitory (96 RM). (Current Mission)

REQUIREMENT: A major Air Force objective is to provide unaccompanied enlisted personnel with housing conducive to their proper rest, relaxation, and personal well being. Properly designed and furnished quarters providing some degree of individual privacy are essential to the successful accomplishment of the increasingly complicated and important jobs these people must perform. The retention of these highly trained Airmen is essential to our readiness posture and continuing world-wide presence.

<u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> The base has insufficient adequate on-base housing to accommodate the unaccompanied enlisted personnel. This project is in accordance with the Air Force Dormitory Master Plan.

<u>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</u> Adequate living quarters will continue to be unavailable resulting in degradation of morale, productivity, retention and career satisfaction for unaccompanied enlisted personnel.

<u>ADDITIONAL:</u> This project meets the criteria/scope specified in the uniform barracks construction standard known as "dorm-4-airmen module' established by Air Force. All known alternatives were considered during the development of this

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE						2. DATE
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE							
EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA					DORMITORY (96	5 RM)	
5. PROGRAM ELI	EMENT	6. CATEG	ORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)
72976		721-	-312	FI	FA053025	11,0	00

project. No other option could meet the mission requirements; therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. FY2007 Unaccompanied Housing RPM Conducted: \$85K. FY2008 Unaccompanied Housing RPM Conducted: \$105K. Future Unaccompanied Housing RPM requirements (estimated): FY09: \$108K; FY10: \$111K; FY11: \$116K. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the design, development, and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423 and other applicable laws and Executive orders. Civil Engineer: Col Dennis D. Yates; (850) 882-2876. Dormitory: 3,168 SM = 34,088 SF; Common Building: 175 SM = 1,884 SF.

<u>JOINT USE CERTIFICATION:</u> This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA						2. DATE	
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE								
EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA DORMITORY (96 RM)								
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY	CODE	7. PF	ROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	OST (\$000)	
72976		721-312	<u> </u>	F	TFA053025	11,	000	

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

- a. Estimated Design Data:
 - (1) Project to be accomplished by design-build procedures
 - (2) Basis:
 - (a) Standard or Definitive Design NO
 - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used
 - (3) All Other Design Costs 550
 - (4) Construction Contract Award 10 JAN
 - (5) Construction Start 10 FEB
 - (6) Construction Completion 11 JUN
 - (7) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed YES
- b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations:

EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE	PROCURING APPROPRIATION	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED	COST (\$000)
FURNISHINGS	3400	2011	600
COMMUNICATIONS	3080	2011	40

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

May 2009 82

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA AIR FORCE (computer generated)

2. DATE

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA

4. PROJECT TITLE

F-35 DUKE CONTROL TOWER

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE | 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 27142 149-962 FTFA053019 3,420

9. COST ESTIMATES

J. COD	,	1111 LD	'		
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
IIBM		0/M	QUANTITI	COST	(\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITY					3,841
CONTROL TOWER		sm	329	11,119	(3,658)
ANTITERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION		LS			(92)
SDD & EP ACT 2005		SM	329	278	(91)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					1,258
UTILITIES		LS			(256)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS			(163)
PAVEMENTS		LS			(622)
EXTERIOR COMMUNICATIONS		LS			(192)
DEMOLITION		LS			(25)
SUBTOTAL					5,099
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)					255
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					5,354
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD	(5.7%)				305
TOTAL REQUEST					5,659
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					3,420
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD))				(500.0)

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete footings, foundation, floor slabs and walls, thermal glass observation cab, utilities, parking lot, and roadway. Includes mechanical, electrical, and electronic equipment rooms; flight support and training facilities, and administrative offices. Demolishes existing 1,041 square feet (97 square meters) tower, B3039. The project will comply with DoD force protection requirements per Unified Facilities Criteria.

Air Conditioning: 20 Tons

11. Requirement: 1547 SM Adequate: 903 SM Substandard: 97 SM

PROJECT: Air Traffic Control Tower. (New Mission)

REQUIREMENT: Construct a ten-story aircraft control tower in accordance with USAF Air Traffic Control Tower Design Guide and FAA Order 6480. The structure includes control tower cab, a training and crew briefing room, mechanical rooms, chief air traffic control officer office, administration, back-up generator, utility support, extensive communication support, catwalk around outside of cab, intercom system, security system, an elevator and machinery, and a pad mounted transformer adjacent to the control tower.

CURRENT SITUATION: The current base operations houses and provides space for weather station personnel, tower chief controller, airfield manager, airfield management, flight planning room, aircrew lounge, conference room, break room, lobby and common use areas. Current control tower is not large enough to support the increase in the number of controllers working the tower due to the proposed F-35 operations at Duke Field. Also the current control tower does not have appropriate sound attenuation to support F-35 operations. The current control tower is near the end of its useful life and it is not capable of being renovated to meet the standards of the new F-35 mission requirements.

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2							
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE								
EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA F-35 DUKE CONTROL TOWER								
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)		
27142		149-962	FTFA053019		3,4:	20		

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The Joint Strike Fighter (JSF) Program is the Department of Defense's focal point for defining affordable next generation strike aircraft weapon systems for the Navy, Air Force, Marines, and US allies. The focus of the program is affordability -- reducing the development cost, production cost, and cost of ownership of the JSF family of aircraft. Failure to provide a replacement control tower at Duke Field (probable main outer lying field), will result in severe air traffic control issues.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the scope/criteria specified in the Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements", and "USAF Air Traffic Control Tower Design Guide" and has been validated in the Site Activation Task Force (SATAF) process. The project is conjunctively funded with BRAC. The total project cost is \$5.7M. The Air Force share is \$3.42M while the BRAC share is \$2.28M. A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovation, new construction) was done. It indicates there is only one option that will satisfy F-35 mission requirements. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the design, development, and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423 and other applicable laws and Executive Orders. Base Civil Engineer: Col. Dennis D. Yates, (850) 882-2876 (ext. 200). Air Traffic Control Tower: 329 SM = 3,540 SF.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility is conjunctively programmed for Air Force and BRAC funding.

1. COMPONENT	1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE							
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)							
3. INSTALLATI	ON AND I	LOCATION		4. PROJECT	TITLE			
EGLIN AIR FOR	CE BASE	, FLORIDA		F-35 DUKE C	CONTROL TOWER			
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000								
27142 149-962 FTFA053019 3,420								
12. SUPPLEMEN	TAL DATA	A:			•			
a. Estimate	d Design	n Data:						
(1) Statu	s:							
(a) Da	te Desi	gn Started			14	-MAY-08		
(b) Pa	rametri	c Cost Estimates	used to d	evelop costs	;	YES		
* (c) Pe	rcent C	omplete as of 01	JAN 2009			15%		
* (d) Da	te 35% 1	Designed			18	-MAR-09		
(e) Da	(e) Date Design Complete 3							
(f) En	ergy St	udy/Life-Cycle an	nalysis wa	s/will be pe	rformed	YES		
(2) Basis	:							
(a) St	(a) Standard or Definitive Design - NO							
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used								
(3) Total	Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or	(d) + (e):		(\$000)		
(a) Pr	oduction	n of Plans and Sp	pecificati	ons				
		Design Costs				285		
(c) To								
` '	ntract							
(e) In	-house							
(4) Const	ruction	Contract Award				10 FEB		
(5) Const	ruction	Start				10 MAR		
(6) Const	ruction	Completion				11 MAR		
which i	s compa	letion of Project rable to tradition tability.						
b. Equipmen	t assoc	iated with this p	project pr	ovided from	other appropri	ations:		
EQUIPMEN:	r nomeno	LATURE	PROCURIN APPROPRIA	IG APPR	CAL YEAR OPRIATED EQUESTED	COST (\$000)		

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA
AIR FORCE (computer generated)

2. DATE

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA

4. PROJECT TITLE

F-35 JP8 FLIGHTLINE FILLSTANDS

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)
27142 126-925 FTFA073904 5,400

9. COST	ESTIMATES	3		
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES				4,850
TRUCK FILLSTANDS (600 GPM EACH)	EA	2	225,000	(450)
PUMPHOUSE (1200 GPM WITH SPARE PUMP)	LS			(2,700)
RECIEPT FILTRATION	LS			(1,000)
SUPPLY AND RETURN PIPING FROM TANK	LS			(700)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	İ			3,250
SITE WORK	LS			(100)
AREA LIGHTS	LS			(100)
SCULLY GROUNDING	EA	2	45,000	(90)
ROAD TO/FROM FILLSTAND	LS			(1,000)
FILLSTAND	EA	2	200,000	(400)
TWO LANE DRIVE AT FILLSTAND	EA	2	75,000	(150)
FILLSTAND, SUPPLY AND RETURN PIPE	LF	3,000	300	(900)
FILLSTAND DRAIN TO OIL/WATER SEPARTOR	EA	2	75,000	(150)
UTILITIES ELECTRICAL FEED & TRANFORMER	LS			(200)
GROUNDING FOR R-11'S	EA	2	5,000	(10)
CONTAINMENT FOR TRUCKS (R-11'S)	EA	2	75,000	(150)
SUBTOTAL				8,100
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				405
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				8,505
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD	(5.7%)			485
TOTAL REQUEST				8,990
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				5,400

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct two JP-8 truck fillstands adjacent to 33 Flying Wing Aircraft Parking Ramp. This project will comply with DoD antiterrorism/force protection requirements per the Unified Facility Criteria.

11. Requirement: 4 EA Adequate: 2 EA Substandard: 0 EA

PROJECT: Construct two JP-8 truck fillstands. (New Mission)

REQUIREMENT: Eglin AFB has been established as an Initial Joint Training Site for the new Joint Strike Fighter (JSF) aircraft (F-35). The scheduled date for stand-up of JSF operations at Eglin AFB is Oct 2009, with the first aircraft scheduled to arrive in the 2nd Quarter of FY10. Included in the buildup are 133 JSF aircraft with a fuel load capacity of approximately 2.7K gallons each. Planned daily flying operations for the JSF are 100 sorties with a total days JP-8 consumption of approximately 270K gallons or 70.2M gallons per year. This will increase Eglin's total annual consumption to 88.4M gallons or a 245% increase. Additional fuels receipt, storage and issue capability is needed to meet projected mission requirements directly related to the basing of the JSF at Eglin AFB.

CURRENT SITUATION: Currently there are two JP-8 truck fillstands located across the main roadway from the 33 Flying Wing aircraft parking ramp. JP-8 tank trucks servicing aircraft on the 33 ramp currently have to cross the busy roadway to

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE							
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE								
EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA					F-35 JP8 FLIGHTLINE FILLSTANDS			
5. PROGRAM ELI	EMENT	6. CATEGO	RY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJ		8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)	
27142		126-	925	FI	FA073904	5,40	00	

replenish their tanks. Providing two additional JP-8 fillstands in close proximity to the flight line area will reduce aircraft refueling response times and reduce the chance for mishap by eliminating the need for the refueling vehicles to cross the busy roadway to replenish tanks.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The time required for refueling would adversely impact the sortie rate due to the inability to support the fuel demand. The Joint Strike Fighter (JSF) Program is the Department of Defense's focal point for defining affordable next generation strike aircraft weapon systems for the Navy, Air Force, Marines, and US allies. The focus of the program is affordability -- reducing the development cost, production cost, and cost of ownership of the JSF family of aircraft. Failure to provide additional fuels infrastructure needed to support the JSF will result in a decrease in the United States and its ally's homeland defense capability thus reducing the ability to protect future generations worldwide. ADDITIONAL: The criteria/scope for this project was developed utilizing the Eglin Air Force Base Fuel Infrastructure Business Case Analysis; Air Force Handbook 32-1084, Facility Requirements; and validated SATAF requirements. The project will be jointly funded with the Navy. The total project cost is \$8.9M. The Project Cost shown in block 8, \$5.4M, is for the Air Force portion of the project only. The remainder (\$3.5M) is being programmed by the Navy under project P907F. A preliminary analysis of reasonable options was accomplished comparing alternatives of status quo, renovation, addition/alteration, and new construction in the Business Case Analysis. It indicates the only option that will meet operational requirements is new construction. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception was prepared. Base Civil Engineer: Col Dennis D. Yates (850) 882-2876 (ext. 200). F-35 JP8 Flightline Fillstands: 2 Ea. JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility is programmed for joint use with Navy and will be jointly funded.

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE								
AIR FORCE	AIR FORCE (computer generated)							
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE								
EGLIN AIR FOR	EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA F-35 JP8 FLIGHTLINE FILLSTANDS							
5. PROGRAM EL	PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$00							
27142		126-925	FTI	FA073904	5,	400		
12. SUPPLEMEN	TAL DATA	\:						
a. Estimate	d Design	n Data:						
(1) Statu								
	_	n Started		_	14	-MAY-08		
		Cost Estimates use		evelop costs		YES		
		omplete as of 01 JAN	1 2009			15%		
* (d) Da		-				-MAR-09		
	_	gn Complete				-SEP-09		
(f) En	ergy St	udy/Life-Cycle analy	ysis was	s/will be per	rformed	YES		
(2) Basis	:							
(a) St	andard o	or Definitive Design	ı -			NO		
(b) Wh	ere Des	ign Was Most Recentl	Ly Used					
(3) Total	Cost ((a) = (a) + (b) or (a)	l) + (e)	:		(\$000)		
(a) Pr	oduction	n of Plans and Speci	ificatio	ons		540		
(b) Al	1 Other	Design Costs				270		
(c) To	tal					810		
• •	ntract					675		
(e) In	-house					135		
(4) Const	ruction	Contract Award				10 FEB		
(5) Const	ruction	Start				10 MAR		
(6) Const	ruction	Completion				11 MAR		
	_							

- * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.
- b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations: $\ensuremath{\mathrm{N/A}}$

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA
AIR FORCE (computer generated)

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION
4. PROJECT TITLE
EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA
F-35 POL OPS FACILITY

121-111

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

FTFA061726

9. COST ESTIMATES

STIMATES	<u> </u>		
U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
			3,620
SM	372	2,690	(1,001)
SM	667	3,000	(2,001)
SM	4,784	100	(478)
LS		į	(70)
SM	1,039	67	(70)
İ			1,186
LM	277	131	(36)
LS		İ	(150)
SM	25	2,000	(50)
LS		j	(150)
LS		į	(250)
LS		į	(300)
LS		İ	(150)
LS			(100)
			4,806
			240
		-	5,047
7%)			288
		-	5,334
			3,180
			(500.0)
	U/M SM SM LS SM LS SM LS LS LS LS	SM 372 SM 667 SM 4,784 LS SM 1,039 LM 277 LS SM 25 LS LS LS LS LS	U/M QUANTITY COST SM 372 2,690 SM 667 3,000 SM 4,784 100 LS SM 1,039 67 LM 277 131 LS SM 25 2,000 LS LS LS LS LS LS

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a single-story sprinkler equipped facility consisting of concrete foundation, split-faced concrete block over steel frame and sloped standing seam metal roof. Building will provide support to 22 R-11 aircraft refueler tankers and associated personnel. Project will demolish existing operations facility, B1304 (98 SM). Project complies with DoD anti-terrorism/force protection requirements per unified facilities criteria.

Air Conditioning: 20 Tons

27142

11. Requirement: 1039 SM Adequate: 0 SM Substandard: 98 SM

PROJECT: Construct a POL Operations and Refueler Maintenance Facility. (New Mission).

REQUIREMENT: A new POL operations and refueler maintenance facility is necessary to support the beddown of the F-35 Joint Strike Fighter. To meet this requirement, routine maintenance of R-11 refuelers has to be accomplished within proximity of the actual operation. This facility will provide adequate area for administrative, maintenance and operational tasks during the day-to-day operations of a tanker truck refueling operation.

CURRENT SITUATION: The current operations facility is 60% smaller than what AFH 32-1084 authorizes for the size/type of operations. The new operation could grow in excess of 57 additional personnel. This facility is in a deteriorated state and

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

2. DATE

3,180

1. COMPONENT		FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE					2. DATE
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE							
EGLIN AIR FOR	CE BASE,	FLORIDA			F-35 POL OPS	FACILITY	
5. PROGRAM ELI	EMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)					ST (\$000)	
27142		121-111 FTFA061726 3,180					

is grossly inadequate for the impending operations.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The effectiveness and the efficiency of the POL operation suffers with overcrowding in the existing facility. Safety could be compromised with the crowding causing congestion. The Joint Strike Fighter (JSF) Program is the Department of Defense's focal point for defining affordable next generation strike aircraft weapon systems for the Navy, Air Force, Marines, and US allies. The focus of the program is affordability -- reducing the development cost, production cost, and cost of ownership of the JSF family of aircraft.

Failure to provide additional fuels infrastructure needed to support the JSF will result in a decrease in the United States and its ally's homeland defense capability thus reducing the ability to protect future generations worldwide.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope contained in AFH 32-1084, "Facility Requirements", the Joint Strike Fighter Facility Requirements Document developed by Lockheed Martin Aeronautics Company, and the AETC Eglin Fuels Business Case Analysis as amended to reflect needs validated in the Site Activation Task Force (SATAF) process. The project will be jointly funded with the Navy. The total project cost is \$5.218M. The Project Cost shown in block 8 (\$3.18M) is for the Air Force portion of the project only. The remainder (\$2.1M) is being programmed by the Navy under project P906F. A preliminary analysis of reasonable options was accomplished comparing alternatives of status quo, renovation, addition/alteration, and new construction. It indicates the only option that will meet operational requirements is new construction. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception was prepared. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the design, development and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423 and other applicable laws and executive orders. Base Civil Engineer: Col Dennis D. Yates, (850) 882-2876 (ext. 200). POL Ops Facility: 1039 SM =11,184 SF.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility is programmed for joint use with Navy and will be jointly funded.

1. COMPONENT	1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE							
AIR FORCE								
3. INSTALLATI	ON AND I	OCATION		4. PROJECT	TITLE			
EGLIN AIR FOR	CE BASE,	FLORIDA		F-35 POL OP	S FACILITY			
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)								
27142 121-111 FTFA061726 3,180								
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:								
a. Estimate	d Design	n Data:						
(1) Statu	s:							
(a) Da	te Desig	gn Started			14	-MAY-08		
(b) Pa	rametri	c Cost Estimates u	sed to d	evelop costs		YES		
* (c) Pe	rcent Co	omplete as of 01 J	AN 2009			15%		
* (d) Da	te 35% 1	- Designed			18	-MAR-09		
		gn Complete				-SEP-09		
	_	udy/Life-Cycle ana	lveie wa	z/will he ne		YES		
(2) Basis	:			_				
(a) St	andard o	or Definitive Desi	gn -			NO		
(b) Wh	ere Des	ign Was Most Recen	tly Used					
(3) Total	Cost ((a) = (a) + (b) or	(d) + (e):		(\$000)		
(a) Pr	oduction	n of Plans and Spe	cificati	ons		318		
(b) Al	1 Other	Design Costs				159		
(c) To	tal					477		
(d) Co	ntract					398		
(e) In	-house					80		
(4) Const	ruction	Contract Award				10 FEB		
(5) Const	ruction	Start				10 MAR		
(6) Const	ruction	Completion				11 MAR		
* Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.								
b. Equipmen	t assoc	iated with this pr	oject pro	ovided from o	other appropri	ations:		
EQUIPMENT	FISCAL YEAR PROCURING APPROPRIATED COST EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE APPROPRIATION OR REQUESTED (\$000)							
FURNISHI	NGS/EQUI	PMENT	3080	2	2011	500		

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE
AIR FORCE (computer generated)

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA

4. PROJECT TITLE

F-35 JP8 WEST SIDE BULK TANK UPGRADES

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

27142 121-122 FTFA073905 960

9. COST ESTIMATES

9. CO	ST ESTI	MATES			
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES					1,200
TRUCK OFF-LOAD/LOAD COMBO SKID SUPPORTING FACILITIES		EA	4	300,000	(1,200) 250
SITE IMPROVEMENTS		EA	1	250,000	(250)
SUBTOTAL CONTINGENCY (5.0%)					1,450 73
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					1,523
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD TOTAL REQUEST	(5.7%)				1,609
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					960

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Upgrade two existing 1M gallon JP-8 bulk tanks to include tanker truck receipt and R-11 refueling vehicle load capability. This project will comply with DoD antiterrorism/force protection requirements per the Unified Facility Criteria.

11. Requirement: 4 EA Adequate: 0 EA Substandard: 4 EA

PROJECT: Upgrade existing JP-8 west side bulk tanks. (New Mission)

REQUIREMENT: Eglin AFB has been established as an Initial Joint Training Site for the new Joint Strike Fighter (JSF) aircraft (F-35). The scheduled date for stand-up of JSF operations at Eglin AFB is Oct 2009, with the first aircraft scheduled to arrive in the 2nd Quarter of FY10. Each JSF aircraft has a fuel load capacity of approximately 2.7K gallons each. Planned daily flying operations for the JSF are 100 sorties with a total days JP-8 consumption of approximately 270K gallons or 70.2M gallons per year. The JP-8 consumption for the JSF will increase 33 FW current mission demands by approximately 388%. Additional fuels receipt, storage and issue capability is needed to meet projected mission requirements directly related to the basing of the JSF at Eglin AFB.

CURRENT SITUATION: The two 1M gallon JP-8 bulk storage tanks do not have tanker truck offloading capability needed in the event of interruption in barge resupply and the loading capability is insufficient to meet requirements of the JSF.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The Joint Strike Fighter (JSF) Program is the Department of Defense's focal point for defining affordable next generation strike aircraft weapon systems for the Navy, Air Force, Marines, and US allies. The focus of the program is affordability -- reducing the development cost, production cost, and cost of ownership of the JSF family of aircraft. Failure to provide additional fuels infrastructure needed to support the JSF will result in a decrease in the United States and its ally's homeland defense capability thus reducing the ability to protect future generations worldwide.

ADDITIONAL: The criteria/scope for this project is contained in AFH 32-1084, "Facility Requirements", the Joint Strike Fighter Facility Requirements Document developed by Lockheed Martin Aeronautics Company, and the AETC Eglin Fuels Business Case Analysis as amended to reflect needs validated in the SATAF process. The project will be jointly funded with the Navy. The total project cost is \$1.56M. The Project Cost shown in block 8 (\$0.96M) is for the Air Force portion of the project only. The remainder (\$0.6M) is being programmed by the Navy under project P905F. A preliminary analysis of reasonable options was accomplished

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE					
AIR FORCE		(comp	uter ge	nerated)			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE							
EGLIN AIR FOR	CE BASE	, FLORIDA		F-35 JP8 WEST	SIDE BULK TAN	IK UPGRADES	
5. PROGRAM ELI	EMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)					ST (\$000)	
27142	121-122 FTFA073905 960						

comparing alternatives of status quo, renovation, addition/alteration, and new construction. It indicates the only option that will meet operational requirements is new construction. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception was prepared. Base Civil Engineer: Col Dennis D. Yates, (850) 882-2876 (ext. 200). F-35 JP8 West Side Bulk Tank Upgrades: 4 Ea. JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility is programmed for joint use with Navy and will be jointly funded.

1. COMPONENT	ENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE						
AIR FORCE	AIR FORCE (computer generated)						
3. INSTALLATI	3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE						
EGLIN AIR FOR	EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA F-35 JP8 WEST SIDE BULK TANK UPGRADES						
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$0					ST (\$000)		
27142		121-122	FTI	FA073905	9	60	
12. SUPPLEMEN	TAL DATA	A:					
a. Estimate	d Design	n Data:					
(1) Statu	s:						
(a) Da	te Desi	gn Started			14	-MAY-08	
(b) Pa	rametri	c Cost Estimates use	ed to de	evelop costs		YES	
* (c) Pe	rcent C	omplete as of 01 JAN	1 2009			15%	
* (d) Da	te 35% 1	Designed			18	-MAR-09	
(e) Da	te Desi	gn Complete			30	-SEP-09	
(f) En	ergy St	udy/Life-Cycle analy	sis was	s/will be per	formed	YES	
(2) Basis	:						
(a) St	andard	or Definitive Design	ı -			NO	
(b) Wh	ere Des	ign Was Most Recentl	ly Used				
(3) Total	Cost ((a) = (a) + (b) or (a)	l) + (e)	:		(\$000)	
(a) Pr	oduction	n of Plans and Speci	ificatio	ons		96	
' '		Design Costs				48	
(c) To	tal					144	
,,	ntract					120	
(e) In	-house					24	
(4) Const	ruction	Contract Award				10 FEB	
(5) Const	ruction	Start				10 MAR	
(6) Construction Completion 10 AUG						10 AUG	

- * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.
- b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations: $\ensuremath{\mathrm{N/A}}$

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA
AIR FORCE (computer generated)

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA

4. PROJECT TITLE

F-35 LIVE ORDNANCE LOAD AREA

2. DATE

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)
27142 116-662 FTFA073911 9,900

9. COST ESTIMATES

9. COS1 E	SIIMAIES)		
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES				12,823
APRON	SM	81,300	150	(12,195)
LOAD CREW SHELTER	SM	185	850	(157)
FLARE FACILITY	SM	185	850	(157)
HOLDING AREA FOR MUNITIONS	SM	2,090	150	(314)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				2,100
UTILITIES	LS			(750)
AIRFIELD MARKINGS/SIGNAGE	LS			(100)
SITE WORK	LS			(1,000)
ENVIRONMENTAL REMEDIATION	LS			(250)
SUBTOTAL				14,923
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				746
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				15,669
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.	7%)			893
TOTAL REQUEST				16,562
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				9,900
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)				(250.0)

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Excavate, fill and grade site for apron addition. Install appropriate stormwater structures. Construct aggregate base course and install aircraft tie-down/ground rods. Construct new PCC, 16 inch depth, including dowel joint assemblies, expansion joints and joint sealant, paint lines and new signage. Provide necessary edge lighting along with area lighting. This project will comply with DoD antiterrorism/force protection requirements per the Unified Facility Criteria.

11. Requirement: 97423 SM Adequate: 16123 SM Substandard: 0 SM

PROJECT: Construct live ordnance loading area. (New Mission)

REQUIREMENT: Eglin AFB has been established as an Initial Joint Training Site for the new Joint Strike Fighter (JSF) aircraft (F-35). The scheduled date for the first F-35 arrival at Eglin AFB is Jan 2010. This Joint Training Site will include a maintenance schoolhouse where 2,000+ crew chiefs, weapons and avionics personnel will come for specific F-35 training on an annual basis. 200+ pilots will receive initial aircraft training via live mission and simulator flights. Pilots are expected to drop live munitions during their training and therefore there must be a location to load these munitions on aircraft taking into account constraints found in applicable UFCs, DoDIs and AFIs.

CURRENT SITUATION: Eglin AFB currently has the capacity to load NEW 1.1 munitions on seven aircraft at one time by implementing significant workarounds. The seven munitions loading spots are located on the other side of Eglin AFB Main and would require significant taxi times requiring additional fuel, shortening actual mission lenghts, and would result in damage to taxiway pavements from prolonged exposure to the F-35 exhaust. The current syllabus and sortic rate could drive as many as 16 aircraft requiring air-to-ground munitions loads at a given time.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The Joint Strike Fighter (JSF) Program is the Department

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

1. COMPONENT		FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE					
AIR FORCE		(compu	ıter ge	nerated)			
3. INSTALLATIO	3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE						
EGLIN AIR FOR	CE BASE,	FLORIDA		F-35 LIVE ORI	ONANCE LOAD ARE	EA	
5. PROGRAM ELI	EMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)					ST (\$000)	
27142	42 116-662 FTFA073911 9,900						

of Defense's focal point for defining affordable next generation strike aircraft weapon systems for the Navy, Air Force, Marines, and US allies. The focus of the program is affordability -- reducing the development cost, production cost, and cost of ownership of the JSF family of aircraft. Failure to provide adequate munitions loading capabilities will result in an inability to train pilots. In turn, this will decrease the United States and its ally's homeland defense capability thus reducing the ability to protect future generations worldwide. ADDITIONAL: The criteria/scope for this project is contained in AFH 32-1084, "Facility Requirements", and the Joint Strike Fighter Facility Requirements Document developed by Lockheed Martin Aeronautics Company as validated in the SATAF process. The project will be conjunctively funded BRAC. The total project cost is \$16.524M. The Air Force share is \$9.9M while the BRAC share is \$6.624M. preliminary analysis of reasonable options was accomplished comparing alternatives of status quo, renovation, addition/alteration, and new construction. It indicates the only option that will meet operational requirements is new construction. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception was prepared. Base Civil Engineer: Col Dennis D. Yates, (850) 882-2876 (ext. 200). Aircraft Parking Apron: 81,300 SM = 875,000 SF.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility is conjunctively programmed with BRAC.

1. COMPONENT	1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE							
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)							
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE								
EGLIN AIR FOR	CE BASE	FLORIDA		F-35 LIVE O	RDNANCE LOAD A	AREA		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)								
27142 116-662 FTFA073911 9,900								
12. SUPPLEMEN	12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:							
a. Estimate	d Design	n Data:						
(1) Statu	s:							
(a) Da	te Desig	gn Started			14	-MAY-08		
(b) Pa	rametri	Cost Estimates	used to d	evelop costs		YES		
		omplete as of 01		_		15%		
* (d) Da		-			18	-MAR-09		
		gn Complete				-SEP-09		
	_	udy/Life-Cycle an	alveie wa	g/will be ner		YES		
(1) 11.	cray be	ady/life cycle di	arybib wa	b, will be per	Lormod	1110		
(2) Basis		- 51 1.1 -						
		or Definitive Des	_			NO		
(b) Wh	ere Des	ign Was Most Rece	ntly Used					
		(a) = (a) + (b) or (a)				(\$000)		
(a) Pr	oduction	n of Plans and Sp	ecificati	ons		990		
(b) Al	.l Other	Design Costs				495		
(c) To	tal					1,485		
(d) Co	ntract					1,238		
(e) In	-house					248		
(4) Const	ruction	Contract Award				10 FEB		
(5) Const	ruction	Start				10 MAR		
(6) Const	ruction	Completion				11 AUG		
* Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.								
b. Equipmen	t assoc	iated with this p	roject pr	ovided from o	other appropri	ations:		
				FISC	AL YEAR			
_			PROCURIN		PRIATED	COST		
EQUIPMENT	r nomenc	LATURE	APPROPRIA	rion or re	EQUESTED	(\$000)		
EQUIPMEN:	r and fu	RNISHINGS	3080	2	2011	250		

97

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA
AIR FORCE (computer generated)

2. DATE

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA

4. PROJECT TITLE

F-35 A/C PARKING APRON

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT | 6. CATEGORY CODE | 7. PROJECT NUMBER | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

27142 113-321 FTFA073918 16,400

9. COST ESTIMATES

J. CODI 11511		•		
	TT /34		UNIT	COST (\$000)
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES				24,000
APRON	SM	110,000	200	(22,000)
AIRCRAFT POWER/COOLING ELECTRICAL DISTRO	LS			(1,500)
COMMUNICATION/SECURITY DISTRO	LS			(500)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				1,550
UTILITIES	LS			(500)
AIRFIELD MARKINGS/SIGNAGE	LS			(50)
SITE WORK	LS			(750)
ENVIRONMENTAL REMEDIATION	LS			(250)
SUBTOTAL				25,550
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				1,278
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				26,828
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%)				1,529
TOTAL REQUEST				28,357
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				16,400
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)				(7,915.0)

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Excavate, fill, and grade site for apron addition. Install appropriate stormwater structures. Construct aggregate base course and install aircraft tie-down/ground rods. Construct new PCC, 16 inch depth, including dowel joint assemblies, expansion joints and joint sealant, paint lines, and new signage. Provide necessary edge lighting along with area lighting. Provide necessary electrical and communication distribution to support required cooling and connectivity requirements. This project will comply with DoD antiterrorism/force protection requirements per the Unified Facility Criteria.

11. Requirement: 1299072 SM Adequate: 1098056 SM Substandard: 91016 SM

PROJECT: Construct aircraft parking apron. (New Mission)

REQUIREMENT: Eglin AFB has been established as an Initial Joint Training Site for the new Joint Strike Fighter (JSF) aircraft (F-35). The scheduled date for the first F-35 arrival at Eglin AFB is in second quarter FY10. This Joint Training location will include a maintenance schoolhouse where 2,000+ crew chiefs, weapons and avionics personnel will come for specific F-35 training on an annual basis. 200+ pilots will receive initial aircraft training via live mission and simulator flights. This apron project will include electrical, communication and cooling distribution to support Department of Navy (DoN) operational CONOPS.

CURRENT SITUATION: The current ramp in the 33 FW area will not have sufficient space to park the required numbers of F-35 aircraft, nor is there communication or power distribution capable of supplying F-35 aircraft with the required resources per the DoN CONOPS at this ramp. The DoN does not use AGE support on their aircraft and rely on hard infrastructure much like what is used onboard ship.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The Joint Strike Fighter (JSF) Program is the Department of Defense's focal point for defining affordable next generation strike aircraft weapon systems for the Navy, Air Force, Marines, and US allies. The focus of the program is affordability -- reducing the development cost, production cost, and cost of ownership of the JSF family of aircraft. Failure to provide parking to

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

May 2009

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA					2. DATE	
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE							
EGLIN AIR FOR	CE BASE,	FLORIDA		F-35 A/C PARE	CING APRON		
5. PROGRAM ELI	EMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST					ST (\$000)	
27142		113-321 FTFA073918 16,400					

support the JSF at Eglin AFB will result in operational inefficiencies and/or breakdowns, such as not enough spots to accommodate the aircraft resulting in not enough aircraft to accomplish the required training of pilots. In turn, this will decrease the United States and its allies homeland defense capability thus reducing the ability to protect future generations worldwide.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, Facility Requirements. The project will be jointly funded with the Navy. The total project cost is \$27.7M. The Project Cost shown in block 8 (\$16.4M) is for the Air Force portion of the project only. The remainder (\$11.3M) is being programmed by the Navy under project P918F. A preliminary analysis of reasonable options was accomplished comparing alternatives of status quo, renovation, addition/alteration, and new construction. It indicates the only option that will meet operational requirements is new construction. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception was prepared. Base Civil Engineer: Col Dennis D. Yates, (850) 882-2876 (ext. 200). Aircraft Parking Apron: 110,000 SM = 1,184,030 SF.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility is programmed for joint use with Navy and will be jointly funded.

1. COMPONENT		FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA					
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE							
EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA F-35 A/C PARKING APRON							
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)	
27142		113-321	FTFA073918 16			400	
12. SUPPLEMEN	TAL DATA	A:					
a. Estimated Design Data:							
(1) Statu	s:						
(a) Date Design Started						-MAY-08	

	(a)	Date Design Started	14-MAY-08	
	(b)	Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs	YES	
•	(c)	Percent Complete as of 01 JAN 2009	15%	
•	(d)	Date 35% Designed	18-MAR-09	
	(e)	Date Design Complete	30-SEP-09	
	(f)	Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed	YES	

(2) Basis:

- (a) Standard or Definitive Design -NO
- (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used

(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	1,740
(b) All Other Design Costs	870
(c) Total	2,610
(d) Contract	2,175
(e) In-house	435
(4) Construction Contract Award	10 FEB

10 MAR

(5) Construction Start

(6) Construction Completion

- 12 MAR
- * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.
- b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations:

EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE	PROCURING APPROPRIATION	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED	COST (\$000)
SIX AIRCRAFT COOLING APPARATUS	3080	2010	3,600
15-AC TO 270 VDC CONVERTERS	3080	2010	975
SUNSHADES	3080	2010	3,040
SECUIRTY EQUIPMENT	3080	2010	300

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA
AIR FORCE (computer generated)

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE

EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA F-35 HYDRANT REFUELING SYSTEM PHASE I

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)
27142 121-122 FTFA073902 8,100

9. COST ESTIMATES

II/M	OIIANTTTV	UNIT	COST (\$000)
10711	QUARTITI	6051	(4000)
			9,220
EA	4	250,000	(1,000)
LF	6,400	300	(1,920)
GM	3,000	1,600	(4,800)
EA	1	1,000,000	(1,000)
LS			(500)
			3,650
LS			(800)
LS			(1,300)
LS			(250)
LS			(800)
LS			(500)
			12,870
			644
			13,514
			770
			14,284
			8,100
	LF GM EA LS LS LS LS	EA 4 LF 6,400 GM 3,000 EA 1 LS LS LS LS LS LS	U/M QUANTITY COST EA 4 250,000 LF 6,400 300 GM 3,000 1,600 EA 1 1,000,000 LS LS LS LS LS LS LS LS LS LS

10. Description of Proposed Construction: JP-8 Type IV hydrant fueling system capable of simultaneously servicing four aircraft hot pit refueling sites complete with a standard Type IV pump-house with pumps and filter separators. The system will be connected to the existing bulk storage tanks and transfer lines. The existing fuel pump house (Bldg 1304) will be demolished. This project will comply with DoD antiterrorism/force protection requirements per the Unified Facility Criteria.

11. Requirement: 3000 GM Adequate: 0 GM Substandard: 0 GM

PROJECT: Construct a JP-8 Type IV Hydrant Refueling System. (New Mission)
REQUIREMENT: Eglin AFB has been established as an Initial Joint Training Site for the new Joint Strike Fighter (JSF) aircraft (F-35). The scheduled date for standup of JSF operations at Eglin AFB is Oct 2009, with aircraft delivery scheduled for the second quarter of FY10. Included in the buildup is 133 JSF aircraft with a fuel load capacity of approximately 2.7K gallons each. Planned daily flying operations for the JSF are 100 sorties with a total days JP-8 consumption of approximately 270K gallons or 70.2M gallons per year. The JP-8 consumption for the JSF will increase 33 FW current mission demands by approximately 388%. Additional fuels receipt, storage and issue capability is needed to meet projected mission requirements directly related to the basing of the JSF at Eglin AFB.

CURRENT SITUATION: 33 FW area currently has a modified Type II system with four 50K gallon tanks. The tanks are due to be removed in FY09. Thus leaving the ACC/Westside fuels operation without a hydrant system or the ability to hot-pit aircraft.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The Joint Strike Fighter (JSF) Program is the Department of Defense's focal point for defining affordable next generation strike aircraft

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

2. DATE

May 2009 101

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA							2	. DATE	
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)								
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE										
EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA F-35 HYDRANT REFUELING SYSTEM					STEM	PHASE	I			
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT CO					COST	(\$000)				
27142 121-122			FI	FA07390	02	8	,100			

weapon systems for the Navy, Air Force, Marines, and US allies. The focus of the program is affordability -- reducing the development cost, production cost, and cost of ownership of the JSF family of aircraft. Failure to provide additional fuels infrastructure needed to support the JSF will result in a decrease in the United States and its ally's homeland defense capability thus reducing the ability to protect future generations worldwide. The 33 FW will be unable to meet the training requirement.

ADDITIONAL: The criteria/scope for this project was developed utilizing the Eglin Air Force Base Fuel Infrastructure Business Case Analysis, AFH 32-1084, Facility Requirements, and validated Site Activation Task Force (SATAF) requirements. The project will be jointly funded with the Navy. The total project cost is \$14.3M. The Project Cost shown in block 8 (\$8.1M) is for the Air Force portion of the project only. The remainder (\$6.2M) is being programmed by the Navy under project P902F. A preliminary analysis of reasonable options was accomplished comparing alternatives of status quo, renovation, addition/alteration, and new construction in the Business Case Analysis. It indicates the only option that will meet operational requirements is new construction. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception was prepared. Base Civil Engineer: Col Dennis D. Yates (850) 882-2876 (ext. 200). Hydrant Refueling System: 4 Ea.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility is programmed for joint use with Navy and will be jointly funded.

	1. COMPONENT		FY 2010 MILITARY CO	ONSTRUC'	TION PROJECT	DATA	2. DATE
	AIR FORCE		(compute	er gene:	rated)		
ľ	3. INSTALLATI	ON AND I	LOCATION		4. PROJECT	TITLE	
	EGLIN AIR FOR	CE BASE,	, FLORIDA		F-35 HYDRAN	T REFUELING SY	STEM PHASE
	5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	OST (\$000)
	27142		121-122	FTI	FA073902	8,:	100
ľ	12. SUPPLEMEN	TAL DAT	A:				
	a. Estimate	d Design	n Data:				
	(1) Statu	ıs:					
	, ,		n Started			14	-MAY-08
	(b) Pa	rametri	c Cost Estimates use	d to de	evelop costs		YES
	* (c) Pe	rcent Co	omplete as of 01 JAN	1 2009	_		15%
			Designed			31	-MAR-09
	(e) Da	te Desig	gn Complete			30	-SEP-09
	(f) En	ergy Sti	udy/Life-Cycle analy	rsis was	s/will be per	formed	YES
	(2) Basis	:					
	(a) St	andard o	or Definitive Design	ı –			NO
	(b) Wh	ere Des	ign Was Most Recentl	y Used			
	(3) Total	. Cost (d	c) = (a) + (b) or (d	l) + (e)	:		(\$000)
	(a) Pr	oduction	n of Plans and Speci	fication	ons		768
	(b) Al	.1 Other	Design Costs				384
	(c) To	tal					1,152

- * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.
- b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations: $\ensuremath{\mathrm{N/A}}$

(d) Contract

(e) In-house

(5) Construction Start

(6) Construction Completion

(4) Construction Contract Award

960

192 10 FEB

10 MAR

11 AUG

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA

4. PROJECT TITLE

F-35 PARALLEL TAXI-WAY LADDER

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE

7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

27142 112-211

FTFA083903

1,440

9. COST ESTIMATES

7. 33	,,,				
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES					1,835
TAXIWAY		SM	6,970	200	(1,394)
ASPHALT SHOULDER		SM	4,647	95	(441)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					336
UTILITIES		LS			(102)
AIRFIELD MARKINGS/SIGNAGE		LS			(10)
SITE WORK		LS			(173)
ENVIRONMENTAL REMEDIATION		LS			(51)
SUBTOTAL					2,172
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)					109
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					2,280
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD	(5.7%)				130
TOTAL REQUEST					2,410
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					1,440

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Excavate, fill, and grade site for taxiway extension. Install appropriate stormwater structures and lighting. Construct new 16 inch PCC, including expansion joints and sealants, paint lines, and new signage. This project will comply with DoD antiterrorism/force protection requirements per the Unified Facility Criteria.

11. Requirement: 11617 SM Adequate: 0 SM Substandard: 0 SM

PROJECT: Construct Parallel Taxiway Ladder. (New Mission)

REQUIREMENT: Eglin AFB has been established as an Initial Joint Training Site for the new Joint Strike Fighter (JSF) aircraft (F-35). The scheduled date for the first F-35 arrival at Eglin AFB is the second quarter of FY10. This Joint Training Site will include a maintenance schoolhouse where 2,000+ crew chiefs, weapons and avionics personnel will come for specific F-35 training on an annual basis. 200+pilots will receive initial aircraft training via live mission and simulator flights.

CURRENT SITUATION: The current runway apex (located at the 01 & 30 ends) has limited "by-pass" capability. The current taxiway system is already congested with the existing missions on the West and East sides of the flightline. Once F-35s are on the ground, traffic congestion becomes a major concern due to short taxi times associated with the F-35s thermal management system. An aircraft landing runway 30 would have to taxi across runway 01/19 twice to get back to taxiway H.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The Joint Strike Fighter (JSF) Program is the Department of Defense's focal point for defining affordable next generation strike aircraft weapon systems for the Navy, Air Force, Marines, and US allies. The focus of the program is affordability -- reducing the development cost, production cost, and cost of ownership of the JSF family of aircraft. Failure to provide additional taxiway to support the JSF at Eglin AFB will result in operational inefficiencies, such as aircraft shutting down on the taxiway due to the thermal management system, as well as not allowing the JSF program to adequately train pilots. In turn, this will decrease the United States and its ally's homeland defense capability thus reducing the ability to protect future generations worldwide.

1. COMPONENT		FY 2010 MILITARY	CONSTR	JCTION PROJECT	DATA	2. DATE	
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE							
EGLIN AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA				F-35 PARALLEL TAXI-WAY LADDER			
5. PROGRAM ELI	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	E 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT C			ST (\$000)	
27142		112-211	FI	FA083903	1,4	40	

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, Facility Requirements. The project will be jointly funded with the Navy. The total project cost is \$2.34M. The Project Cost shown in block 8 (\$1.44M) is for the Air Force portion of the project only. The remainder (\$0.9M) is being programmed by the Navy under project P909F. A preliminary analysis of reasonable options was accomplished comparing alternatives of status quo, renovation, addition/alteration, and new construction. It indicates the only option that will meet operational requirements is new construction. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception will be prepared. Base Civil Engineer: Col Dennis D. Yates, (850) 882-2876 (ext. 200). Taxiway Ladder Extension: 11,617 SM = 13,894 SY.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility is programmed for joint use with Navy and will be jointly funded.

AIR FORCE 3. INSTALLATION			ONSTRUC'	TION PROJECT	DATA	2. DATE		
3. INSTALLATION	AIR FORCE (computer generated)							
	N AND L	OCATION		4. PROJECT	ritle			
EGLIN AIR FORCE	E BASE,	FLORIDA		F-35 PARALLI	EL TAXI-WAY LA	DDER		
5. PROGRAM ELEI	MENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)		
27142		112-211	FTI	FA083903	1,	440		
12. SUPPLEMENT	AL DATA	λ:						
a. Estimated	Design	n Data:						
(1) Status	:							
	_	n Started			14	-MAY-08		
		Cost Estimates use		evelop costs		YES		
* (c) Per	cent Co	omplete as of 01 JAN	1 2009			15%		
* (d) Date	e 35% I	Designed			18	-MAR-09		
(e) Date	e Desig	n Complete			30	-SEP-09		
(f) Ene	rgy Stu	dy/Life-Cycle analy	rsis was	s/will be per	formed	YES		
(2) Basis:								
(a) Sta	ndard d	or Definitive Design	ı –			NO		
(b) Whe	re Desi	gn Was Most Recentl	y Used					
(3) Total (Cost (c	(a) = (a) + (b) or (d)	l) + (e)	:		(\$000)		
(a) Pro	duction	n of Plans and Speci	fication	ons		144		
(b) All	Other	Design Costs				72		
(c) Tota	al					216		
(d) Con						180		
(e) In-	house					36		
(4) Constru	uction	Contract Award				10 MAR		
(5) Constru	uction	Start				10 MAR		
(6) Constr	uction	Completion				10 DEC		

- * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.
- b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations: $\ensuremath{\mathtt{N}/\mathtt{A}}$

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

1. COMPONENT		EV 201	IO MIII	ITADV (CONST	RUCTIO	N DDOG	2D AM	2. DATE		
AIR FORCE		F1 20	I U IVIIL	IIANI	CONSTR	(UCTIO	N PROC	JIVAINI	Z. DATE		
INSTALLATION AND		ΟN		COMM	I A NID:			5 ADE/	V CONST		
HURLBURT FIELD	LOCATI	ON			RCE SI	DECIAI		5. AREA CONST COST INDEX			
FLORIDA						COMM	ΔΝΠ	0.94	NDLX		
6. Personnel	DEI	RMANEN	г		TUDEN			PPORTE	:D		
Strength	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF		CIV	OFF		CIV	TOTAL	
AS OF 30 Sep 08	1,250	5,304			O CINC	0	173			8,395	
END FY 2014	1,259	5,332				0	173			8,431	
		•	700	U	U	U	175	704	100	0,701	
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000) Total Acreage: 6,634											
Inventory Total as of: (30 Sep 08)											
Authorization Not Ye		•								32,950	
Authorization Reques		•								10,500	
Planned in Next Five		-								69,210	
Remaining Deficienc		grain.								126,850	
Grand Total:	у.								-	1,176,221	
8. PROJECTS REQ	HESTED	INI THIS E	POGE	ΛΝ <i>Ι</i> · /Ε	V2010)					1,170,221	
CATEGORY	OESTED	IIV IIIIO F	KOGR	AIVI. (I	12010)			COST	DESIGN	STATUS	
CODE	PROJEC	T TITI E				SCOPE			START	CMPL	
<u>214-467</u>		y Vehicle N	//ainter	ance		427	SM	2,200	Mar-08	Sep-09	
814-231		Distribution				1	EA	,	Design build	ОСР-00	
014-201	Licotrical	Distribution	on Oub	Station		Total	LA	10,500	Design balla		
9a. FUTURE PROJE	ECTS: TV	nical Plan	ned Ne	ext Five	Years:	rotar		10,000			
442-758	•	istics Fac			i cais.	14,405	SM	25,000			
141-454				School		1,845	SM	6,500			
724-417		ADAL USAF Special Ops School 1,845 SM 6,500 ADAL Visiting Quarters 1,200 SM 4,000									
610-121		Vehicle Ops Admin Center 1,687 SM 6,536									
730-441		ational Exe			ion.	2,625	SM	10,000			
	•	ning Cente			- ,	,		-,			
730-441		nal Devel		t and Ed	d Cntr	1,560	SM	8,474			
141-753		st Operation	•			3,140	SM	8,700			
	J	•		,		Total		69,210	•		
9b. REAL PROPER	TY MAIN	ΓΕΝΑΝCΕ	BACK	LOG TI	HIS INS	TALLAT	ION: (\$N			112	
10. MISSION OR MA							_ `	,	mmand: a Sp	ecial	
Operations Wing (SC											
Foreign Affairs Speci											
Group (STG); Air For	•	•		, , ,		•	•		•		
Air Force Combat W					0				,	,	
11. OUTSTANDING			SAFET	TY (OSI	HA)DEFI	CIENCI	ES:				
a. Air pollution				,	,			0			
'											
b. Water Pollutio	n							0			
c. Occupational	Safety and	d Health						0			
d. Other Environ	d. Other Environmental 0										
	u. Other Environmental										
DD Form 1390, 24 Ju	DD Form 1390, 24 Jul 00										

2. DATE

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

HURLBURT FIELD, FLORIDA

4. PROJECT TITLE

REFUELING VEHICLE MAINTENANCE

FACILITY

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

27576 214-467

FTEV043000

2,200

9. COST ESTIMATES

	/		UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITY				1,271
REFUELING VEHICLE SHOP	SM	427	2,889	(1,234)
ANTITERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION	LS			(12)
SDD & EP ACT 05	SM	427	59	(25)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				711
UTILITIES	LS			(99)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(86)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS		İ	(126)
COMMUNICATION SYSTEM	LS		İ	(120)
DEMOLITION & ACM ABATEMANT	SM	287	420	(121)
CONTAMINATED SOIL REMOVAL	СМ	4,000	40	(160)
SUBTOTAL				1,982
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				99
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				2,081
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7	%)			119
TOTAL REQUEST				2,200
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				2,200
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)				(70.0

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete foundation and floor slab, steel structure, masonry walls and sloping metal roof. Includes utilities, pavements, demolition of one metal building, B90023 (287 SM) and all other necessary support. This project will comply with DoD antiterrorism/force protection requirements per the Unified Facility Criteria.

Air Conditioning: 12 Tons

11. Requirement: 427 SM Adequate: 0 SM Substandard: 287 SM

PROJECT: Construct a Refueling Vehicle Maintenance Facility (Current Mission). REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide an adequate facility to service and repair refueling vehicles. AFOSH STD 127-20 prohibits servicing and repairing fuel servicing tank units and hydrant hose trucks in maintenance shops with other vehicles; therefore, regulations require a separately sited maintenance and repair facility. The existing facility was built for older models which are narrower and shorter.

CURRENT SITUATION: From FY06-13, Hurlburt Field aircraft will increase by 23% which will increase the number of refuelers required. The existing refueling vehicle maintenance facility is over 40 years old and is totally inadequate to support the refueler fleet at Hurlburt Field. The new refuelers are 9 feet wide, 38 feet long, 8.5 feet tall. The existing facility has inadequate clearance in all directions to safely perform maintenance operations. This increases the risk to maintenance personnel, the refueler units and the building. Personnel are required to wear hard hats due to height restrictions. The existing facility does not have an exhaust system so overhead doors have to stay open during vehicle maintenance. Further, the existing metal building was not constructed to meet current hurricane

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE							
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)							
3. INSTALLATIO	. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE								
HURLBURT FIEL	REFUELING VEHICLE MAINTENAN FACILITY						ICE		
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT (6. CATEG	ORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)		
27576		214	-467	FI	EV043000	00			

resistance requirements, thus making it an ineffective hurricane shelter for refueling vehicles. There are no facilities on base that could be used or converted for this requirement.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The refueling vehicle maintenance shop will continue to be housed in an inadequate facility which will affect the efficient support of the mission and degrade readiness due to lack of refueling capability for the aircraft. The mission in the long run will be impacted by the lack of capability to work on R-11s safely, non-compliance with OSHA and EPA standards of air movement and the capability to safely move the larger R-11s into and out of the fuel maintenance bays. This facility has an inadequate oil/water separator, which if it were to fail would shut-down this facility and leave no capability to perform maintenance on refueling equipment.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements." A preliminary analysis of reasonable options to accomplish this project (status quo, renovation, upgrade/removal, new construction) was done. It indicates that there is only one option that will meet the operational requirement. A certificate of exception has been prepared. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the design, development and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423 and other applicable laws and Executice orders. Base Civil Engineer: Steven M. Loken, Lt Col, USAF, 850-884-7701. Refueling Vehicle Maintenance Facility: 427 SM = 4596 SF.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements.

1. COMPONENT		FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA								
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)								
3. INSTALLATI										
HURLBURT FIELD, FLORIDA REFUELING VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY										
5. PROGRAM EL	ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$00									
27576	214-467 FTEV043000 2,200									
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:										
a. Estimated Design Data:										

(1) Status:

•			
	(a)	Date Design Started	30-MAR-08
	(b)	Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs	YES
*	(c)	Percent Complete as of 01 JAN 2009	15%
*	(d)	Date 35% Designed	18-MAR-09
	(e)	Date Design Complete	30-SEP-09
	(f)	Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed	YES

(2) Basis:

•		
(a)	Standard or Definitive Design -	NO
(b)	Where Design Was Most Recently Used	

(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	132
(b) All Other Design Costs	66
(c) Total	198
(d) Contract	165
(e) In-house	33

(4) Construction Contract Award 10 FEB	(4)	Construction	Contract	Award		10	FE	В
--	-----	--------------	----------	-------	--	----	----	---

(5) Construction Start 10 MAR

(6) Construction Completion 10 DEC

- * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.
- b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations:

EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE	PROCURING APPROPRIATION	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED	COST (\$000)
PREWIRED WORKSTATIONS	3400	2011	45
COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT	3400	2011	25

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

2. DATE

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

HURLBURT FIELD, FLORIDA

4. PROJECT TITLE

ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SUBSTATION

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

27596 813-231 FTEV053005 8,300

9. COST ESTIMATES

9. COST ESTIMATES									
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)					
PRIMARY FACILITY				7,268					
ELECTRICAL SUBSTATION	LS			(5,400)					
UNDERGROUND DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	LS			(1,706)					
SDD & EP ACT 05	LS			(108)					
ANTITERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION	LS			(54)					
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				220					
UTILITIES	LS			(50)					
PAVEMENTS	LS			(50)					
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(120)					
SUBTOTAL				7,488					
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				374					
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				7,863					
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD	(5.7%)			448					
TOTAL REQUEST				8,311					
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				8,300					

- 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct electrical distribution substation on the East Side. Provide connections to existing feeder circuits by underground distribution systems, and new switchgear. This project will comply with DoD antiterrorism/force protection requirements per the Unified Facility Criteria.
- 11. Requirement: 33075 KV Adequate: 18075 KV Substandard: 0 KV

PROJECT: Construct Electrical Distribution Substation. (Current Mission)
REQUIREMENT: This project is required to provide a second utility tie to the base and associated distribution system due to the facility growth on the east side of the base. In addition to providing more reliability, this will also allow substation maintenance to be accomplished without a base wide power outage.

CURRENT SITUATION: Current substation is nearing maximum capacity due to recent mission growth. It is difficult to perform maintenance or repairs on existing substation without a base outage. In addition to scheduled base wide outages for recurring maintenance, Hurlburt Field has experienced several unscheduled base wide outages due to switch failure, and natural occurrences such as hurricanes. The electrical distribution system was evaluated by HQ AMC Installation & Mission Support Infrastructure Team between 30 November and 3 December 2004 and was rated degraded due primarily to a lack of a second source of commercial power through a second substation and the inability to back-feed power between two substations. Currently the base electrical consumption peaks at 90% of its capacity in the summer. Based on the last seven year's load growth, and depending on summer temperatures and future load growth, it is estimated that the base capacity could be maxed out by the summer of 2011, barring any unforeseen failures.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If the 115 KV transmission line, 115 KV or 12.47 KV side of the existing west side substation loses power, then all of Hurlburt Field is without commercial power. This time to restore power depends on the nature of the outage and time to make repairs. In addition hurricane damage to the line feeding the base could significantly delay mission restoration.

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE								
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)								
3. INSTALLATIO										
HURLBURT FIELD, FLORIDA ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SU							BSTATION			
5. PROGRAM ELE	EMENT	MENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COS					ST (\$000)			
27596	5 813-231 FTEV053005					8,3	00			

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements." A preliminary analysis of reasonable options to accomplish this project (status quo, renovation, upgrade/removal, new construction) was done. It indicates that there is only one option that will meet the operational requirement, new construction. A certificate of exception has been prepared. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the design, development, and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423 and other applicable laws and Executive orders. Base Civil Engineer: Steven M. Loken, Lt Col, USAF, 850-884-7701. Electrical Substation: 15,000 KV.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This is an installation utility/infrastructure project, and does not qualify for joint use at this location. However, all tenants on this installation are benefited by this project.

1. COMPONENT	PONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE									
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)								
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE										
HURLBURT FIELD, FLORIDA ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SUBSTATION										
5. PROGRAM EL	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)								
27596		813-231	FT	EV053005	8,	300				
12. SUPPLEMEN	TAL DATA	\:								
a. Estimate	d Design	n Data:								
(1) Statu										
	-	gn Started			15	-APR-08				
		C Cost Estimates use		evelop costs		YES				
* (c) Pe	rcent Co	omplete as of 01 JAN	1 2009			15%				
* (d) Da	te 35% I	Designed			18	-MAR-09				
(e) Date Design Complete 30-SEP-09										
(f) En	ergy Stu	udy/Life-Cycle analy	sis was	s/will be per	formed	YES				
(2) Basis	:									
(a) St	andard o	or Definitive Design	ı -			NO				
(b) Wh	ere Desi	ign Was Most Recentl	Ly Used							
(3) Total	Cost ((a) = (a) + (b) or (d)	l) + (e)):		(\$000)				
(a) Pr	oduction	n of Plans and Speci	ificatio	ons		498				
(b) Al	.1 Other	Design Costs				249				
(c) To	tal					747				
(d) Co	ntract					623				
(e) In	-house					125				
(4) Const	ruction	Contract Award				10 FEB				
(5) Const	ruction	Start				10 MAR				
(6) Construction Completion 11 MAR										

- * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.
- b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations: $\ensuremath{\mathrm{N/A}}$

1. COMPONENT									2. DATE	
AIR FORCE		FY	2010 N	/IILITARY	CONSTR	UCTION	PROGR	AM	Z. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION A	ND LOC	ATION		4. COMM	AND:			5. AREA	CONST	
MACDILL AIR FORC	E BASE			AIR MOBI	LITY CO	MMAND		COST INI	DEX	
FLORIDA								0.96		
6. Personnel	(1) P	ERMANE	١T	, ,	STUDEN	TS	(3) SUPPOF	RTED	(4) TOTAL
	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF E	NL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	` '
AS OF 30 SEP 08	295	1,967	441	0	0	0	2,881		,	
END FY 2014	295	1,967	441	0	0	0	2,881	3,867	1,379	10,830
7. INVENTORY DAT	ΓA (\$000)									
a. Total Acreage:		5,767								
b. Inventory Total as	•									2,260,301
c. Authorization Not		•								280,435
d. Authorization Req	•	-	am:							24,100
f. Planned in Next Fi		Program:								53,500
g. Remaining Deficie	ency:									64,500
h. Grand Total:8. PROJECTS REQ	LICOTED	INI TUIC D		Λ Ν Λ .	/EV2040	1)				2,682,836
8. PROJECTS REQ CATEGORY	OESTED	IIN THIS P	KUGK	AIVI.	(FY2010	')		COST	DESIGN	STATUS
CODE	PROJEC	T TITI E				SCOPE		\$,000	START	CMPL
610-284		M Comma	ndant	Facility		6,565	SM		Design Buil	
740-884		velopment		,		2,890	SM		Design Buil	
721-312		y (120 Roo				3,958	SM	16,000	•	Sep-09
		, (,			Total		24,100		336 33
9a. Future Projects:	Typical F	Planned Ne	ext Five	Years:						
852-260	CENTCC	M Parking	Garag	ge		1	LS	42,000		
610-243	Mission S	Support Fa	cility			2,787	SM	11,500	_	
						Total		53,500		
9b. Real Property M	aintenanc	e Backlog	This Ir	nstallation ((\$M):					119
				_						
10. Mission or Major						h a KC-13	5 squad	Iron and a	command s	upport airlift
11. Outstanding poll	ution and	Safety (OS	SHA D	eficiencies)):					
a. Air pollution								0		
a. 7 iii poliation								O		
b. Water Pollutio	n							0		
c. Occupational	Safety an	d Health						0		
	-									
d. Other Environ	mental							0		

DD Form 1390, 24 Jul 00

May 2009

2. DATE

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

4. PROJECT TITLE

MACDILL AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA

DORMITORY (120 RM)

6. CATEGORY CODE | 7. PROJECT NUMBER 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT

8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

41976

721-312

NVZR063708

16,000

9. COST ESTIMATES

MAILS	•		
TT /36	0113311111111	UNIT	COST (\$000)
U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
			10,848
SM	3,958	2,634	(10,425)
SM	3,958	27	(108)
SM	3,958	55	(216)
SM	3,958	25	(98)
			3,546
SM	685	215	(147)
LS		İ	(391)
LS		İ	(606)
LS			(523)
LS			(1,879)
			14,395
			720
			15,114
			862
			15,976
			16,000
			(800.0)
	U/M SM SM SM SM LS LS LS	U/M QUANTITY SM 3,958 SM 3,958 SM 3,958 SM 3,958 LS LS LS LS LS LS LS LS LS LS	U/M QUANTITY COST SM 3,958 2,634 SM 3,958 27 SM 3,958 55 SM 3,958 25 SM 685 215 LS LS LS LS LS

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Three-story facility with reinforced concrete foundation, floor slabs, concrete masonry units exterior walls covered with stucco, and standing seam metal roof system. Includes Dorms-4-Airmen fourbedroom module design, storage, lounge areas, site preparation, pavements, and all supporting facilities. Demolishes a 685 SM facility. This project will comply with DoD antiterrorism/force protection requirements per Unified Facilities Criteria.

Air Conditioning: 150 Tons Grade Mix: E1-E4 120

11. Requirement: 440 RM Adequate: 80 RM Substandard: 270 RM

Construct a 120 room dormitory. (Current Mission)

REQUIREMENT: A major Air Force Objective is to provide unaccompanied enlisted personnel with housing conductive to their proper rest, relaxation, and personal well being. Properly designed and furnished quarters providing some degree of individual privacy are essential to the successful accomplishment of the increasingly complicated and important jobs these people must perform. The retention of these highly trained Airmen is essential to our readiness posture and continuing world-wide presence.

CURRENT SITUATION: Four of the existing five dorms were constructed in the 1960's and require significant renovation. However, significant renovation will trigger mandatory compliance with antiterrorism/force protection criteria and these existing dorms do not meet minimum standoff distances. Therefore, the Dorm Master Plan recommends new construction as the most cost effective and feasible solution. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Adequate living quarters will continue to be unavailable resulting in degradation of morale, productivity, retention, and career satisfaction for unaccompanied enlisted personnel.

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

115

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE				
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)				
3. INSTALLATIO	ON AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE				
MACDILL AIR FO	ORCE BASE, FLORIDA	RCE BASE, FLORIDA DORMITORY (120 RM)			
5. PROGRAM ELI	EMENT 6. CATEGORY COD	E 7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST (\$000)		
41976	721-312	NVZR063708 16,000			

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in the uniform barracks construction standard known as "dorm-4-airmen module" established by the Air Force and AFH 32-1084, "Facility Requirements." A preliminary analysis was conducted comparing alternatives of status quo, renovation, and new construction. It indicates that new construction is the only option that will meet operational requirements. A certificate of exception will be prepared. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the design, development, and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423 and other applicable laws and Executive Orders. FY2007 Unaccompanied Housing RPM Conducted: \$140K. FY2008 Unaccompanied Housing RPM Conducted: \$140K. FY2008 Unaccompanied Housing RPM Conducted: \$145K. Future Unaccompanied Housing RPM requirements (estimated): FY09: \$149K; FY10: \$152K; FY11: \$155K. Base Civil Engineer: Robert B. Hughes, (813) 828-3577. Dormitory: 3,958 SM = 42,600 SF.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility is programmed for joint use with the Army, Navy and Marines; however, it is fully funded by the Air Force.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE					
AIR FORCE		(compute	er gene:	rated)		
3. INSTALLATI	ON AND I	OCATION		4. PROJECT		
MACDILL AIR F	ORCE BAS	SE, FLORIDA		DORMITORY (120 RM)	
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$0			
41976		721-312	NV	ZR063708	16,	000
12. SUPPLEMEN	TAL DATA	\:				
a. Estimate	d Design	n Data:				
(1) Statu	ıs:					
(a) Da	te Desig	gn Started			14	-MAY-08
(b) Pa	rametri	Cost Estimates use	ed to de	evelop costs		YES
* (c) Pe	ercent Co	omplete as of 01 JAN	1 2009			15%
* (d) Da	te 35% I	Designed			30	-JAN-09
(e) Da	te Desig	gn Complete			30	-SEP-09
(f) En	ergy St	udy/Life-Cycle analy	sis was	s/will be per	formed	YES
(2) Basis	:					
(a) St	andard o	or Definitive Design	ı -			NO
(b) Wh	ere Des	ign Was Most Recentl	ly Used			
(3) Total	. Cost (d	(a) = (a) + (b) or (a)	l) + (e)	:		(\$000)
(a) Pr	oduction	n of Plans and Speci	ification	ons		900
(b) Al	.1 Other	Design Costs				450
(c) To	otal					1,350
(d) Co	ntract					1,125
(e) In	-house					225
(4) Const	ruction	Contract Award				10 FEB
(5) Const	ruction	Start				10 MAR
(6) Const	ruction	Completion				11 SEP
* Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.						

 $\ensuremath{\text{b.}}$ Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations:

EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE	PROCURING APPROPRIATION	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED	COST (\$000)
COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT	3080	2011	60
FURNISHINGS	3400	2011	740

2. DATE

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

MACDILL AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA

4. PROJECT TITLE

CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

41976 740-884 NVZR073723

7,000

9. COST ESTIMATES

			UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES				4,625
CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	SM	1,563	2,846	(4,448)
ANTITERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION MEASURES	SM	1,563	28	(44)
SDD & EP ACT 2005	SM	1,563	57	(89)
INTERIOR COMMUNICATIONS	SM	1,563	28	(44)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				1,683
UTILITIES	LS			(230)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(300)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(400)
DEMOLITION	SM	1,408	228	(321)
EXTERIOR COMMUNICATIONS	LS			(106)
SPECIAL SITE CONDITIONS	LS			(326)
SUBTOTAL				6,308
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				315
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				6,623
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%)				378
TOTAL REQUEST				7,001
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				7,000)
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)				(357

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construction of a one-story facility with reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab, masonry walls, stucco exterior, standing seam metal roof, fire detection/suppression system, HVAC, utilities, parking, site improvements, O&M manuals and other required support. Demolishes CDC #1, Bldg 381 (1,408 SM). Complies with DoD antiterrorism/force protection requirements per Unified Facilities Criteria.

Air Conditioning: 55 Tons

11. Requirement: 5156 SM Adequate: 3593 SM Substandard: 1408 SM

PROJECT: Construct a Child Development Center (CDC). (Current Mission)

REQUIREMENT: Facility designed to accommodate working parents or other family circumstances that require assistance in caring for children. The facility will provide care for children from the ages of six weeks through five years of age for full-day, part-day, and hourly service. Functional space areas include multipurpose rooms for children of different age groups, administrative areas, lobby, nursery, kitchen, storage including lending library, and building support area. Total Child Development Center space is required for 534 children.

<u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> MacDill has two existing child development centers. One existing child development center will accommodate 307 children after renovation. This project will replace the other child development center and provide space for 114 children.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Adequate child development programs will continue to be insufficient for eligible patrons at MacDill AFB. Children and parents will continue to be denied service due to lack of adequate space to support these

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE				2. DATE	
AIR FORCE		(compu	uputer generated)			
3. INSTALLATIO	ON AND I	N AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE				
MACDILL AIR FO	ORCE BAS	SE, FLORIDA		CHILD DEVELOR	MENT CENTER	
5. PROGRAM ELI	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)			
41976		740-884	NVZR073723 7,000			

programs. Personnel will be required to continue using off-base programs that vary in affordability and quality and in some cases placing children in unlicensed babysitting situations.

<u>ADDITIONAL</u>: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements". All known alternative options were considered during the development of this project. No other option could meet the mission requirements. Therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the design, development, and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423 and other applicable laws and Executive Orders. Base Civil Engineer: Robert B. Hughes, (813) 828-3577. Child Development Center: 1,563 SM = 16,824 SF.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements.

1. COMPONENT		FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE						
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE								
MACDILL AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER								
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PF	ROJECT	NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	OST	(\$000)
41976		740-884	N	IVZR073	3723	7,	000)
12. SUPPLEMEN	TAL DAT	A:						
a. Estimate	d Desig	n Data:						
(1) Proje	ct to be	accomplished by de	sign-l	build p	procedur	es		
(2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - NO (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used							NO	
(3) All O	ther Des	sign Costs						350
(4) Const	ruction	Contract Award					10	FEB
(5) Const	ruction	Start					10	MAR
(6) Const	ruction	Completion					11	MAR
(7) Energ	y Study/	Life-Cycle analysis	was/	will b	e perfor	med		YES
b. Equipmen	t assoc	iated with this pro	ject p	rovide	d from c	ther appropri	.ati	ions:
EQUIPMENT	NOMENC		ROCUR ROPRI		APPRO	AL YEAR PRIATED QUESTED		COST (\$000)
FURNISHI	īGS		308	0	2	011		357

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

4. PROJECT TITLE

MACDILL AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA

CENTCOM COMMANDANT FACILITY

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)
41976 610-284 NVZR103704R1 15,300

9. COST ESTIMATES

9. COST EST	LMATES	j		
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES				11,388
ADMINISTRATIVE AREA	SM	2,171	3,500	(7,599)
WAREHOUSE AREA	SM	1,394	2,422	(3,376)
ANTITERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION	SM	3,565	29	(103)
SDD & EP ACT 2005	SM	3,565	58	(207)
INTERIOR COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT	SM	3,565	29	(103)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				2,394
UTILITIES	LS			(417)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(908)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(569)
EXTERIOR COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT	LS			(500)
SUBTOTAL				13,782
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				689
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				14,471
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%)				825
TOTAL REQUEST				15,296
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				15,300)
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)				(5,193

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete foundations and floor slabs, masonry walls, stucco exteriors, standing seam metal roof system, fire detection and suppression systems, HVAC, emergency power, associated site utilities, parking, grading, landscaping and any other work associated with this project. Complies with DoD antiterrorism/force protection requirements per unified facilities criteria.

Air Conditioning: 130 Tons

11. Requirement: 7837 SM Adequate: 4272 SM Substandard: 4978 SM

PROJECT: Construct a CENTCOM Commandant Facility. (Current Mission)

REQUIREMENT: SOCCENT is a subordinate unified command of the Unites States Central Command (USCENTCOM), whose mission is to execute a full range of special operations to support the Global War on Terror and other Regional Wars on Terror. In order to accomplish this mission, a secure facility is required to accommodate the Joint Special Operations Air Component, train increasing numbers of personnel, and store authorized equipment. Capability to coordinate air operations and deploy rapidly and efficiently is imperative due to the geographic distance and the number of crises that continue in the Middle East and Southwest Asia. In addition, the Cultural Engagement Group (CEG) space is required to be a Sensitive Compartmented Intelligence Facility (SCIF) to conduct analysis, cultural studies and implements assessments to provide USCENTCOM with accurate and comprehensive situational awareness on the employment of US forces in the AOR. No other facility that meets regulatory security requirements and has a readily available flight line access is available on base to house SOCCENT.

CURRENT SITUATION: Due to cost constraints, the Commandant requirement was removed

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE				2. DATE		
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)					
3. INSTALLATIO	N AND LOC	LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE					
MACDILL AIR FORCE BASE, FLORIDA			CENTCOM COMMANDANT FACILITY				
5. PROGRAM ELE	EMENT 6	. CATEGORY	CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)			
41976		610-28	4	NVZR103704R1 15,300			

from the FY09 SOCCENT HQ MILCON (NVZR923703) project scope. Furthermore, in Sep 08 USSOCOM validated 57 additional manpower billets to SOCCENT permanent party positions to begin arriving in FY09. These factors result in a shortfall of 137 permanent party positions which are not included in the original FY09 SOCCENT HQ MILCON. The Commandant and CEG currently operate from several substandard buildings and modular temporary facilities originally built as alert facilities during the Cold War era. These facilities require an inordinate amount of repair and maintenance to provide a safe and usable environment. Space is not available to accommodate present manning requirements. Storage space is grossly insufficient and, in some areas, unavailable. Operational capability is limited due to outdated technology, including electrical and cable access, and inadequate training and briefing areas.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: SOCCENT Commandant and Cultural Engagement Group (CEG) will be geographically separated by 5.5 miles from their parent SOCCENT HQ Staff, therefore degrading efficiency and complicating coordination among symbiotic directorates. These "left behind" functions will continue to operate from facilities which lack fire protection (sprinklers, ingress/ egress routes, alarm systems) and are located at the maximum allowable distance for Fire/Security Forces response time. Furthermore, 7,920 SF of Temporary facilities are required for the CEG to operate costing \$465K a year to lease. Without this complex, SOCCENT will not meet the requirement of providing satisfactory command and control of Joint Special Operations and will not be able to efficiently train, store or deploy its personnel and equipment.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in AFH 32-1084, "Civil Engineering Facility Requirements". A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovation, upgrade/remove, new construction, leasing) was done. It indicates there is only one option that will meet operational requirements. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed and a certificate of exception will be prepared. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the design, development, and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423 and other applicable laws and Executive Orders. Cost estimate was developed based on recent bids received for similar facilties being constructed at MacDill AFB. Base Civil Engineer: Robert B. Hughes, 813-828-3577 (Admin and Warehouse areas 3,565 SM = 38,363 SF)

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: Mission requirements, operational considerations, and location are incompatible with use by other components.

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE					2. DATE
AIR FORCE		(compute	er ger	nerated)		
3. INSTALLATI	ON AND L	OCATION		4. PROJECT TI	FLE	
MACDILL AIR F	ORCE BAS	SE, FLORIDA		CENTCOM COMMAI	NDANT FACILITY	
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	MENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$00				ST (\$000)
41976		610-284	NVZR103704R1 15,300			
12. SUPPLEMEN	12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:					
a. Estimate	d Design	n Data:				
(1) Proje	ct to be	accomplished by de	sign-	build procedure	es	
(2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - NO (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used					NO	
(3) All Other Design Costs 765					765	
(4) Const	ruction	Contract Award				10 JAN

b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations:

(7) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed

EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE	PROCURING APPROPRIATION	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED	COST (\$000)
COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT	3080	2011	4,214
FURNISHINGS	3400	2011	979

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

(5) Construction Start

(6) Construction Completion

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

10 FEB

11 JUL

YES

COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 2	2010 M	IILITAR	Y CON	STF	RUCTI	ON	PROG	RAM	2. DATE	
INSTALLATION AND	NSTALLATION AND LOCATION COMMAND: 5. AREA CONST											
Wheeler Air Force Ba		PACIFI		OF	RCES			COST IND				
HAWAII	,					-				1.66		
6. Personnel	PF	RMANENT		SI	UDEN	TS			SU	PPORTED		
Strength	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	Ť	CIV	1	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
AS OF 30 SEP 08	10	151	0			0		0	0	0		161
END FY 2014	10	151	0			0		Ö	0	l ő		161
7. INVENTORY DAT	_										<u> </u>	
Total Acreage:	, (φοσο)	2,500										
Inventory Total as of	· (30 Ser											79,887
Authorization Not Yet												0,007
Authorization Reques		•										15,000
Planned in Next Five												0
Remaining Deficiency		ogrann.										0
Grand Total:	, .										-	94,887
8. PROJECTS REQU	JESTED	IN THIS PE	ROGRA	AM:					(FY 201	0)		- 1,001
CATEGORY	020.22								(0 .	COST	DESIGN	STATUS
	PROJEC	T TITLE					SCOP	PΕ		\$,000	START	CMPL
		t ASOC Co	mplex			•	3,2		SM	\$15,000		Sep-09
							Total			\$15,000		334 33
										, ,,,,,,		
9a. Future Projects:		Planned Ne	xt Five	Years:								
	None											
9b. Real Property Ma	aintenanc	e Backlog	This In	stallatio	n: (\$M)							122
10. Mission or Major	Function	s: Incorpor	ate AS	OC ope	rations	tha	t provi	ide	commar	nd and cor	trol of air a	and space
assets supporting 25												
of 54 personnel, 14 p					•	,				Ü	J	·
' '												
11. Outstanding poll	ution and	Safety (OS	SHA) D	eficienc	ies:							
a. Air pollution	audii aila	Jaioty (00	, ,, ,, D	0.1010110						0		
po										· ·		
b. Water Pollutio	n									0		
c. Occupational S	Safety and	d Health								0		
d. Other Environ	mental									0		
G. Calci Liviloii	oritai									· ·		

DD Form 1390, 24 Jul 00

2. DATE

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

WHEELER AIR FORCE BASE, HAWAII

4. PROJECT TITLE

CONSTRUCT ASOC COMPLEX

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

27418 141-753 YVEW083003 15,000

9. COST ESTI	MATES	}		
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES				10,968
UPGRADE ASOC FACILITY (B203)	SM	2,010	3,500	(7,035)
UPGRADE ASOC FACILITY (B204)	SM	500	2,500	(1,250)
WEAPONS SYSTEM/VEHICLE STORAGE FACILITY	SM	780	3,018	(2,354)
SDD & EP ACT 05	SM	2,510	101	(254)
ANTITERRORISM / FORCE PROTECTION	SM	2,510	30	(75)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				2,455
CONSTRUCT PARKING LOT	SM	1,670	120	(200)
RESURFACE MOTOR POOL	SM	3,200	125	(400)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(200)
ARCHAEOLOGICAL MONITORING	LS			(120)
CONTAMINATED SOIL REMEDIATION	LS			(250)
COMMUNICATIONS	LS			(400)
UTILITIES	LS			(80)
HAZARDOUS MATERIAL DISPOSAL	LS			(200)
EXTERIOR FAC WASH RACK AND HAZMAT STORAGE	SM	35	3,000	(105)
OIL WATER SEPARATOR	LS			(500)
SUBTOTAL				13,423
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				671
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				14,094
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.5%)				916
TOTAL REQUEST				15,011
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				15,000)
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)				(250

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Upgrade existing ASOC complex buildings B203 and B204. Construct parking lot, excavation, grading, interior modernization, painting, construct armory, roofing system, fire detection/suppression system, architectural, electrical, air conditioning, demolition of pavement, site work, oil water separator, wash rack, battery storage shed, open-sided covered parking/storage for rolling stock and storage space for individual issue and field equipment storage, demolition, archaeological monitoring, and necessary support. This project will comply with DoD anti-terrorism/force protection requirements per unified facilities criteria.

Air Conditioning: 60 Tons

11. Requirement: 3230 SM Adequate: 0 SM Substandard: SM

<u>REQUIREMENT:</u> An adequately sized and configured facility complex is needed to support beddown of an Air Support Operations Center (ASOC) for the 25th Air Support Operations Squadron (ASOS).

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

1. COMPONENT		DATA	2. DATE					
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE								
WHEELER AIR FORCE BASE, HAWAII CONSTRUCT ASOC COMPLEX								
5. PROGRAM ELI	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)		
27418		141-753	7.7	YVEW083003 15,00				

CURRENT SITUATION: 25 ASOS, located on Wheeler Army Airfield (WAAF), employs Offensive Air Support, Air Mobility, and Combat Weather Service for components of the US Army Pacific, specifically, the 25th Infantry Division (ID). 25 ASOS has two facilities at WAAF that do not meet the need for both the 107 assigned personnel and 52 pieces of rolling stock. The unit will gain an ASOC Flight comprised of 54 personnel, 14 prime movers and 9 trailers. The squadron's mission will incorporate ASOC operations that provide command and control of air and space assets supporting 25 ID commander and/or Senior Unit of Employment. The current facilities need to be modernized to support both the 25 ASOS' traditional mission and its new mission as an ASOC. Construction of an additional parking lot adjacent to the existing 25 ASOS compound will provided the needed footprint to beddown the ASOC personnel and equipment within the exising ASOS facilities.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: 25 ASOS will not be able to fully support the 25 ID and/or Senior Unit of Employment. Any delay hinders Air Support Operations Center

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: 25 ASOS will not be able to fully support the 25 ID and/or Senior Unit of Employment. Any delay hinders Air Support Operations Center alignment with United States Army Transformation. That impediment will significantly affect support to the Army Modularity Program, which integrates and equips the ASOC as a force multiplier to Counterland operations.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the scope/criteria specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements" and "Air Force Facilities on Army Installation Guide" for an ASOC/ASOS complex. A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for satisfying this requirement indicates that only one option will meet mission needs. Therefore, a complete economic analysis was not performed. A certificate for waiver from an economic analysis has been prepared. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the design, development, and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423 and other applicable laws and Executive orders. Base Civil Engineer: Colonel David H. Maharrey Jr., 808-448-2855. Upgrade ASOC Facility B203: 2,010 SM = 21,628; Upgrade ASOC Facility B204: 500 SM = 5,380 SF; ASOC Weapons System Facility: 760 SM = 8,160 SF; Construct Parking Lot: 1,670 SM = 18,000 SF.

<u>JOINT USE CERTIFICATION:</u> This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements.

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE (computer generated)						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE WHEELER AIR FORCE BASE, HAWAII CONSTRUCT ASOC COMPLEX								
5. PROGRAM EI	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PI	ROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)		
27418		141-753 YVEW083003 15,000						
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:								
a. Estimate	ed Design	Data:						
(1) Proje	ct to be	accomplished by de	sign-	build procedur	es			
(2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - NO (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used								
(3) All O	3) All Other Design Costs 750							
(4) Const	(4) Construction Contract Award 10 FEB							
(5) Const	onstruction Start 10 MAR							

b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations:

(7) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed

(6) Construction Completion

EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE	PROCURING APPROPRIATION	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED	COST (\$000)
FURNISHINGS	3400	2011	200
COMMUNICATIONS	3400	2011	50

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

11 AUG

YES

	-								•	
1. COMPONENT		FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM 2. DATE								
AIR FORCE										
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. COMMAND: 5. AREA CONST										
	OME AIR FORCE BASE, AIR COMBAT COMMAND COST INDEX									
IDAHO	1					-		1.1		
Personnel		RMANENT			TUDEN T			PPORTE		
Strength	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
AS OF 30 SEP 08	451	4176	1068		42	0	0		67	5,805
END FY 2014	445	4120	673	0	42	0	0	1	67	5,348
INVENTORY DAT	A (\$000)									
a. Total Acreage:		6,844								
b. Inventory Total as										1,705,251
c. Authorization Not		•								0
 d. Authorization Req 			am:							20,000
f. Planned in Next F		Program:								37,200
g. Remaining Deficient	ency:								•	107,100
h. Grand Total:										1,869,551
8. PROJECTS REQ	UESTED	IN THIS PE	ROGR	AM:			(FY 201	•		
CATEGORY									DESIGN	STATUS
<u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJEC</u>					<u>SCOPE</u>		<u>\$,000</u>	<u>START</u>	
442-758	Logistics	Readiness	er		8,700	SM	20,000		Sep-09	
		Total 20,000								
9a. Future Projects:				Years:						
610-127		ineer Facili				8,255	SM	23,700		
610-243	Operation	ns Group C	comple	X		2,323	SM	13,500		
						Total		37,200		
9b. Real Property Ma										52
10. Mission or Major										
squadron, one F-15E	squadro	n, one F-15	C squ	adron; a	ind the	Air Expe	ditionary	Force (A	EF) Battle	e lab
11. Outstanding Poll	ution and	Safety (OS	SHA D	eficienci	es):					
 a. Air pollution 								0		
b. Water Pollution 0										
c. Occupational Safety and Health 0										
d. Other Environ	mental							0		

DD Form 1390, 9 Jul 02

442-758

2. DATE

20,000

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

27576

MOUNTAIN HOME AIR FORCE BASE, IDAHO

4. PROJECT TITLE

LOGISTICS READINESS CENTER

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

QYZH013005R3

J. COB1 EB11	rust Et	•		
			UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES				14,427
LOGISTICS READINESS CENTER	SM	8,700	1,610	(14,007)
SDD & EPACT 05	SM	8,700	32	(280)
ANTITERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION	SM	8,700	16	(140)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				3,970
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(383)
UTILITIES	LS			(700)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(433)
DEMOLITION AND ASBESTOS ABATEMENT	SM	13,041	110	(1,435)
COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT	LS			(220)
RELOCATE HOUSING SUPPLY AND OUTDOOR REC	LS			(549)
RELOCATE VEHICLE STORAGE	LS			(250)
SUBTOTAL				18,396
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				920
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				19,316
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%)				1,101
TOTAL REQUEST				20,417
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				20,000
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)				(137.0)

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete slab floor, steel frame, masonry walls, standing seam metal roof, utilities, fire detection/protection, site improvements, landscaping, pavement, communication support, demolition and asbestos abatement of three facilities (13,041 SM), relocation of two facilities and utilities incident to construction and all other necessary support. This project will comply with DoD antiterrorism/force protection requirements per Unified Facilities Criteria.

Air Conditioning: 200 Tons

11. Requirement: 11130 SM Adequate: 2430 SM Substandard: 16335 SM

PROJECT: Construct Logistics Readiness Center. (Current Mission)

REQUIREMENT: Logistics Readiness Center is required to provide command and control for all materials in-bound and outbound; including freight processing, packing, crating and a pallet buildup shop; as well as provide bulk and bin storage. The facility must also support secure storage, an armory and have appropriately sized and configured administrative areas.

CURRENT SITUATION: The base supply and traffic management freight terminal complex is a wooden facility from 1955 which has been condemned by engineers due to safety concerns. It has failing structural roof trusses and cracked supports, although temporary structural supports were installed at key locations to allow personnel limited operations. Functions such as base supply support, administration, training, warehouse and traffic management functions were relocated to alternate facilities upwards of two miles away creating a disjointed, undersized base supply operation. Geographically dislocated material handling and freight processing

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

1. COMPONENT		DATA	2. DATE						
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)							
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE									
MOUNTAIN HOME AIR FORCE BASE, IDAHO LOGISTICS READINESS CENTER									
5. PROGRAM ELE	MENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)			
27576		442-758	QYZ	H013005R3	00				

actions cause unnecessary delays in meeting mission capable (MICAP) delivery times and contribute to delays in aircraft repair times; some MICAP shipments are delayed as much as 24 hours. Separation also contributes to an additional 240 manhours/per month to complete outbound freight shipments. In addition to these problems, the roof of bldg 2610 collapsed forcing the further relocation of War Readiness Materials and Management Systems personnel; mobility bags (MOBAG) remained in the facility for lack of space elsewhere. The unsafe conditions in the facility does not allow for the normal issuance of MOBAGS to deploying personnel. Secure weapons storage remains in the condemned facility due to a lack of adequate facilities elsewhere, putting these weapons at risk of loss or damage. The existing facility contains the only covered loading dock for unloading and protecting large shipments of cargo arriving at Mountain Home AFB; shipments are off-loaded, then redirected to an available storage facility. These facilities require constant inspection and maintenance of temporary structural supports and roofs.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Structural failure of these facilities could cause loss of life or damage to war readiness supplies. The lack of adequate facilities is hindering the ability to meet logistics mission requirements. Physical separation and displacement of assets and resources will continue to strain scarce manpower, impede management control, compromise security and degrade the Wing's ability to meet mission requirements; creating continuous performance obstacles that interfere with the squadron's ability to support the wing flying mission and deployment taskings. Work-arounds and fragmented operations will continue to drain transportation and manpower resources on a daily basis and adversely impact MICAP delivery times. Weapons issue and cargo personnel will be restricted from access to materials when the environment is deemed unsafe due to weather conditions. In addition, new customer ordering processes and mission changes are increasing storage requirements for critical parts. Assets are now moved an average of 2 to 3 times before receipt, shipping, or stocking causing extensive waste of manpower and man hours in completing assigned tasks.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements." A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (construction, status quo, revitalization) was done. It indicates there is only one option that will meet operational requirements; construction. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception will be prepared. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the design, development and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423 and other applicable laws and Executive orders. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Timothy S. Wood (208) 828-6353. (Logistics Readiness Center 8,700 SM = 93,612 SF)

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: Mission requirements, operational considerations, and location are incompatible with use by other components.

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE								
	_	er gene	Ī					
3. INSTALLATION AND L	OCATION		4. PROJECT	FITLE				
MOUNTAIN HOME AIR FORCE BASE, IDAHO LOGISTICS READINESS CENTER								
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000							
27576	442-758	QYZ	H013005R3	20,	000			
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA	\:							
a. Estimated Design	ı Data:							
(1) Status:								
(a) Date Desig	n Started			15	-JUN-08			
(b) Parametrio	Cost Estimates use	ed to de	evelop costs		YES			
* (c) Percent Co	omplete as of 01 JAN	1 2009			15%			
* (d) Date 35% D	esigned			18	-MAR-09			
(e) Date Design Complete 30-SEP-09								
(f) Energy Stu	dy/Life-Cycle analy	sis was	s/will be per	rformed	YES			
(2) Basis:								
(a) Standard o	or Definitive Design	n -			NO			
(b) Where Desi	gn Was Most Recentl	ly Used						
(3) Total Cost (c	e) = (a) + (b) or (d	i) + (e)):		(\$000)			
(a) Production	of Plans and Speci	ificatio	ons		1,200			
(b) All Other	Design Costs				600			
(c) Total					1,800			
(d) Contract					1,500			
(e) In-house					300			
(4) Construction	Contract Award				10 FEB			
(5) Construction	Start				10 MAR			
(6) Construction	Completion				12 MAR			
-	etion of Project De able to traditional ability.							

 $\ensuremath{\text{b.}}$ Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations:

EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE	PROCURING APPROPRIATION	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED	COST (\$000)
COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT	3400	2010	87
FURNITURE	3400	2010	50

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ALTON AND LOCATION AIR FORCE DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON COST INDEX	COMPONENT AIR FORCE										
Strength	3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE				AIR FORCE DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON COST IN						
Strength	6. Personnel	PERN	//ANENT		STU	DENTS		SUPP	ORTED		
END FY 2014 1298 5377 1976 448 2078 1859 13 7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000) Total Acreage:	Strength	OFF	ENL	CIV			CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000) Total Acreages 4,996 3,461, Authorization Not Yet in Inventory: 122 Authorization Requested in this Program: 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	AS OF 30 SEP 08	1312	5485	1970		448		2078	1859		13,152
Total Acreage: 4,996 Inventory Total as of: (30 Sep 08) 3,461, Authorization Not Yet in Inventory: 122 Authorization Not Yet in Inventory: 99 122 Authorization Requested in this Program: 99 143	END FY 2014	1298	5377	1976		448		2078	1859		13,036
CATEGORY CODE PROJECT TITLE 422-264 Munitions Storage Area (TFI) 9a. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Five Years: 740-674 Fitness Center Phase 1 7,500 SM 730-441 Consolidated Library Education Center 75,292 SM 70tal 9c. Real Property Maintenance Backlog This Installation: (\$M) 10. Mission or Major Functions: An airlift wing flying a variety of fixed wing and rotary aircraft responsible for Presidential support and support of other branches of the Armed Forces and Federal Agencies; Air National Guard Readiness Center; DC Air National Guard F-16 flighter wing; and an Air Force Reserve Command C-141 airlift wing. 11. Outstanding pollution and Safety (OSHA) Deficiencies: a. Air pollution b. Water Pollution c. Occupational Safety and Health	Total Acreage: Inventory Total as of : Authorization Not Yet i Authorization Requeste Planned in Next Five Y Remaining Deficiency:	(30 Sep 08 n Inventory: ed in this Progra	ogram:								3,461,833 122,648 9,300 34,500 143,000 3,771,281
740-674 Fitness Center Phase 1 7,500 SM 15,000 Total 19,500 Total 34,500 9c. Real Property Maintenance Backlog This Installation: (\$M) 194 10. Mission or Major Functions: An airlift wing flying a variety of fixed wing and rotary aircraft responsible for Presidential support and support of other branches of the Armed Forces and Federal Agencies; Air National Guard Readiness Center; DC Air National Guard F-16 fighter wing; and an Air Force Reserve Command C-141 airlift wing. 11. Outstanding pollution and Safety (OSHA) Deficiencies: a. Air pollution 0 b. Water Pollution 0 c. Occupational Safety and Health 0	CATEGORY <u>CODE</u>	PROJECT :	<u>TITLE</u>	·	010)	-	2,012	SM	<u>\$,000</u> 9,300	START May 08	
 10. Mission or Major Functions: An airlift wing flying a variety of fixed wing and rotary aircraft responsible for Presidential support and support of other branches of the Armed Forces and Federal Agencies; Air National Guard Readiness Center; DC Air National Guard F-16 fighter wing; and an Air Force Reserve Command C-141 airlift wing. 11. Outstanding pollution and Safety (OSHA) Deficiencies: a. Air pollution b. Water Pollution c. Occupational Safety and Health 0 0 	740-674	Fitness Cer	nter Phase		enter		5,292		19,500	_	
 10. Mission or Major Functions: An airlift wing flying a variety of fixed wing and rotary aircraft responsible for Presidential support and support of other branches of the Armed Forces and Federal Agencies; Air National Guard Readiness Center; DC Air National Guard F-16 fighter wing; and an Air Force Reserve Command C-141 airlift wing. 11. Outstanding pollution and Safety (OSHA) Deficiencies: a. Air pollution b. Water Pollution c. Occupational Safety and Health 0 0 	9c Real Property Mai	ntenance Ra	acklog This	Installation	· (\$M)						194
b. Water Pollution c. Occupational Safety and Health 0	 Mission or Major F support of other branch fighter wing; and an Air Outstanding pollut 	Functions: A hes of the A r Force Res	n airlift wing rmed Force erve Comm	l flying a va s and Fede and C-141	riety of fixe ral Agencie airlift wing.				enter; DC A	ir National	port and
	c. Occupational Sa	afety and He	ealth						0		
d. Other Environmental 0	d. Other Environm	nental							0		

DD Form 1390, 24 Jul 00

May 2009

2. DATE

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE, MARYLAND

4. PROJECT TITLE

MUNITIONS STORAGE AREA (MSA) (TFI)

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)
91376 422-264 AJXF063009 9,300

9. COST EST	IMATES	5		
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES				5,619
HAYMAN-TYPE EARTH COVERED IGLOOS	SM	435	3,444	(1,498)
SEGREGATED STORAGE MAGAZINE	SM	1,251	2,637	(3,299)
MSA ADMIN FACILITY	SM	120	2,637	(316)
MSA MAINTENANCE AND INSPECTION FACILITY	SM	110	2,365	(260)
INERT STORAGE	SM	96	1,615	(155)
SDD & EP ACT 05	SM	2,012	30	(60)
ANTITERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION	LS			(30)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				2,752
UTILITIES	LS			(300)
PAVEMENTS	LS		İ	(200)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS		İ	(140)
DEMOLITION	SM	3,000	300	(900)
COMMUNICATIONS/SECURITY LIGHTING	LS		İ	(1,112)
STORM WATER MANAGEMENT	LS			(100)
SUBTOTAL				8,371
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				419
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				8,789
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%)				501
TOTAL REQUEST				9,290
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				9,300)
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)				(173

^{10.} Description of Proposed Construction: Construct 9 large earth covered reinforced concrete Hayman Type igloos, 2 reinforced concrete segregated storage magazines capable of meeting Net Explosive Weight (NEW) requirements for the National Capital Region (NCR), an inert storage area, a munitions maintenance facility, and an administrative facility. This project will also include: access road, reinforced pavements with adequate loading/turn-around support, utilities, other necessary support, and the demolition of eight facilities (4971, 4972, 4963, 4962, 4952, 4942, 4982, 4973) totaling 3,000 SM. This project will comply with DoD antiterrorism/force protection requirements per the Unified Facility Criteria.

PROJECT: Replace Munitions Storage Complex (Current Mission)

REQUIREMENT: Properly sited, adequately sized and correctly configured munitions storage facilities are required to support the National Capitol Region (NCR) including Security Forces (SF) personnel, Office of Special Investigations (OSI), State Department personnel, Air Force Explosive Ordinance Disposal (EOD) flight, 89th Airlift, Navy, Foreign Military Sales (FMS) and other supported NCR organizations. This project is needed to provide safer and more efficient explosives handling and storage capabilities within a new explosive safety footprint. The munitions storage facilities will be constructed in conjunction with a munitions storage complex constructed by 113th ANG, resulting in a combined

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

May 2009

^{11.} Requirement: 2012 SM Adequate: 0 SM Substandard: 982 SM

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE						
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)						
3. INSTALLATIO	3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE							
ANDREWS AIR FO	ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE, MARYLAND MUNITIONS STORAGE AREA (MSA) (TFI)							
5. PROGRAM ELI	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)		
91376		422-264	AJXF063009		9,30	00		

Munitions Storage Area (MSA) for the base.

CURRENT SITUATION: The current MSA in use by the 316th Wing, ANG, 89th Airlift Wing, and other NCR agencies was constructed in 1959 and is not properly sited for the current base configuration. The MSA location does not comply with Air Force Manual 91-201 "Explosive Safety Standards". As a result, numerous explosive safety waivers were required to keep the MSA operational. Due to the old design of the facilities and in order to eliminate the safety waivers the MSA can only utilize 50% of its functional storage space. This reduction has forced the MSA to eliminate accounts for NCR agencies and greatly reduce it's capacity for the current agencies using the MSA. Construction of new manned facilities has severly limited the storage capability of the existing munitions storage area. Current munitions storage has been reconfigured to reduce the Quantity Distance arcs, however additional storage that would increase arcs would require explosive safety waivers. This project includes a direct airfield access road that allows safer travel to and from the MSA cutting escort missions for Security Forces in half. This project is the key element to the overall relocation and development of a single munitions storage area at Andrews AFB.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: There will continue to be a shortfall of storage capability for hazard class 1.1 munitions. Andrews AFB will be forced to turn away agencies in the NCR requiring munitions storage resulting in a short fall for NCR emergency response. In addition, operation of two MSAs on Andrews AFB will cause a needless waste of manpower, time and material resources.

ADDITIONAL: This project supports Total Force Integration initiatives. This project will be conjuctively constructed with the Air National Guard Munitions Storage Area Project AJXF049104. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, Facility Requirements and Air Force Manual 91-210, Explosive Safety Standards. During 2007 there has been an average increase of 50% in maintenance and repair for the facilities. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the design, development and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423 and other applicable laws and Executive Orders. All known alternative options were considered during the development of this project. No other option could meet the mission requirements; therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed. A certificate of exception will be prepared. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Brian Duffy, Comm 240-857-7181. Munitions Storage Area: 2012 SM = 21,657 SF.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements. This facility is designed to be used jointly by the DCANG and by Andrews Air Force Base munitions maintenance personnel.

1. COMPONENT		FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE								
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)									
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE										
ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE, MARYLAND MUNITIONS STORAGE AREA (MSA) (TFI)							(TFI)			
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PI	ROJECT NU	MBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST	(\$000)		
91376		422-264	2	JXF06300	9	9,	300)		
12. SUPPLEMEN	ITAL DAT.	A:								
a. Estimate	d Design	n Data:								
(1) Proje	ct to be	accomplished by de	esign-	build pro	cedure	es				
(2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - NO (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used								NO		
(3) All O	ther Des	ign Costs						465		
(4) Const	ruction	Contract Award					10	FEB		
(5) Const	ruction	Start					10	MAR		
(6) Const	ruction	Completion					11	MAR		
(7) Energ	y Study/	Life-Cycle analysi	s was/	will be p	erfor	med		YES		
b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations:										
EQUIPMENT	NOMENC		PROCUR PROPRI		APPRO	L YEAR PRIATED QUESTED		COST (\$000)		
COMM EQUI	PMENT		340	0	2	011		173		

AIR FORCE INSTALLATION AND LOCATION CANNON AFB, NEW MEXICO COMMAND: AIR FORCE SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND 1.04	
CANNON AFB, AIR FORCE SPECIAL COST INDEX	
· ·	
INEVVIVIENTO TOPERATIONS COMMINION 1 1.04	
6. Personnel PERMANENT STUDENTS SUPPORTED	
Strength OFF ENL CIV OFF ENL CIV OFF ENL CIV	TOTAL
AS OF 30 Sep 08 233 1500 398 0 0 0 0 0 0	2,131
END FY 2014 549 2561 416 0 0 0 0 0 0	3,526
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)	
Total Acreage: 3,789	
Inventory Total as of: (30 Sep 08)	1,002,731
Authorization Not Yet in Inventory:	11,188
Authorization Requested in this Program:	15,000
Planned in Next Five Year Program:	69,225
Remaining Deficiency:	235,397
	1,333,541
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: (FY2010)	
	STATUS
CODE PROJECT TITLE SCOPE \$,000 START _	CMPL
131-111 Consolidated Comm Facility 4,042 SM 15,000 May 08	Sep 09
Total 15,000	
On FLITHDE DDO IFCTS: Typical Dlapped Noyt Five Vegre:	
9a. FUTURE PROJECTS: Typical Planned Next Five Years: 721-312 Dormitory (96 Rm) 3,168 SM 15,000	
721-312 Dormitory (96 Rm) 3,168 SM 15,000 831-165 ADAL Wastewater Treatment Plant 250 KG 9,000	
721-312 Dormitory (96 Rm) 3,168 SM 15,500	
722-351 Satellite Dining and Fitness Center, PH I 1,672 SM 7,332	
722-352 Satellite Dining and Fitness Center, PH II 1,378 SM 5,000	
740-253 Family Support Center 1,001 SM 3,931	
730-411 Library/Education Center 3,344 SM 13,462	
Total 69,225	
, and the second	
9b. Real Property Maintenance Backlog This Instalation: (\$M)	73
10. MISSION OR MAJOR FUNCTIONS: Special Operations Wing with MC-130W, AC-130, CV-22, N	on-
Standard Aviation (NSA), and Unmanned Aerial System (UAS) special operations squadrons.	
11. OUTSTANDING POLLUTION AND SAFETY (OSHA)DEFICIENCIES:	
a. Air pollution 0	
b. Water Pollution 0	
c. Occupational Safety and Health 0	
d. Other Environmental 0	

DD Form 1390, 24 Jul 00

2. DATE

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

CANNON AIR FORCE BASE, NEW MEXICO

4. PROJECT TITLE

CONSOLIDATED COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

27576 131-111 CZQZ063002 15,000

9. COST ESTIMATES

9. COST ESTI	MATES	3		
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES				10,196
COMMUNICATIONS SQUADRON AREA	SM	3,050	2,450	(7,473)
COMMAND POST AREA	SM	900	2,450	(2,205)
TELEPHONE SWITCH ADDITION	SM	92	2,450	(225)
ANTITERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION	LS			(98)
SDD & EP ACT 05	LS			(196)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				3,386
UTILITIES	LS			(627)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(574)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(1,001)
COMMUNICATION SUPPORT	LS			(559)
GENERATORS (350 KVA)	EA	2	125,474	(251)
ELEVATOR	EA	1	190,000	(190)
DEMOLITION	SM	957	192	(184)
SUBTOTAL				13,582
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				679
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				14,261
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%)				813
TOTAL REQUEST				15,074
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				15,000
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)				(2,045.0)

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a 2-story communications facility with reinforced concrete foundations, steel frames, and reinforced concrete walls and floors. The exterior finish will consist of split-face concrete masonry unit (CMU) walls and standing-seam metal roof. Command Post area inside facility will include necessary security walls, doors, and ceilings. The project includes all utilities, pavements, site improvements, landscaping and required facility support. Project will demolish Bldg 10 with the exception of the existing telephone switch. Project incorporates antiterrorism/force protection requirements IAW the DoD Unified Facility Criteria.

Air Conditioning: 145 Tons

11. Requirement: 6265 SM Adequate: 1399 SM Substandard: 3523 SM

PROJECT: Construct Communications Facility (Current Mission).

REQUIREMENT: Meet new requirements for communications intensive beddown of Special Operations Forces (SOF). By FY11, multiple new missions will be assigned to Cannon to include one MC-130 squadron, one AC-130 squadron, an Unmanned Aircraft System (UAS) squadron, two CV-22 squadrons, a Non-Standard Aircraft (NSA) squadron and various other SOF personnel and their associated facilities. 24/7 communications support is essential for all SOF mission aircraft and is critical for the UAS operations center. Combines communications requirements and functions into one area and provides adequate storage space for spare and used communications equipment. Facility will also provide an adequately sized and configured Command Post with the

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE						
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE								
CANNON AIR FORCE BASE, NEW MEXICO CONSOLIDATED COMMUNICATION						FACILITY		
5. PROGRAM ELI	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)		
27576		131-111	CZQZ063002		15,0	00		

necessary administrative areas, offices, Battle Staff area, Mission Director Cell and a conference room employing the latest Air Force Incident Management System (AFIMS) concept.

CURRENT SITUATION: Incoming missions have heavy command, control and communications requirements that require more robust communications and Command Post support to insure timely voice/data input and output to support the Overseas Contingency Operations (OCO). The existing main communications facilities are spread throughout the base, providing an inefficient and unproductive work environment. The existing buildings are old, outdated, and expensive to maintain. One of these facilities, 2328, is located across the flight line from the main area of the base. Building 2328 is used for the Mission Systems flight and was built in 1972. Building 10 is used for administrative/planning/remote switching and was built in 1962. The current switch area is approaching maximum capacity. Building 600 houses most of the Support flight as well as the current Command Post. The current Command Post is undersized by over 50% with inefficient and insufficient HVAC, communications and security capabilities and is unable to meet SOF requirements. Project will also enable the implementation of new AFIMS concept to improve response to emergencies and interoperability with local communities for overall better incident management. This facility will replace inadequate and disjointed communications squadron structure with one central, efficient facilities complex and provide adequate facilities to support new AFSOC mission requirements. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The base will be unable to adequately support new communications system requirements of incoming AFSOC organizations to include six

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The base will be unable to adequately support new communications system requirements of incoming AFSOC organizations to include six SOF Operations Squadrons actively supporting the OCO; especially the 24/7 requirements for UAS. The current facilities will continue to degrade and compromise the base's communications abilities and efficiency.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements". A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovation, new construction) was done. It indicates there is only one option that will meet operational requirements. A certificate of exception has been prepared. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the project design, development, and construction in accordance with Executive Order 13423 and other applicable laws and Executive orders. Base Civil Engineer: Stephen D. Wood, Lt Col, 505/784-2008. Consolidated Communications Facility: 4,042 SM = 43,507 SF.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: Mission requirements, operational considerations, and location are incompatible with use by other components.

1. COMPONENT		FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2						
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)						
3. INSTALLATI	ON AND I							
CANNON AIR FO	CANNON AIR FORCE BASE, NEW MEXICO CONSOLIDATED COMMUNICATIONS FAC							
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	EMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (
27576		131-111 CZQZ063002 15,				000		
12. SUPPLEMEN	12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:							
a. Estimated Design Data:								
(1) Statu	(1) Status:							

(a) Date Design Started	17-MAY-08
(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs	YES
(c) Percent Complete as of 01 JAN 2009	15%
(d) Date 35% Designed	30-JAN-09
(e) Date Design Complete	30-SEP-09
(f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed	YES

- (2) Basis:
 - (a) Standard or Definitive Design -NO
 - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used

(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	900
(b) All Other Design Costs	450
(c) Total	1,350
(d) Contract	1,125
(e) In-house	225

- (4) Construction Contract Award 10 FEB
- (5) Construction Start 10 MAR
- 11 SEP (6) Construction Completion
- * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.
- b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations:

EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE	PROCURING APPROPRIATION	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED	COST (\$000)
COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT	3400	2010	325
SYSTEMS FURNITURE	3400	2010	1,560
COMMAND CENTER CONSOLES	3400	2010	160

1. COMPONENT		FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM 2. DATE								
AIR FORCE										
3. INSTALLATION	AND LO	CATION	ATION 4. COMMAND: 5. AREA CONST							
HOLLOMAN AIR FO	ORCE BA	NSE,	SE, AIR COMBAT COMMAND COST INDEX							
NEW MEXICO			0.99							
6. Personnel	PE	RMANEN	Т	S	TUDENTS		SU	PPORTED		
Strength	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
AS OF 30 SEP 08	437	3554	1925	8	4	. 0	1	10	86	6,025
END OF FY 2014	395	3411	1829	8	4	. 0	1	10	86	5,744
7. INVENTORY DA	TA (\$000))							-	
a. Total Acreage:	,	57,837								
b. Inventory Total a	s of: (30	Sep 08)								2,524,621
c. Authorization No										40,300
d. Authorization Re	quested	in this Pro	gram:							5,500
f. Planned in Next F	•		-							52,303
g. Remaining Defic		J								58,100
h. Grand Total:	,								-	2,680,824
										, , -
8. PROJECTS REC	QUESTE	O IN THIS	PROG	RAM:			(FY 201	0)		
CATEGORY							,	COST	DESIGN	STATUS
	PROJEC	CT TITLE				SCOPE		\$,000	START	CMPL
		nsolidated	Muniti	ons Mnt	nce - TFI	1,347	SM	5,500	Jun-08	Sep-09
	. 22 00			0110 11111		Total	0	5,500		COP CC
								0,000		
9a. Future Projects	: Typical	Planned	Next Fi	ve Year	'S:					
					acility - TFI	1,390	SM	8,503		
		ndoor Targ	-		•	3,160	SM	13,700		
		abrication				4,638	SM	6,900		
		sh Rescue	•	n		2,178	SM	10,000		
		et Asset S				9,289	SM	13,200		
	22, (0	017100010	no.ugo	· domity		Total	0	52,303	•	
						10101		02,000		
9b. Real Property N	/laintenar	nce Backlo	og This	Installa	tion: (\$M)					63
10. Mission or Majo						wing with	F-22A s	quadrone	one Germ	
training squadron, a						_		•		
reserve material bar	•		-	oquauit	on, a weapon	o icomiy	ana eval	aadon will	y, and the	wui
TOSCIVO MALEMAI DAI	c base s	apport gro	ωp.							
11. Outstanding Po	llution ar	nd Safety /	'OSHA	Deficie	ncies).					
a. Air Pollution	auon ai	ia Galoty (JU11/7	Donoici	10100).			0		
a. All Foliation								U		
b. Water Polluti	on							0		
D. Water i Olluti	011							U		
c. Occupational	Safety	nd Health						0		
c. Occupational	Jaicty &	iiiu i icailii						U		
d. Other Environ	nmental							0		
u. Guiei Liiviioi	innental							U		

DD Form 1390, 9 Jul 02

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

HOLLOMAN AIR FORCE BASE, NEW MEXICO

4. PROJECT TITLE

F-22 CONSOLIDATED MUNITIONS

MAINTENANCE - TFI

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

27138 212-213

KWRD083003

5,500

2. DATE

9. COST ESTIMATES

5. 3322 ISTIMILES									
			UNIT	COST					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)					
PRIMARY FACILITIES				4,366					
CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS FACILITY	SM	418	3,150	(1,317)					
PRECISION GUIDED MISSILE FACILITY	SM	418	3,150	(1,317)					
PGM ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT	SM	511	3,150	(1,610)					
SDD & EPACT 05	SM	1,347	63	(85)					
ANTITERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION	sm	1,347	28	(38)					
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				625					
UTILITIES	LS			(100)					
PAVEMENTS	LS			(350)					
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(150)					
COMMUNICATION SUPPORT	LS			(25)					
SUBTOTAL				4,991					
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				250					
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				5,240					
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.	7%)			299					
TOTAL REQUEST				5,539					
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				5,500					
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)				(90.0)					

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete foundation and floor (150 lb per sq ft loading) with blast resistant walls; construction IAW AFM 91-201. Includes utilities, pavements, site improvements, communication support, bridge crane in the maintenance bays with a minimum clear ceiling height of 3.66m, and all other necessary support. Includes antiterrorism/force protection requirements identified in DoD Unified Facilities Criteria.

Air Conditioning: 50 Tons

11. Requirement: 1347 SM Adequate: 0 SM Substandard: 0 SM

PROJECT: F-22 Consolidated Munitions Maintenance. (New Mission)

REQUIREMENT: The F-22A armaments package includes forward-firing and air-to-ground precision guided munitions. A maintenance facility is required for this weapons system beddown. Three bays are required for missile maintenance; three bays are required for conventional munitions maintenance. Aircraft arrival began in June 2008.

CURRENT SITUATION: The wing currently has no air-to-air maintenance capability because current wing assigned aircraft (F-117A) do not use missiles. The current conventional munitions shop has known quantity-distance violations waivered and cannot be altered to meet new mission requirements.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The ability to perform proper maintenance and build-up on forward-firing or air-to-ground guided munitions has been adversely impacted, further impacting the F-22A's ability to perform its full range of assigned missions.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA					2. DATE
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				4. PROJECT TITLE		
HOLLOMAN AIR FORCE BASE, NEW MEXICO				F-22 CONSOLIDATED MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE - TFI		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE		7. PROJECT NUMBER		8. PROJECT COST (\$000)		
27138 212-213		212-213	KWRD083003		5,500	

32-1084, "Facility Requirements." A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovation, new construction) was done. It indicates there is only one option that will meet operational requirements; new construction. A certificate of exception has been prepared. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the design, development, and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423 and other applicable laws and Executive orders. Base Civil Engineer: LtCol Michael L. Myers, DSN 572-3071. Consolidated Munitions Maintenance Facility: 1,347 SM = 14,494 SF.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: Mission requirements, operational considerations, and location are incompatible with use by other components. This project supports Total Force Integration initiatives.

1. COMPONENT	. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE							
AIR FORCE	AIR FORCE (computer generated)							
3. INSTALLATI	3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE							
HOLLOMAN AIR FORCE BASE, NEW MEXICO F-22 CONSOLIDATED MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE - TFI								
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)		
27138		212-213	KWI	RD083003	5,	500		
12. SUPPLEMEN	TAL DAT	A:						
a. Estimate	d Design	n Data:						
(1) Statu	ıs:							
(a) Da	te Desi	gn Started			15	-JUN-08		
(b) Pa	rametri	c Cost Estimates use	ed to de	evelop costs		YES		
* (c) Pe	rcent C	omplete as of 01 JAN	1 2009			15%		
* (d) Da	te 35% 1	Designed			18	-MAR-09		
		gn Complete			30	-SEP-09		
(f) Er	ergy St	udy/Life-Cycle analy	sis was	s/will be per	formed	YES		
(2) Basis	::							
(a) St	andard	or Definitive Design	ı -			NO		
(b) Wh	ere Des	ign Was Most Recentl	y Used					
(3) Total	. Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d	l) + (e)	:		(\$000)		
(a) Pr	oduction	n of Plans and Speci	fication	ons		330		
(b) Al	.1 Other	Design Costs				165		
(c) To	tal					495		
(d) Co	ntract					413		
(e) In	-house					83		
(4) Const	(4) Construction Contract Award 10 FEB							

- * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.
- b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations:

EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE	PROCURING APPROPRIATION	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED	COST (\$000)
FURNISHINGS	3400	2011	25
COMM EQUIPMENT	3400	2011	65

(5) Construction Start

(6) Construction Completion

10 MAR

11 MAR

4. COMPONENT. L. EV COMO MILITARY CONCERNATION PROCESS. LO DATE										
1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM AIR FORCE								2. DATE		
		ONI			ND.				CONCTAC	OT INDEX
										ST INDEX
KIRTLAND AFB										
NEW MEXICO			_							
6. PERSONNEL		RMANEN			UDENTS			PPORTED		
STRENGTH	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
AS OF 30 SEP 08	342	1021	1382	0	0	0	596		399	4,897
END FY 2014	329	975	1391	0	0	0	551	1097	436	4,779
7. INVENTORY DATE	TA (\$000)									
Total Acreage:										51,606
Inventory (PRV \$000) total as	of: (30 Se	ep 08)							2,960,559
Authorization Not Ye	t in Invent	tory:								24,300
Authorization Reques	sted in thi	s Program	(\$000):							16,700
Planned in Next Five		-	,							163,871
Remaining Deficienc		J								85,090
Grand Total:	, ,								-	3,250,520
Ordina rotali.										0,200,020
8. PROJECTS REQ	LIESTED	IN THIS D	ROGRAM.			/[Y 2010)			
CATEGORY	OLUILD	IIN IIIIO F	NOCIVAIVI.			(1	1 2010)	COST	DESIGN	STATUS
CODE	PROJEC	T TITI =				SCOPE		\$,000	START	CMPL
			Facility				CM			
171-212		Simulator	-			1,069	SM	\$8,700	-	Sep 09
171-212	MC-1303	Simulator	racility			1,225	SM	\$8,000	May 08	Sep 09
						Total		\$16,700		
9a. Future Projects:	• .									
211-179			stem Mainte	nance Fa	acility	3,000	SM	\$14,821		
218-712	Construc	t Armamei	nt Shop			1,000	SM	\$5,300		
730-841	Construc	t Military Working Dog Facility				625	SM	\$3,600		
312-472	Space Ve	ehicles Component Lab				3,710	SM	\$18,000		
851-147	Reconstr	uct/Widen	Wyoming B	vd Ph 1		36,773	SM	\$13,000		
724-417	Visiting C	Officers Qu	arters			1,715	SM	\$7,900		
116-662	Construc	t Hot Carg	o Pad			59,000	SM	\$10,400		
740-674		Fitness Ce				10,524	SM	\$32,000		
721-312	•		nt Party Dor	m (120 R	M)	3,960	SM	\$25,700		
730-835			Forces Cent	•	,	3,945	SM	\$13,900		
422-253		•	Upgrades for		ns Storag		LM	\$3,850		
610-281			est Wg Ops			1,097	SM	\$5,400		
141-454		Operation		OCHICI A	iaa	2,787	SM	\$10,000		
141-404	COAIN-X	Operation	s i aciiity			Total	SIVI	\$163,871		
						TOtal		φ103,0 <i>1</i> 1		
Oh Doctoration and	Modorai	otion (Des	// Upfupdad	Doguiss	mont (ANA)	\				256.6
9b. Restoration and		,	,	•	,		(12)			256.6
10. Mission or Major				_		•				
Force Material Comm		•		•			•			-
operates and mainta			-			•		•	• .	
readiness, security a			•				-		•	
AF Research Lab dir	ectorates	, Defense	Threat Redu	ction Age	ency, Dep	artment of	Energy a	ınd Sandia	National La	boratories.
11. Outstanding poll	lution and	Safety (O	SHA Deficier	ncies):						
a. Air pollution		- `		•				0		
·										
b. Water Pollution	on							0		
								·		
c. Occupational	Safety an	d Health						0		
o. Occupational	Juicty all	a i icailli						U		
d. Other Environ	mental							0		
u. Other Environ	michilai							U		

DD Form 1390, 24 Jul 00

May 2009

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA
AIR FORCE (computer generated)

171-212

2. DATE

8,700

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

27224

KIRTLAND AIR FORCE BASE, NEW MEXICO

4. PROJECT TITLE

HC-130 SIMULATOR FACILITY

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

MHMV083112

9. COST ES	TIMATES	3		
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES				5,386
FLT SIMLTR TNG	sm	1,069	4,900	(5,238)
ANTITERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION	SM	1,069	46	(49)
SDD & EPACT05	SM	1,069	92	(98)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	İ			2,450
UTILITIES	LS			(650)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(200)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(500)
COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT	LS			(350)
SPECIAL FOUNDATIONS	LS			(400)
OVERHEAD CRANES	EA	2	100,000	(200)
UPS SYSTEM	EA	1	150,000	(150)
SUBTOTAL				7,836
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				392
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				8,227
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7	%)			469
TOTAL REQUEST				8,696
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				8,700
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)				(20,400.0)

10. Description of Proposed Construction: One-story structure with reinforced concrete foundations and floors, reinforced stucco-finished CMU walls, steel roof structure with insulated standing seam metal roofing. Due to soil conditions over-excavation and special foundations will be required. Includes seismic provisions, site preparation, communications support, UPS system, fire safety and suppression systems, landscaping, parking and all supporting facilities. This project will comply with DoD antiterrorism/force protection requirements per the Unified Facility Criteria.

Air Conditioning: 130 Tons

11. Requirement: 1069 SM Adequate: 0 SM Substandard: 0 SM

PROJECT: HC-130 Simulator Facility (New Mission)

REQUIREMENT: Construct HC-130 flight simulator facility for new mission HC-130 aircraft to train 80 to 100 students per year at Kirtland AFB. Flight simulators have become the standard way to train air crews because of the enormous savings in fuel and flying time, more comprehensive training opportunities, and the ability fo train on new aircraft and new technology before they enter the fleet.

CURRENT SITUATION: There are no available facilities at Kirtland that can house a new mission HC-130 simulator.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Without this facility it will not be possible to conduct flight simulator training for air crews of new mission HC-130 aircraft at Kirtland. Training would need to be done in actual aircraft at much higher cost, with considerable fuel usage, and no training could be done before receipt of new mission aircraft.

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE						
AIR FORCE	(com	(computer generated)					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE							
KIRTLAND AIR E	ATOR FACILITY						
5. PROGRAM ELE	EMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (
27224	171-212	MHMV083112	8,70	0			

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements." All known alternatives were considered during development of this project; therefore, an economic analysis was not performed and a certificate of exception is being prepared. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the design, development, and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423 and other applicable laws and Executive orders. Base Civil Engineer: Mr. D. Brent Wilson, PE (505) 846-7911. HC-130 Simulator Facility: 1,069 SM = 11,500 SF

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements.

1. COMPONENT		FY 2010	MILITARY C	ONSTRUC	TION PROJECT	DATA	2. DATE		
AIR FORCE			(comput	er gene	rated)				
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE									
KIRTLAND AIR FORCE BASE, NEW MEXICO HC-130 SIMULATOR FACILITY							7		
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CAT	EGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$00			7. PROJECT NUMBER		ST (\$000)
27224		17	71-212	MHMV083112 8			700		
12. SUPPLEMEN	TAL DATA	A:							
a. Estimate	d Design	n Data:							
(1) Statu	s:								
(a) Da	te Desig	gn Starte	ed			14	-MAY-08		
(b) Pa	rametri	c Cost Es	stimates use	ed to de	evelop costs		YES		
* (c) Pe	rcent Co	omplete a	as of 01 JA	1 2009			15%		

(1	E)	Energy	Study/Life-Cycle	analysis	was/will	be	performed
-----	----	--------	------------------	----------	----------	----	-----------

* (d) Date 35% Designed

(e) Date Design Complete

(2) Basis:		
(a) Standard or Definitive	Design - N	10

(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used

(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	522
(b) All Other Design Costs	261
(c) Total	783
(d) Contract	653
(e) In-house	131

(4) Construction Contract Award 10 FEB

(5) Construction Start 10 MAR

(6) Construction Completion 11 MAR

* Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.

b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations:

EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE	PROCURING APPROPRIATION	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED	COST (\$000)
FLIGHT SIMULATOR	3080	2011	20,000
COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT	3400	2011	150
FURNITURE & EQUIPMENT	3400	2011	250

18-MAR-09 30-SEP-09

YES

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA AIR FORCE (computer generated)

2. DATE

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

KIRTLAND AIR FORCE BASE, NEW MEXICO

4. PROJECT TITLE

MC-130 SIMULATOR FACILITY

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT

6. CATEGORY CODE | 7. PROJECT NUMBER

8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

27224

171-212

MHMV073110

8,000

9. COST ESTIMATES

3. 6621				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES				4,889
FLT SIMLTR TNG	SM	1,225	3,874	(4,746)
AT/FP PROVISIONS	SM	1,225	39	(48)
SDD & EPACT05	SM	1,225	78	(96)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	İ			2,350
UTILITIES	LS			(650)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(200)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(400)
COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT	LS			(350)
SPECIAL FOUNDATIONS	LS			(400)
OVERHEAD CRANES	EA	2	100,000	(200)
UPS SYSTEM	LS			(150)
SUBTOTAL				7,239
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				362
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				7,601
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%)			433
TOTAL REQUEST				8,034
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				8,000
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)				(20,400.0)

10. Description of Proposed Construction: A one-story addition to existing HC-130P simulator facility to provide an HC-130 simulator facility with reinforced concrete foundation and floors, reinforced CMU walls, steel roof structure and standing seam metal roof. Due to soil conditions over-excavation and special foundations are required. Includes seismic provisions, site preparation, communications support, UPS system, fire detection and suppression systems, landscaping, and all supporting utilities. This project will comply with DoD antiterrorism/force protection requirements per the Unified Facility Criteria.

Air Conditioning: 150 Tons

11. Requirement: 2247 SM Adequate: 1022 SM Substandard: SM

MC-130 Simulator Facility. (New Mission)

REQUIREMENT: Add an MC-130 simulator facility to the existing HC-130P simulator facility (Bldg 950) to train 80 to 100 students per year. Simulator is expected to be delivered in FY10. The present HC-130P simulator facility was designed to receive a future addition and the site space has been reserved. Flight simulators have become the standard way to train air crews because of the enormous savings in fuel and flying time, more comprehensive training opportunities, and the ability to train on new aircraft and technology before they enter the fleet.

CURRENT SITUATION: There are no facilities on base that can house an MC-130 simulator.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Without this facility it will not be possible to conduct flight simulator training for MC-130 aircraft crews. Training would need to be done in actual aircraft at much higher cost, with considerable fuel usage, and no

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2.						2. DATE
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE							
KIRTLAND AIR FORCE BASE, NEW MEXICO MC-130 SIMULATOR FACILITY							
5. PROGRAM ELI	EMENT 6.	CATEG	ORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)			
27224		171-	-212	MH	IMV073110	8,0	00

training could be done before receipt of aircraft.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements." All known alternatives were considered during the development of this project. No other option could meet the mission requirements; therefore, an economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception is being prepared. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the design, development, and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423 and other applicable laws and Executive orders. Base Civil Engineer: Mr. D. Brent Wilson, PE (505) 846-7911. MC-130 Simulator Facility: 1,225 SM = 13,181 SF.

BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Wilson

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements.

1. COMPONENT		FY 2010 MILITARY C	ONSTRUC	TION PROJECT	DATA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		(comput	er gene	rated)		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE						
KIRTLAND AIR	FORCE BA	ASE, NEW MEXICO		MC-130 SIMUI	LATOR FACILITY	7
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)
27224		171-212	мні	MV073110	8,	000
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:						
a. Estimated Design Data:						
(1) Status:						
(a) Da	(a) Date Design Started 14-MAY-08					

(a) Date Design Started	14-MAY-08
(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs	YES
(c) Percent Complete as of 01 JAN 2009	15%
(d) Date 35% Designed	18-MAR-09
(e) Date Design Complete	30-SEP-09
(f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed	YES

(2) Basis:

(a)	Standard or	Definitive	Design	- N	0
· — /				- -	

(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used

(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	480
(b) All Other Design Costs	240
(c) Total	720
(d) Contract	600
(e) In-house	120

(4) Construction Contract Award 10 FEB

(5) Construction Start 10 MAR

(6) Construction Completion 11 MAR

* Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.

b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations:

EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE	PROCURING APPROPRIATION	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED	COST (\$000)
FLIGHT SIMULATOR	3080	2010	20,000
COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT	3400	2010	150
FURNITURE & EQUIPMENT	3400	2010	250

4 COMPONENT		EV 00	10 8411	ITADV (CONCT	DUCTIO	N DDGG	DAM	lo DATE	
COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 20'	10 MIL	HARY	CONST	RUCTIO	N PROG	KAW	2. DATE	
3. INSTALLATION A	ND LOC	ATION		4 60	MMAND			E ADE/	L A CONST	
MINOT AIR FORCE		ATION				COMMA	ND	COST IN		
NORTH DAKOTA	DASE,			AIR CC	JIVIDA I	COMMA	ND	1.14		
	DE		-	0.	TUDEN	TC I	CLI	PPORTE		
Personnel Strength	OFF	RMANENT ENL	CIV	OFF	TUDEN [*] ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
AS OF 30 SEP 08	608	4332	960		O CINE	0	0		61	5,961
END FY 2014	603	4339	942		0	0	0	0	61	5,945
7. INVENTORY DAT		4000	J72	0	U	U	U	U	01	0,040
a. Total Acreage:	Α (ψοσο)	5,189								
b. Inventory Total as	of · (30)									1,685,536
c. Authorization Not										18,200
d. Authorization Req			am·							11,500
f. Planned in Next Fi		_	u							122,708
g. Remaining Deficie		r rogram.								85,400
h. Grand Total:), ioy.								•	1,923,344
										1,020,011
8. PROJECTS REQI	UESTED	IN THIS P	ROGRA	AM:			(FY 201	0)		
CATEGORY							(,	DESIGN	STATUS
	PROJEC	T TITLE				SCOPE		\$,000	START	CMPL
		Munitions	Traile	r Storac	ıe Facili		SM		Apr-08	Sep-09
610-243		rocedures				2,320	SM	10,000	•	Sep-09
				.9 - 1		Total		11,500		
								,		
9a. Future Projects:	Typical F	Planned Ne	xt Five	Years:						
141-453	Air Traffic	Control C	omple	X		2,059	SM	19,000		
214-469	Proof Loa	ad Test Pit	·			1,598	SM	6,900		
721-312	Dormitor	y (168 RM))			6,384	SM	27,500		
211-173	Add/Alter	Dock 3				5,130	SM	15,408		
171-475	Indoor Fi	ring Range	9			4,668	SM	5,900		
721-312	Dormitor	y (144 RM	S)			5,472	SM	25,600		
212-212	Roll Tran	sfer Facilit	y			940	SM	4,100		
214-425	Transpor	tation Con	nplex			8,139	SM	18,300		
						Total		122,708	-	
9b. Real Property Ma					` '					92
10. Mission or Major			omb w	ing with	B-52H	aircraft, a	and an A	F Space	Comman	d space
wing with Minuteman	III missile	es.								
11. Outstanding Pollution and Safety (OSHA Deficiencies):										
a. Air pollution 0										
h. Wates Dalladan										
b. Water Pollution 0										
	0-4-4	ما المجاناء						^		
c. Occupational	sarety an	a Health						0		
d Other Francisco	montal							0		
d. Other Environ	mental							0		

DD Form 1390, 9 Jul 02

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA
AIR FORCE (computer generated)

2. DATE

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

MINOT AIR FORCE BASE, NORTH DAKOTA

4. PROJECT TITLE

MHU-196 MUNITIONS TRAILER STORAGE FACILITY

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

27576 422-265 QJVF102002 1,500

9. COST ESTIMATES

9. COS1	POLIMALE	D .		
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES				1,158
TRAILER STORAGE FACILITY	SM	745	1,522	(1,134)
SDD & EPACT05	SM	745	32	(24)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				194
UTILITIES	LS			(10)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(66)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(22)
INTRUSION DETECTION SYSTEM	LS			(6)
SECURITY DELAYS	LS			(20)
DEMOLITION	SM	459	152	(70)
SUBTOTAL				1,351
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				68
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,419
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%)			81
TOTAL REQUEST				1,500
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,500
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)				(55.0)

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation with floor slab, steel frame, roof, utilities, pavements to include reinforced concrete approach, site improvements, security enhancements, communication support, relocation of electrical utilities, overhead radiant heat, side roll-up doors; eight on each side, and all other necessary support. This project will comply with DoD antiterrorism/force protection requirements per Unified Facility Criteria.

11. Requirement: 745 SM Adequate: SM Substandard: SM

PROJECT: Construct a MHU-196 Munitions Trailer Storage Facility. (Current Mission)

REQUIREMENT: Adequate space is required for the storage and maintenance of MHU-196 munitions trailers. The facility requires adequate room for the trailer and clearance for the boom. Reinforced concrete approaches are needed to withstand the heavy load of the trailers.

CURRENT SITUATION: There is not enough adequate storage space available on Minot AFB for the storage and maintenance of these high value, nuclear mission enabling assets. Currently, the MHU-196 munitions trailers are stored in structures with War Readiness (WR) assets. This creates tremendous man power drain to move, maintain, and store assets. The constant movement of trailers in and out of the facility exposes the WR assets to the elements; thus, decreasing their life expectancy. Also, access of trailers to munitions personnel must remain in the WSA due to logistical moves and Electronic Warfare Office (EWO) requirements.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: High dollar equipment critical to the nuclear mission is currently unprotected from inclimate weather common to Minot AFB. This causes degradation of equipment that can be prevented by building a storage facility to house the MHU-196 trailers. Temporary storage of trailers amongst WR materials and equipment will continue to degrade their condition.

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA					DATA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE			(comp	puter ge	nerated)		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE							
MINOT AIR FORCE BASE, NORTH DAKOTA MHU-196 MUNITIONS TRAILER FACILITY					TIONS TRAILER S	STORAGE	
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGO	RY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	ST (\$000)	
27576		422-	·265	QJ	QJVF102002		00

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements." A preliminary analysis of reasonable options (status quo, renovation, new construction) for accomplishing the project was done. It indicates there is only one option that will meet operational requirements; new construction. Therefore, a certificate of exception has been prepared. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the design, development, and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 12423 and other applicable laws and Executive orders. Base Civil Engineer: LtCol Monte S. Harner, (701) 723-2434); (Trailer Storage Facility: 745 SM = 8,015 SF)

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: Mission requirements, operational considerations, and

location are incompatible with use by other components.

1. COMPONENT		FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA						
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE								
MINOT AIR FORCE BASE, NORTH DAKOTA MHU-196 MUNITIONS TRAILEI FACILITY						STORAGE		
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)		
27576	27576 422-265 QJVF102002 1				1,	500		
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:								

- a. Estimated Design Data:
 - (1) Status:

•			
	(a)	Date Design Started	09-APR-08
	(b)	Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs	YES
*	(c)	Percent Complete as of 01 JAN 2009	15%
*	(d)	Date 35% Designed	18-MAR-09
	(e)	Date Design Complete	30-SEP-09
	(f)	Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed	YES

(2) Basis:

•							
	(a)	Standard	or	Definitive	Design	- NO	

(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used

(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	90
(b) All Other Design Costs	45
(c) Total	135
(d) Contract	113
(e) In-house	23
(A) Construction Contract Award	10 555

(4) Construction Contract Award 10 FEB

(5) Construction Start 10 MAR

(6) Construction Completion 10 DEC

- * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.
- b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations:

EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE	PROCURING APPROPRIATION	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED	COST (\$000)
COMM EQUIPMENT	3400	2011	45
FURNISHINGS	3400	2011	10

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA
AIR FORCE (computer generated)

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

MINOT AIR FORCE BASE, NORTH DAKOTA

4. PROJECT TITLE

MISSILE PROCEDURES TRAINING

2. DATE

10,000

OPERATIONS FACILITY

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

31476 610-243 QJVF962007R2

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES				6,077
MISSILE PROCEDURES TRAINING OPS FACILITY	SM	2,320	2,450	(5,684)
INTERIOR COMMUNICATION	LS			(184)
SDD & EP ACT 2005	LS			(119)
ANTITERRORISM FORCE PROTECTION	LS			(90)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				2,933
UTILITIES	LS			(327)
PAVEMENTS	LS	j j	į	(1,498)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS	İ	ĺ	(875)
PASSIVE FORCE PROTECTION	LS	İ İ	ĺ	(68)
EXTERIOR COMM	LS	j j		(165)
SUBTOTAL				9,010
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				451
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				9,461
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%)				539
TOTAL REQUEST				10,000
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				10,000)
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)				(1,820

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and slab with brick and block construction on steel frame with standing seam metal roof to match base facilities excellence plan and surrounding facilities. Includes all electrical, water, sewer, HVAC, ground source heat pumps, communications, utilities expansion, paving to extend Tanker Trail across Minute Man Drive, pavements, and all other supporting facilities. Complies with DoD force protection requirements per the Unified Facilities Criteria.

Air Conditioning: 45 Tons

11. Requirement: 2320 SM Adequate: 0 SM Substandard: 3078 SM

PROJECT: Missile procedures training operations facility. (Current Mission)

REQUIREMENT: This facility is required to effectively manage and direct missile operations and to provide classified training, briefing, and work areas for missile combat crews and support staff. Project is necessary to provide security for highly classified and sensitive defense information. Facility must provide space for Missile Proceures Trainer (MPT)/Emergency War Order (EWO) training and office space for administrative support. A parking area for personnel with extended duty at the missile sites is required as well as parking area for personnel working in the facility. Many of the current plug-in boxes are broken and none of them have lights to indicate whether they work or not. New parking with plug-ins will alleviate these problems, enhancing quality of life and piece of mind for extended duty personnel who can take comfort in knowing they will not return from the missile field to a dead car with a frozen engine block.

<u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> Facility studies and inspections have repeatedly identified an inadequate working environment in the present facilities. The missile training

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

1. COMPONENT		FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DF						
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE								
MINOT AIR FOR	CE BASE	, NORTH DAKOTA	MISSILE PROCEDURES TRAINING OPERATIONS FACILITY					
5. PROGRAM ELI	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)		
31476		610-243	VLQ	QJVF962007R2 10,00				

division is located across the base from the operations facility and shares a facility with other units. It requires transportation of classified materials across the base on a regular basis. In addition, the present Missile Procedures Training Operations was built in the mid-1960s and lacks adequate security for classified information. The present work environment contributes to inefficient management and work practices. The fact that the training division is geographically separated from the operations facility greatly impairs efficiency due to the fact that the unit is needed to provide vital training and evaluation. The separation, along with the facilities use by other units, adds to the security risk of the weapons system due to the need to transport classified document, crypto and codes to support training. Geographically separate training and issue points require personnel to frequently hand-carry classified material across the base, increasing risk of exposure. Physical security measures that have been planned to bring classified training and briefing rooms to DoD standards are extremely expensive and often impossible to implement. Multiple work-arounds have been adopted as temporary measures to improve security. This lack of a quality work environment and security is unacceptable. Personnel on extended duty at the sites park their vehicles in the existing parking lot which limits available parking for day-to day Wing business.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If this project is not funded, the deterioration of the aged facility and inefficiency of operations will continue. The MM III weapon system has been life-extended until 2030. The US faces growing nuclear threats from around the world. In order to effectively and efficiently leverage US nuclear assets for tomorrow's challenges, current support facilities should be extended and modernized to support this investment. As mission requirements increase and manning levels drop, the negative work environment will further decrease productivity. The inadequate security will continue to provide the potential for critical classified information breaches. Training and evaluation must continue in order to maintain the combat readiness of the Wing. As time passes the possible exploitation of classified information and combat crew procedures will further constrain effective and efficient training. The physical separation of related mission areas will impair performance and readiness.

<u>ADDITIONAL</u>: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements." A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovation, upgrade/removal, new construction) was done. It indicates there is only one option that will meet the operational requirements. A certificate of exception has been prepared. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the design, development, and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423 and other applicable laws and Executive orders. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Brian G. May; Phone: (701) 723-2434. MISSILE PROCEDURES TRAINING OPERATIONS FACILITY: 2320 SM = 24972 SF.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: Mission requirements, operational considerations, and location are incompatible with use by other components.

1. COMPONENT		FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE								
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)								
3. INSTALLATI	ON AND I	OCATION		4. PROJECT	TITLE	<u> </u>				
MINOT AIR FOR	CE BASE,	NORTH DAKOTA		MISSILE PROFACILITY	OCEDUR	ES TRAINING	OI	PERATIONS		
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PI	. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$0						
31476		610-243	QJ	VF962007R2		10,	000)		
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:										
a. Estimated Design Data:										
(1) Project to be accomplished by design-build procedures										
` '	andard	or Definitive Designign Was Most Recent.		ed				NO		
(3) All O	ther Des	ign Costs						500		
(4) Const	ruction	Contract Award					10	FEB		
(5) Const	ruction	Start					10	MAR		
(6) Const	ruction	Completion					11	SEP		
(7) Energ	y Study/	Life-Cycle analysis	was/	will be per	formed	l		YES		
b. Equipmen	ıt assoc:	iated with this pro	ject p	rovided fro	om oth	er appropria	ati	ons:		
EQUIPMENT	nomenc:		ROCUR	ING AP	ISCAL PPROPRI	IATED		COST (\$000)		

1. COMPONENT		FY 20	10 MII	LITARY	CONSTR	UCTION	I PROGI	RAM	2. DATE	
AIR FORCE	ANDIO	ATION		4 001	48.44.815		1	- ADE	CONOT	
								CONST		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								COST IN	IDEX	
NEVADA			_					1.34	_	
6. Personnel		RMANEN		ST	UDENTS			PPORTE		
Strength	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF		CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
AS OF 30 SEP 08	1053	6415	2709	75	135	2	0	1		10,653
END FY 2014	1103	6322	2696	75	135	2	0	1	263	10,597
7. INVENTORY DA	TA (\$000)	,								
a. Total Acreage:		13,921								
b. Inventory Total a										2,109,983
c. Authorization No										211,864
d. Authorization Re										2,700
f. Planned in Next F	ive Years	Program	:							13,400
g. Remaining Defic	iency:								_	36,000
h. Grand Total:									-	2,373,947
8. PROJECTS REC	QUESTED	IN THIS	PROG	RAM:			(FY 201	0)		
CATEGORY								COST	DESIGN	STATUS
CODE	PROJEC	T TITLE				SCOPE		\$,000	START	CMPL
	UAS AT/I	FP Securi	ty Upgi	rades - ⁻	ΓFI	7,500	LM	2,700	Jun-08	Sep-09
						Total		2,700	•	
9a. Future Projects	: Typical	Planned N	lext Fi	ve Years	s:					
		eld Fire/C				1,858	SM	13,400		
						Total		13,400	•	
								,		
9b. Real Property M	/laintenan	ce Backlo	g This	Installat	ion: (\$M)					103
10. Mission or Majo						mand; a	fighter	wing with	three F-1	5 fighter
squadrons; an airlift										
and Reconnaissanc										
Coordination Center		,	,,					,		
11. Outstanding Po		d Safetv (OSHA	Deficien	icies):					
a. Air pollution		, (•		/-			0		
5 p 5								Ū		
b. Water Polluti	on							0		
S. T. G.G Glidti	-							Ū		
c. Occupational	Safety ar	nd Health						0		
5. 5 30apational	35.5ty W							Ū		
d. Other Enviro	nmental							0		
1. 5.1.6. 2								·		

DD Form 1390, 9 Jul 02

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE
AIR FORCE (computer generated)

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

CREECH AIR FORCE BASE, NEVADA

4. PROJECT TITLE

UAS AT/FP SECURITY UPGRADES - TFI

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)
25219 872-247 LKTC093111 2,700

9. COST ESTIMATES

9. COST EST	IMAIES	,		
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES SECURITY UPGRADES	LS			975 (975)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				1,460
BOUNDARY LIGHTING ALLIED SUPPORT FOR SENSORS AND CAMERAS	EA LS	60	12,000	(720) (740)
SUBTOTAL CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				2,435 122
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				2,557
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7% TOTAL REQUEST	'			2,702
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				2,700

- 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct security upgrades. Support work includes boundary lighting fixtures mounted on metal poles, berms and barriers, and allied support for electronic security sensors, video security cameras and all other necessary support as required. Comply with DoD force protection requirements per Unified Facility Criteria.
- 11. Requirement: 0 LS Adequate: 0 LS Substandard: 0 LS

PROJECT: UAS AT/FP Security Upgrades - TFI. (New Mission)

REQUIREMENT: MQ-1 (Predator) and MQ-9 (Reaper) Unmanned Aerial Systems (UASs) assigned to Creech AFB, NV are vital combat resources to the Overseas Contingency Operations (OCO) that require adequate security protection, including asset containment within restricted areas and a line of intrusion detection at the restricted area boundary which consists of electronic security sensors, video motion detection, berms, barriers and boundary lighting.

CURRENT SITUATION: Creech AFB does not currently have adequate antiterrorism/force protection infrastructure in place to support a PL-3 designation for its UAS assets. A UAS consists of aircraft, a ground control station (GCS) and a Primary Predator Satellite Link (PPSL). The MQ-1/MQ9 UASs are armed assets on airborne alert, available for warfighter taskings 24 hours a day. Combatant Commanders in the AOR have made the absence of full motion video a no-go item when conducting direct action against the enemy. The Air Forces's limited number of Ground Control Stations (GCSs) and PPSLs combined with the lack of available replacements drive the need to protect UASs with a Protection Level 3 (PL-3) designation at a minimum, as opposed to its current PL-4 designation. Final approval of PL-3 designation for the UASs assigned to Creech AFB is pending at HQ USAF.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Failure to provide adequate security measures to protect UAS assets at Creech AFB could result in their destruction and the possible loss of life. Combatant Commanders in the AOR will be denied critical full motion video of the battlefield when conducting direct action against the enemy, thus degrading their effectiveness and possible success in battle. Destruction of any active GCS or PPSL would directly affect the war effort and support to the warfighter in the Global War on Terrorism.

ADDITIONAL: The costs for this project were developed based on current construction market conditions and historical construction costs at Creech AFB. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084,

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE							
AIR FORCE	(comp	(computer generated)						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE								
CREECH AIR FORCE BASE, NEVADA UAS AT/FP SECURITY UPGRADES - TFI								
5. PROGRAM ELI	EMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE	CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$00						
25219	872-247	7 LKTC093111 2,700						

"Facility Requirements". A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovations, new construction) was completed. It indicates there is only one option that will meet operational requirements; new construction. Therefore, a waiver of exception has been prepared. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the project design, development, and construction in accordance with Executive Order 13423 and other applicable laws and Executive orders. Civil Engineer: LtCol Patrick F. Fogarty, Comm (702) 652-4833. (Boundary Security Fencing: 7,500 LM = 24,608 LF.)

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: Mission requirements, operational considerations and location are incompatible with use by other components. This project supports Total Force Integration initiatives.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE								
AIR FORCE		(compute	er gene	rated)					
3. INSTALLATIO	N AND L	OCATION		4. PROJECT	FITLE				
CREECH AIR FOR	RCE BASE	, NEVADA		UAS AT/FP SI	ECURITY UPGRAD	ES - TFI			
5. PROGRAM ELE	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	ORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000						
25219		872-247	LK:	rC093111	2,	700			
12. SUPPLEMENT	TAL DATA	Λ:							
a. Estimated	d Design	n Data:							
(1) Status	5:								
(a) Date Design Started 15-JUN-08									
(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs YES									
* (c) Per	rcent Co	omplete as of 01 JAN	1 2009			15%			
* (d) Date 35% Designed									
(e) Date Design Complete 30									
(f) Ene	ergy Stu	dy/Life-Cycle analy	rsis was	s/will be per	formed	YES			
(2) Basis:	:								
(a) Sta	andard c	or Definitive Design	ı -			NO			
(b) Whe	ere Desi	lgn Was Most Recentl	y Used						
(3) Total	Cost (c	(a) = (a) + (b) or (d)	l) + (e)	:		(\$000)			
(a) Pro	oduction	n of Plans and Speci	fication	ons		162			
(b) Al:	l Other	Design Costs				81			
(c) Tot	tal					243			
(d) Cor	ntract					203			
(e) In-	-house					41			
(4) Constr	ruction	Contract Award				10 FEB			
(5) Constr	ruction	Start				10 MAR			
(6) Consti	ruction	Completion				11 MAR			

- * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.
- b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations: $\ensuremath{\mathtt{N}/\mathtt{A}}$

1. COMPONENT		FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM 2. DATE								
AIR FORCE	ND LOOA	OATION II OOMMAND						IE ADEA	CONOT	
3. INSTALLATION A							5. AREA			
WRIGHT-PATTERS	ON AIR FO	RCE BASE				KIEL		COST INDEX		
OHIO	555			COMMAN			01.15	0.93	-	
6. Personnel		MANENT	On /		DENTS	011.7		PORTED	00.7	TOTAL
Strength	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
AS OF 30 SEP 08	1,571	1,623	8,132		0	0	485		1,759	14,251
END FY 2014	1,525	1,609	7,916	0	0	0	477	632	1,873	14,032
7. INVENTORY DAT	A (\$000)	0 1 1 5								
Total Acreage:	. (20 Con (8,145								4 620 454
Inventory Total as of	•									4,630,454
Authorization Not Yes		•								136,870
Authorization Reques		-								48,000
Planned in Next Five	•	gram:								52,900
Remaining Deficiency	y:								-	529,200
Grand Total:		. =					(=) (== (=)			5,397,424
8. PROJECTS REQ	UESTEDIN	N THIS PRO	OGRAM:				(FY 2010)			
CATEGORY									DESIGN	STATUS
CODE	PROJECT					SCOPE		\$,000	START	<u>CMPL</u>
318-615		r Advanced			l Research	4,986	SM		Design Bu	
311-173	Information	n Technolog	gy Comple	ex		9,832	SM		Design Bu	ıild
						Total		48,000		
9a. Future Projects:				rs:						
113-321	Replace W					58,000	SM	10,600		
171-851	AFIT Rese	arch Labor	atory			5314	SM	14,700		
730-772	Chapel Act	•	-	•		2,323	SM	5,900		
111-111	Replace Pi	rimary Run	way, Sout	h-End		84,541	SM	18,600		
921-167	Land Acce	ss Glide Sl	ope Corrid	dorr		1	LS	3,100		
						Total		52,900	•	
9b. Restoration and	Modernizat	ion (R&M)	Unfunded	Requirem	ent (\$M)					156.0
10. Mission or Major	Functions:	Wright-Pa	tterson Ai	r Force Ba	se, home o	of the 88th	Air Base \	Ning, who	se mission	is to
operate a world-class	s Air Base V	Wing that p	repares ai	nd support	s a war-wi	nning capa	bility, prov	rides opera	ational supp	port and
maintains 128 tenant	organization	ons. Amon	g these ar	re: Headqu	ıarters Air	Force Mate	eriel Comn	nand, Aero	onautical S	ystem
Center, Air Force Res	search Lab	oratory, Air	Force Ins	stitute of Te	echnology,	Developm	ent & Fiel	ding Syste	ms Group,	445th Air
Lift Wing, Air Force S	Security Ass	sistance Ce	enter, Nati	onal Muse	um of the	Air Force, a	and Natior	al Air and	Space Inte	elligence
Center.	•								·	_
11. Outstanding poll	ution and S	afety (OSF	IA) Deficie	encies:						
 a. Air pollution 								0		
b. Water Pollutio	n							0		
c. Occupational	Safety and	Health						0		
								_		
d. Other Environ	mental							0		

DD Form 1390, 24 Jul 00

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA
AIR FORCE (computer generated)

2. DATE

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

WRIGHT PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO

4. PROJECT TITLE

CONVERSION FOR ADVANCED POWER AND THERMAL RESEARCH LAB

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

72806 318-615 ZHTV063301 21,000

9. COST ESTIMATES

	Τ		UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES				16,332
CONVERT FACILITY 20023	SM	4,959	3,137	(15,556)
SDD & EP ACT2005	LS			(310)
ANTITERRORISM FORCE PROTECTION	SM	4,959	94	(466)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				2,830
UTILITIES	LS			(84)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(98)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS	İ		(50)
ASBESTOS & LEAD BASE PAINT REMOVAL	LS	İ		(399)
COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT	LS			(135)
DEMOLITION	SM	6,758	298	(2,014)
PASSIVE FORCE PROTECTION MEASURES	LS			(49)
SUBTOTAL				19,162
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				958
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				20,120
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%)				1,147
TOTAL REQUEST				21,267
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				21,000)
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)				(3,132

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Complete interior demolition; construction of new concrete-reinforced ground floor slab where required, construct interior superstructure to build second and third floors for new laboratories; provide stairs and freight/personnel elevators; insulation, relocate transformers; provide HVAC, plumbing, and electrical systems; construct a utility/personnel corridor connector from building 20023 to building 18A (Propulsion Directorate offices) for remote monitoring of research and for compliance with ADA access; and demolish 6,758 SM. Comply with DoD force protection requirements per Unified Facilities Criteria.

Air Conditioning: 161 Tons

11. Requirement: 11512 SM Adequate: 6526 SM Substandard: 11067 SM

PROJECT: Conversion for Advanced Power and Thermal Research Lab (Current Mission)

REQUIREMENT: A modern and flexible lab space is required to consolidate Propulsion

Directorate's in-house activities supporting research, development & transition of

advanced electrical power & thermal technologies for current (F-22 & Joint Strike

Fighter) and future aircraft, spacecraft & directed energy weapon (DEW) systems.

Consolidation of diverse research areas supports closer interaction & collaboration

of the directorate's scientists/engineers leading to increased new technology

development, reduced development & transition times, & improved reliability of

advanced electrical power/thermal systems. Conversion of Facility 20023, affords

the cooperative, interactive environment necessary for effective expansion &

progress of advanced power/thermal research & development. Research areas include

high-temperature superconductivity, plasma physics, magnetic materials development,

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

163

May 2009

1. COMPONENT		FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE						
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE								
WRIGHT PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO CONVERSION FOR ADVANCED POWER THERMAL RESEARCH LAB						VER AND		
5. PROGRAM ELI	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)		
72806		318-615	ZHTV063301 21,000					

high temperature & radiation hard electronics, power systems thermal management, & advanced, robust power distribution systems. Efforts between AF & its university/industry partners in a wide range of emerging power technologies for rapid infusion to the warfighter will be enhanced & allow AF to provide necessary management attention to power and thermal research required by AF Scientific Advisory Board (SAB). The 28 Jun 07 AF SAB identified thermal management as a current "show stopper" and limiting factor for current and future Air Force warfighting systems.

CURRENT SITUATION: The Power Propulsion labs are located in 4 geographically separated facilities. Research is currently hampered by limitations of outdated lab space, geographic separation, inefficient space, and lab layout. Most of these lab functions are located in F/20450, 1.5 miles away from the Propulsion Directorate Campus; an estimated 50 Power Division researchers, management/supervisors, support and maintenance personnel make two 30 minute roundtrips/day, 5 days/week, for a total of 13,000 lost R&D man-hours/year. The Power Division is unable to exploit the full range of research capabilities due to failing infrastructure in a facility over 50 years old. Examples include 2 labs with new equipment closed within 1.5 years from loose asbestos containing material; 3 major steam leaks caused extensive equipment/facility damage--one cascading into a 5-day power outage building wide; power outages longer than 24 hours; roof leaks; HVAC systems emitting fine dirt and soot particles; and clogged storm/sanitary drains averaging 1/month resulting in repeated flooding of lower level rooms and damage to lab equipment. Facility 20450 has exceeded its life cycle usage & is scheduled for demolition due to major infrastructure deficiencies; all these deficiencies are beyond repair or replacement. Currently there is no other space on base to relocate the advance power and thermal research function. Facility 20023, adjacent to Propulsion Directorate Campus, is currently vacant, and is eligible for the National Register of Historic Places.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Without this lab facility, continuation of aircraft/spacecraft power and thermal technologies research to recapitialize and modernize aging aircraft, satellites, and equipment will be severely impaired by continuing operation in an inadequate facility with on-going failing infrastructure. These activities are unable to utilize the full range of research equipment and effectively collaborate with industry/academia impacting thermal management operational issues (F-22 and JSF); next generation long range strike, intelligence surveillance reconnaissance, and DEW systems (non-lethal airborne active denial and precision strike airborne tactical laser) to provide nonlethal and precision weapons to reduce/eliminate collateral damage for Overseas Contingency Operations (OCO); and providing technologies to the battlefield supporting the Joint IED Defeat Organization functional area requirements. Without this lab facility, the Propulsion Directorate will not be able to extend their capabilities in superconducting/high energy density materials development; highpulse, portable power battery development; etc. These constraints restrict the Power Division's ability to develop high power electrical systems for thermal management, DEW applications, innovative electrical power systems compatible with advanced propulsion concepts and electrical components and distribution systems for current and future aircraft and weapon systems, which are vital to maintaining air and space superiority. As many of these power technologies have dual-use applications which have a substantial impact on the local, state, and national economy, the degradation of the advanced power technologies mission impacts both the AF and the private sector, thus delaying transition of key technologies to the warfighter.

<u>ADDITIONAL:</u> The project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements." there is only one option that will meet this

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

1. COMPONENT		FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE						
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE								
WRIGHT PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO CONVERSION FOR ADVANCED POWER AND THERMAL RESEARCH LAB								
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)		
72806		318-615	ZHTV063301 21,000					

requirement and a certificate of exception to an economic analysis has been prepared. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the design, development, and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423 and other applicable laws and Executive orders. Civil Engineer: Mr. Dennis R. Mattson, (937) 257-6214. Convert Facility 20023: 4,959 SM = 53,370 SF.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an as available basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements.

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

1. COMPONENT	DATA	2. DATE							
AIR FORCE		(compute	er ger	nerated)					
3. INSTALLATI	3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE								
WRIGHT PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO CONVERSION FOR ADVANCED POWER AND THERMAL RESEARCH LAB									
5. PROGRAM EL	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)							
72806		318-615	2	HTV063301	21,	ANCED POWER AND AB ROJECT COST (\$000) 21,000 NO 1,050 10 JAN 10 FEB			
(2) Basis (a) St	: candard	accomplished by de or Definitive Design ign Was Most Recent	n -	-	es	NO			
		ign Was Most Recent.	ly Use	ed					
(3) All O	ther Des	ign Costs				1,050			
(4) Const	ruction	Contract Award				10 JAN			
(5) Const	ruction	Start				10 FEB			
(6) Const	ruction	Completion				12 FEB			
(7) Energ	(7) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed YES								

b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations:

EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE	PROCURING APPROPRIATION	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED	COST (\$000)
RELOCATE EQUIPMENT	3600	2010	2,984
COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT	3600	2010	148

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99 Previous editions are obsolete.

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE AIR FORCE (computer generated)

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

4. PROJECT TITLE

WRIGHT PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY COMPLEX

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)
72806 311-173 ZHTV053204 27,000

9. COST ESTIMATES

9. COST EST	IMATES			
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITY				21,385
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY COMPLEX	SM	9,832	2,120	(20,844)
SDD & EPACT 2005	LS			(364)
ANTITERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION	SM	9,832	18	(177)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				2,965
UTILITIES	LS			(976)
PAVEMENTS	LS		İ	(1,141)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS	İ İ		(485)
COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT	LS			(364)
SUBTOTAL				24,350
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				1,217
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				25,567
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%)				1,457
TOTAL REQUEST				27,025
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				27,000)
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)				(2,733

- 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab, structural frame, roof systems, computer room, and secure space. Includes administrative space, special purpose space, miscellaneous infrastructure connections, site development, and all necessary support. Comply with DoD Minimum Antiterrorism Standards for Buildings per Unified Facilities Criteria.
- 11. Requirement: 49160 SM Adequate: 0 SM Substandard: 33358 SM

PROJECT: Information Technology Complex (ITC). (Current Mission)

REQUIREMENT: An adequate facility is required to enable consolidation of classified/unclassified computing, engineering, modeling, simulation, analysis, and design capabilities for the ASC Capability Integration Directorate and Advanced Computational Analysis Directorate. This will permit the rapid infusion of information technology (IT) to enhance weapon system life-cycle acquisition and support capabilities. Essential to the Air Force's information superiority core competency, it will help in the development of doctrine and tactics, techniques, and procedures through simulation of offensive and defensive cyberspace missions in a controlled environment. The facility will provide the capability to model time critical scenarios with or without human operators in the loop, such as Middle East Battlefield simulation.

CURRENT SITUATION: Current simulation and modeling facility (SIMAF) functions are located in separate and overcrowded facilities which do not offer the capability to perform multiple security level projects. Lack of space prohibits accurate modeling of real world situations. Work load for information/operations range and the F-35 has been turned down. SIMAF has a 10% historic growth rate and is out of space to expand. New supercomputers in the Major Shared Resource Center (MSRC) exceed the current facility infrastructure capability with no room for mechanical and structural expansion. Computational power will increase 15% in the next 5 years and will require a 5% increase in cooling and power. Of the 222M central processor unit hours required in FY08, the systems are limited to providing only

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

1. COMPONENT		FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE									
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)									
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE											
WRIGHT PATTERS	SON AIR F	ORCE BASE, OHIO		INFORMATION T	TECHNOLOGY COM	PLEX					
5. PROGRAM ELI	EMENT 6	. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)					
72806		311-173	ZI	HTV053204	27,0	000					

76M hours. Since FY05, \$7.5M has been spent on the MSRC facility to upgrade the power and cooling in the existing facility. In the same time frame, nearly \$20M has been spent on the SIMAF facilities to upgrade power and cooling. Another \$15.2M is project to be required in FY15 which would only partially solve the utility problems and not address the space shortage.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Without this facility, prolonged cycle time for IT deployment will continue to restrict real-time solutions to the war fighter. The inability to provide a collaborative integrated facility with critical secure computing and engineering spaces will reduce the AF's capability to develop, incorporate and deploy technology faster, cheaper and smarter. The lack of a single complex for IT development, integration and transfer will continue to result in decreased effectiveness, redundancy in IT development and unnecessary operating costs. The current Chief Information Office (CIO) mandates for interoperability, IT security, and re-engineering for agile combat support systems, critical to the AF 2020 vision, will be adversely impacted.

<u>ADDITIONAL</u>: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements." An Economic Analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, revitalization, leasing and status quo operation. Based on the net present values and benefits of the respective alternatives, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the design, development, and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423 and other applicable laws and Executive orders. Civil Engineer: Mr. Dennis R Mattson, Director, (937) 257-6214. Information Technology Complex: 9,832 SM = 105,831 SF.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements.

1. COMPONENT		DATA	2. DATE									
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)										
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE												
WRIGHT PATTER	SON AIR	FORCE BASE, OHIO		INFORMATION T	ECHNOLOGY COME	LEX						
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PF	ROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)						
72806		311-173	z	HTV053204	27,	000						

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

- a. Estimated Design Data:
 - (1) Project to be accomplished by design-build procedures
 - (2) Basis:
 - (a) Standard or Definitive Design NO
 - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used
 - (3) All Other Design Costs 1,350
 - (4) Construction Contract Award 10 JAN
 - (5) Construction Start 10 MAR
 - (6) Construction Completion 12 APR
 - (7) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed YES
- b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations:

	PROCURING	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED	COST
EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE	APPROPRIATION	OR REQUESTED	(\$000)
COMM. SPECIAL PURPOSE EQUIP.	3080	2011	246
SYSTEMS FURNITURE	3400	2011	361
PREWIRED WORKSTATIONS	3400	2011	1,238
COMM. SWITCHING EQUIPMENT	3400	2011	52
USER UNIQUE EQUIPMENT	3600	2011	304
EQUIPMENT RELOCATION COSTS	3400	2011	77
CLASSIFIED LAN/LINK TO MSRC	3600	2011	454

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

 COMPONENT 		FY 201	0 MILI	TARY C	ONST	RUCTIO	N PROG	RAM	2. DATE	
AIR FORCE										
3. INSTALLATION A	ND LOCA	ATION		4. CON	/MAND	:		5. AREA	A CONST	
ALTUS AIR FORCE	BASE			AIR ED	UCATIO	DNA NC		COST IN	IDEX	
OKLAHOMA				TRAINI	NG CO	MMAND)	1.01		
Personnel	PEI	RMANENT		ST	UDEN	ΓS	SU	PPORTE	.D	
Strength	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
AS OF 30 SEP 08	261	1094	1188	1414	706	0	0	0	546	5,209
END FY 2014	263	1090	1186	1665	758	0	0	0	546	5,508
7. INVENTORY DAT	A (\$000)	-								
a. Total Acreage:	, ,	7,056								
b. Inventory Total as	of: (30	Sep 08)								1,626,048
c. Authorization Not	•									3,500
d. Authorization Req		-	ım:							20,300
f. Planned in Next F		•								16,427
g. Remaining Deficie		Ü								0
h. Grand Total:	,									1,666,275
										, ,
8. PROJECTS REQ	UESTED	IN THIS PF	ROGR	AM: (FY	2010)					
CATEGORY				`	,			COST	DESIGN	STATUS
CODE	PROJEC	T TITLE				SCOPE				CMPL
112-211	Repair Ta					234,547	SM		Apr 08	Sep 09
		, ,				Total		20,300		
9a. Future Projects:	Typical F	lanned Nex	xt Five	Years:				,		
111-111	• •	RWY 174/3				1,326	LM	7,427		
730-142	•	t Fire Static				2,805	SM	9,000		
						,	Total	16,427		
9b. Real Property Ma	aintenanc	e Backlog	This In	stallatio	n: (\$M)			,		121
10. Mission or Major					, ,	r formal	training	of all C-1	7 and KC	-135 for
active duty, Guard ar										
contingency support.										
assigned sector of the										
Homeland Defense N										o o a a tog.o
11. Outstanding poll							•			
a. Air pollution		22.00	, ., _	2.10.0.10				0		
a. 7 iii poliation								·		
b. Water Pollutio	n							0		
S. T. GIOT I GIIGIO	••							Ū		
c. Occupational	Safety an	d Health						0		
11 2 200 0 000 000										
d. Other Environ	mental							0		
								-		

DD Form 1390, 24 Jul 00

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

4. PROJECT TITLE

ALTUS AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA

REPAIR TAXIWAYS

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)
85976 112-211 AGGN983005P2 20,300

9. COST ESTIMATES

J. 6051 H511.		•		
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES				14,805
EXPAND KEEL	SM	63,279	23	(1,450)
CONSTRUCT PCC KEEL	SM	80,923	99	(8,009)
CONSTRUCT TWY LIGHTS & SHOULDER WORK	SM	90,345	59	(5,346)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				3,491
DEMOLITION	SM	247,033	14	(3,491)
SUBTOTAL				18,296
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				915
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				19,210
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%)				1,095
TOTAL REQUEST				20,305
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				20,300

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Remove and dispose of existing asphalt and stressed pavements on the taxiway, apron areas and shoulders. Enlarge approx 10,000 lf of concrete keel from 50 ft to 75ft wide; replace asphalt and concrete taxiways, narrowing them from 62.5 ft to 25 ft. Realign/replace edge lighting and conduit. Includes antiterrorism/force protection requirements per the Unified Facility Criteria.

11. Requirement: 224396 SM Adequate: 64381 SM Substandard: 76046 SM

PROJECT: Repair Taxiways. (Current Mission)

REQUIREMENT: High quality airfield pavements are required to continue the large number of training flights conducted by student pilots in support of the pilot training mission. Taxiway width must be increased to meet current aircraft criteria. Repairs of the existing taxiways are required to ensure proper drainage, reduce the potential for expensive mission impact from foreign object debris (FOD) damage to aircraft engines and enhance training. Obsolete taxiway edge lighting and conduit shall be replaced to accommodate the wider taxiway.

CURRENT SITUATION: Altus flies 26,600 hrs through 5,200 sorties a year in a dynamic & complex training environment. However, Altus possesses the second worst airfield pavements out of 13 AETC bases. Taxiway C is dilapidated, marked with various types of failures and posses a stratospheric FOD potential. Additionally, the airfield is currently operating under waivers due to a taxiway width of only 50 feet and the misaligned lighting. The assigned aircraft require a pavement width of 75 feet. The taxiway lights are currently out of alignment and do not comply with airfield regulations. The narrow taxiway and displaced taxiway lighting provides a poor and unsafe training environment for student pilots. With student pilots flying approximately 60 sorties per day and using this rapidly deteriorating taxiway, the potential for mishaps is a major concern. Asphalt shoulders have outlived their designed useful life expectancy. They were constructed over 50 years ago and have never undergone a major repair. These loadbearing pavements and shoulders are severely cracked and deteriorated requiring constant maintenance to prevent FOD damage to aircraft engines. In addition, poor drainage causes ponding water on several areas of the taxiway. This ponding accelerates damage and deterioration of the asphalt creating more problems with the taxiway shoulders. Slurry seal coating placed on the shoulders several years ago is starting to come

1. COMPONENT		FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA									
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)									
3. INSTALLATIO											
ALTUS AIR FOR	CE BASE	, OKLAHOMA		REPAIR TAXIWA	YS						
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)					
85976 112-211			AGG	N983005P2	20,3	00					

up in chunks. In 1996, the Corps of Engineers evaluated the airfield and failed many of the areas on the airfield. In 1998, the airfield was evaluated by a team of airfield pavement experts from Air Force Civil Engineer Support Agency (AFCESA). Once again portions of the airfield failed the evaluation. AFCESA further stated that deterioration of the airfield had expanded. Of the 12 million square feet of airfield pavement at Altus, 22% of the existing pavement has been rated from poor to failed with 18% being in the failed category. Only 7% rated fair.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Failure to accomplish this project will result in the continued use of a narrow taxiway not in compliance with Air Force aircraft safety measure regulations. Aircrews will continue to be subjected to hazardous conditions while taxing aircraft. A quality-training environment will not be provided for student pilots. Continually increasing probability of foreign object damage to aircraft and maintenance cost to repair foreign object damage will continue to escalate. Airfield regulations will have to be continually waived due to the width of the taxiway "C". All taxiway lighting will remain obsolete and will be next-to-impossible to repair and keep operational.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the scope/criteria specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements", all applicable airfield design requirements. A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project was done and there is not any alternative to airfield repairs. A certificate of exception has been prepared. This project is the second phase of a two-phase Airfield Repair Project to complete all Main Runway and Taxiway Repairs at Altus. The Base Civil Engineering Point of Contact is LTC Neil Wentz, (580) 481-6530. Repair Taxiways: 234,597 SM = 2,525,181 SF.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements.

1. COMPONENT	1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE									
AIR FORCE		(compute	er gene	rated)						
3. INSTALLATI	ON AND I	OCATION		4. PROJECT	TITLE					
ALTUS AIR FOR	CE BASE,	OKLAHOMA		REPAIR TAXI	WAYS					
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)				
85976		112-211	AGGI	N983005P2	20,	300				
12. SUPPLEMEN	TAL DATA	A:	•							
a. Estimate	ed Design	n Data:								
(1) Statu										
` '	-	gn Started			02	-APR-08				
		C Cost Estimates use		evelop costs		YES				
• •		omplete as of 01 JAN	1 2009			15%				
• •		Designed			18	-MAR-09				
	-	gn Complete				-SEP-09				
(f) En	ergy St	udy/Life-Cycle analy	sis was	s/will be per	rformed	YES				
(2) Basis	:									
(a) St	andard o	or Definitive Design	ı -			NO				
(b) Wh	ere Des	ign Was Most Recentl	ly Used							
(3) Total	Cost ((a) = (a) + (b) or (d)	l) + (e)):		(\$000)				
(a) Pr	oduction	n of Plans and Speci	ificatio	ons		1,218				
(b) Al	.1 Other	Design Costs				609				
(c) To	tal					1,827				
(d) Co	ntract					1,523				
(e) In	-house					305				
(4) Const	ruction	Contract Award				10 FEB				
(5) Const	ruction	Start				10 MAR				
(6) Const	ruction	Completion				12 MAR				
* Indicat	es comp	letion of Project De	efinitio	on with Param	metric Cost Es	timate				

- * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.
- b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations: $\ensuremath{\mathtt{N}/\mathtt{A}}$

1. COMPONENT	ILITARY	CONST	RUCTI	ON F	ROGRA	M	2. DATE					
AIR FORCE												
3. INSTALLATION A		TION		4. COM					5. AREA			
TINKER AIR FORCE	BASE			AIR FOR		ERIEL	-		COST IND			
OKLAHOMA				COMMAI					0.91			
Personnel		RMANENT			JDENTS				PPORTED			
Strength	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CI	/	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOT	
AS OF 30 SEP 08	288	848	12885	0		0	0	813				18,313
END FY 2014	276	843	12859	0		0	0	778	3259	199		18,214
7. INVENTORY DAT	A (\$000)											
Total Acreage:		5,028										
Inventory Total as of											4	,148,946
Authorization Not Yet		•										150,450
Authorization Reques		-										13,037
Planned in Next Five	_	Years										84,700
Remaining Deficiency	y:											836,100
Grand Total:											5	,233,233
PROJECTS REQI	UESTED I	N THIS PR	OGRAM	l:				(FY2010	,			
CATEGORY									COST	DESIGN		
	PROJEC					SCC	<u>PE</u>		\$,000		CMF	<u>'L</u>
211-157	Building 3	3001 Hanga	ar Door				1	LS		<u>'</u> Design B	uild	
						Tota	ıl		13,037	,		
9a. Future Projects:				Years								
740-884		elopment (2	,325		11,800			
211-183		t T-9 Noise					845	SM	3,900			
		ACS Mainte				1	,952	SM	10,200			
		Bldg 3001		cture Ph 3	3		1	LS	16,000			
		Control To	ower				692	SM	10,000			
740-674	Fitness C	enter					,002	SM	32,800			
					1.4	Tota	ll .		84,700			
9b. Restoration and												563
10. Mission or Major												and
management in supp												
Sustainment Wing, 3						tratcor	nm V	Ving One	e, 72nd Air	Base Win	g, Defe	ense
Logistics Agency and					' .							
11. Outstanding poll	ution and	Safety (OS	HA) Defi	ciencies:					_			
a. Air pollution									C)		
b. Water Pollutio									0			
b. water Pollutio	111								C	,		
c. Occupational	Safetv and	d Health					0					
							U					
d. Other Environ	mental								C)		

DD Form 1390, 24 Jul 00

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

4. PROJECT TITLE

TINKER AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA

BUILDING 3001 HANGAR DOOR

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)
72976 211-157 WWYK083003A 13,037

9. COST ESTIMATES

9. COST ESTI	MATES	j		
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES				10,520
HANGAR DOOR & SUPPORT STRUCTURE	LS			(9,667)
UTILITY RELOCATIONS	LS			(853)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				1,227
PAVEMENTS	LS			(967)
COMMUNICATIONS	LS			(260)
SUBTOTAL				11,747
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				587
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				12,334
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%)				703
TOTAL REQUEST				13,037
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				13,037

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct new hangar door on west side of B3001 to accommodate independent aircraft moves throughout the hangar, sized to accommodate KC-135 aircraft. Modify existing site utilities and reroute existing building interior utilities to facilitate new door, demolish single story lean-to, and modify existing concrete pavement for new tow-way to hangar door access.

11. Requirement: LS

Adequate: LS

Substandard: LS

PROJECT: Building 3001 Hangar Door. (Current Mission)

REQUIREMENT: A new hangar door on the west side is required to ensure faster turnaround in heavy maintenance, overhaul, and repair processes supporting the increasing workload demands of the Programmed Depot Maintenence (PDM) work centers in support of the aging KC-135 aircraft fleet. Access to aircraft is an element of the AFMC/OC-ALC long-term depot strategy to improve PDM processes and timelines to better support war fighter readiness.

<u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> Currently nine Program Depot Maintenance docks share two hangar doors, trapping KC-135 aircraft inside the dock and driving inefficient group moves resulting in an estimated annual cost of \$1M in direct and indirect labor hours. Present aircraft workload (maintenance, repair and overhaul) and a significant portion of Airborne Accessories workload (airframe accessories) is performed in Building 3001.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Without the new dock 3 access door, the war fighter will
not have the required number of aircraft to perform their mission and the Air Force
will not realize the \$1M annual savings labor costs.

<u>ADDITIONAL</u>: There is no criteria/scope specified for the project in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facilities Requirements." Previous authorized and appropriated projects: FY04, Building 3001 revitalization, Phase I (\$19.4M); FY06, Upgrade Building 3001 Infrastructure, Phase II (\$20M). Base Civil Engineer: Mr. Gene Gallogly, P.E., Phone (405) 734-3451.

<u>JOINT USE CERTIFICATION:</u> This facility can be used by other components on an as available basis; however, the scope of this project is based on Air Force Requirements.

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE					
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE					TLE		
TINKER AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA BUILDING 3001 HANGAR DOOR							
5. PROGRAM EL	PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST					ST (\$000)	
72976 211-157 WWYK083003A				13,037			
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:							
a. Estimated Design Data:							
(1) Project to be accomplished by design-build procedures							
(2) Basis:							
(a) Standard or Definitive Design - NO						NO	

(3) All Other Design Costs 650

(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used

(6) Construction Completion

(4) Construction Contract Award 10 FEB

(5) Construction Start 10 MAR

(7) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed YES

b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations: $\ensuremath{\text{N/A}}$

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

11 AUG

1. COMPONENT		FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM 2. DATE								
AIR FORCE	2. DATE									
3. INSTALLATION A	AND LOC	ATION		4. CON	. COMMAND: 5. A				CONST	
DYESS AIR FORCE	BASE,					COMMA	ND	COST IN		
TEXAS				A THE CONTRACT CONTRACT AND				0.96		
6. Personnel	PE	RMANENT		ST	UDEN	TS	SU	PPORTE	D	
Strength	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
AS OF 30 SEP 08	710	4579	753		137	3	4	32	41	6,287
END FY 2014	722	4723	723		137	3	4	32		6,413
7. INVENTORY DAT	A (\$000)	-								,
a. Total Acreage:	(+)	5,403								
b. Inventory Total as	of: (30)	,								1,537,378
c. Authorization Not	•	. ,								0
d. Authorization Reg		•	am:							4,500
f. Planned in Next Fi		_								24,700
g. Remaining Deficie										130,100
h. Grand Total:										1,696,678
										.,000,010
8. PROJECTS REQ	UESTED	IN THIS P	ROGR	AM·			(FY 201	0)		
CATEGORY	OLOTED					,	(1 1 20 1	,	DESIGN	STATUS
CODE	PROJEC	T TITI F				SCOPE		\$,000		CMPL
<u>3052</u> 211-175		<u> </u>	r			6,535	SM		May 08	Sep 09
211 170	0 10007	iitoi i lariga				Total	Olvi	4,500	iviay oo	OCP 00
								.,		
9a. Future Projects:	Typical F	lanned Ne	xt Five	Years:						
610-243	• •	Operations				2,549	SM	10,300		
131-111		Communi				1,600	SM	7,400		
610-243		oup Heado				2,014	SM	7,000		
010 210	0 100 0.	oup moude	144.101	0,000		Total	O.V.	24,700	-	
						· Otal		2 1,1 00		
9b. Real Propery Ma	intenance	Backlog T	his In	stallation	1:					155
10. Mission or Major						R-1R hor	nhers: F	R-1B Com	hat Crew	
317th Airlift Group co				g compri	seu oi	D-1D 001	ilbers, L	- 10 0011	ibat Ciew	rraining,
317th Allint Group Co	ilipiiseu (Ji 0-130 ai	iciait.							
11. Outstanding Poll	ution and	Safety (OS	SHA D	eficienci	es).					
a. Air pollution	ation and	ouldly (oc)	CHOICHO	CO).			0		
a. 7 iii poliation								·		
b. Water Pollution 0										
b. Water i oliutio	'11							Ū		
c. Occupational Safety and Health 0										
o. Cocupational	carcty arm	a i iodilii						U		
d. Other Environ	mental							0		
G. Calci Envilon								Ū		

DD Form 1390, 9 Jul 02

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA
AIR FORCE (computer generated)

211-175

2. DATE

4,500

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION DYESS AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS

41132

4. PROJECT TITLE

C-130J ALTER HANGAR

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

_____I

FNWZ100006

9. COST ESTIMATES							
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)			
PRIMARY FACILITY				3,528			
ALTER OVERHEAD FALL PROTECTION STRUCTURE	LS			(600)			
UPGRADE FIRE SUPPRESSION SYSTEM	LS			(1,100)			
REPAIR ROOF	LS			(550)			
PERMANENT EMERGENCY EYE WASH STA	EA	4	25,000	(100)			
UPGRADE INTERIOR UTILITIES	LS			(400)			
CONSTRUCT TOOL ISSUE	SM	152	1,615	(245)			
CONSTRUCT WOMENS LATRINE	SM	20	3,014	(60)			
RENOVATE INTERIOR ADMIN SPACES	SM	730	450	(329)			
AT/FP	SM	6,535	7	(46)			
SDD & EPACT05	SM	6,535	15	(98)			
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				522			
REROUTE UNDERSLAB 16 INCH FIRE LINE	LM	170	3,060	(520)			
AIRFIELD MARKINGS	LS			(2)			
SUBTOTAL				4,050			
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				203			
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				4,253			
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%)			242			
TOTAL REQUEST				4,495			
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				4,500			

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construction improvements to include upgrades to fire detection and suppression, fall protection, lighting and electrical, interior administration finishes, plumbing, and emergency eye wash stations. Additionally, construction to include interior expansion of tool issue and latrines, and repairs to hangar roof leaks. Site work to include modification of underslab fire suppression main. This project will comply with DoD antiterrorism/force protection requirements per Unified Facilities Criteria.

Air Conditioning: 10 Tons

11. Requirement: 5 EA Adequate: 1 EA Substandard: 4 EA

PROJECT: Renovate existing C-130 Isochronical Maintenance Inspection (ISO) maintenance hangar. (New Mission)

REQUIREMENT: Fully covered hangar space of sufficient size to accommodate heavy aircraft repairs and modifications for two C-130J-30 airframes, including required clearances between aircraft and building structures. Hangar shall be properly equipped with overhead fall protection, fire detection and suppression, lighting and utilities, secured tool issue, administrative space for work coordination and observation, and other constructions elements as necessary.

CURRENT SITUATION: The existing hangar was constructed in 1955 with no major upgrades to the facility. The building is of adequate size but the existing utilities require upgrades. The existing fire suppression is the original overhead deluge system for the hangar bays and a wet pipe system for the pods. The heat detectors repeatedly have false alarms and the detection and reporting equipment

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILIT	2. DATE					
AIR FORCE	(c	(computer generated)					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE							
DYESS AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS C-130J ALTER HANGE							
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT 6. CATEGORY CO	6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST			ST (\$000)		
41132	211-175	211-175 FNWZ100006 4,500					

are obsolete and unreliable. A new overhead fall protection structure was installed in 2006 but is configured for the C-130H model and will require modifications to match the footprint of the C-130J-30 model. Portable emergency eye stations are currently in use and require additional maintenance. The east admin pod has only one latrine, which currently services both males and females and introduces delays to production. The break area is not equipped with plumbing and fixtures to aid staff with sanitary dining conditions.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: There are currently no work-arounds on Dyess AFB to perform certain heavy maintenance on the C-130J at Dyess AFB. If this project is not approved it will delay the maintenance operations associated with the aircraft. ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements." A preliminary was conducted comparing alternatives of status quo, renovation, and new construction. It indicates that renovation is the most cost effective option that will meet operational requirements. A certificate of exception is being prepared. Sustainable principles will be intergrated into the design, development, and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423 and other applicable laws and Executive Orders. Base Civil Enginner: Lt Col John C. Womack, (325) 696-2250. C-130J Alter Hangar 4314 (6535 SM = 70,339 SF)

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements.

1. COMPONENT		FY 2010 MILITARY CO	ONSTRUC'	TION PROJECT	DATA	2. DATE		
AIR FORCE		(compute	er gene:	rated)				
3. INSTALLATIO	ON AND I	OCATION		4. PROJECT	TITLE			
DYESS AIR FOR	CE BASE,	TEXAS		C-130J ALTE	R HANGAR			
5. PROGRAM EL	PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJEC							
41132		211-175	FNV	WZ100006	4,	500		
12. SUPPLEMEN	12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:							
a. Estimate	d Design	n Data:						
(1) Statu	s:							
, ,	-	gn Started			14	80-YAM-		
(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs YES								
* (c) Percent Complete as of 01 JAN 2009 15%								
* (d) Da	te 35% I	Designed			18	-MAR-09		
(e) Da	(e) Date Design Complete 30-SEP-09							
(f) En	ergy St	udy/Life-Cycle analy	sis was	s/will be per	formed	YES		
(2) Basis	:							
(a) St	andard o	or Definitive Design	ı -			NO		
(b) Wh	ere Des:	ign Was Most Recentl	Ly Used					
	-	(a) = (a) + (b) or (a)				(\$000)		
		n of Plans and Speci	ificatio	ons		270		
		Design Costs				135		
(c) To						405		
·,	ntract					338		
(e) In	-house					68		
(4) Const	ruction	Contract Award				10 FEB		
(5) Const	ruction	Start				10 MAR		
(6) Const	(6) Construction Completion 11 MAR							
* Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate								

- * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.
- b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations: $\ensuremath{\mathtt{N}/\mathtt{A}}$

1. COMPONENT		FY 20	10 MIL	ITARY	CONST	RUCTION	N PROG	RAM	2. DATE	
AIR FORCE										
3. INSTALLATION A	ND LOCA	ATION	TION 4. COMMAND:					5. AREA	CONST	
GOODFELLOW AIR	FORCE E	BASE	ASE AIR EDUCATION AND					COST IN	IDEX	
TEXAS			TRAINING COMMAND					0.91		
6. Personnel	PEI	RMANENT	-	S	TUDEN	TS	SU	PPORTE	D	
Strength	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
AS OF 30 SEP 08	129	1002	793	255	1728	0	23		744	4,892
END FY 2014	129	998	793	255	1728	0	23	218	744	4,888
7. INVENTORY DAT	A (\$000)									
a. Total Acreage:		1,136								
b. Inventory Total as										838,457
c. Authorization Not		•								10,057
d. Authorization Req			am:							32,400
f. Planned in Next F		Program:								21,300
g. Remaining Deficie	ency:									8,700
h. Grand Total:										910,914
8. PROJECTS REQ	UESTED	IN THIS P	ROGR	AM: (FY	′2010)					
CATEGORY								COST	DESIGN	STATUS
CODE	PROJEC					<u>SCOPE</u>		\$,000	<u>START</u>	<u>CMPL</u>
171-623	Joint Inte	I Tech Trn	g Fac,	Ph I (TF	- I)	4,645	SM		May 08	
721-313	Student [dent Dormitory (100RM) 5,290 SM 14,000 Design Build								
						Total		32,400		
9a. Future Projects:	• .					4 000		0.400		
131-111		ated Comr			s Cente		SM	9,100		
730-441	Consolid	ated Learn	ing Ce	nter		3,299	SM	12,200	-	
0 0 10 1 14		D 11			(#1.4)	Total		21,300		404
9a. Real Property Ma										121
10. Mission or Major					g Joint	DoD techr	nical trai	ning in cry	/ptology,	
intelligence, linguistic	cs, and fire	etighting ca	areer fi	elds.						
44 Outstand	!	0-4-4-701	21147.2	- f : - !						
11. Outstanding poll	ution and	Safety (O	SHA) L	eticieno	ies:			0		
a. Air pollution 0										
b \\/ota= Dall:-#:-	h. Water Delloger									
b. water Pollutio	b. Water Pollution 0									
c Occupational	Safety an	d Haalth						0		
c. Occupational	oalety all	u HEdilli						U		
d. Other Environ	mental							0		
u. Other Environ	meniai							U		

DD Form 1390, 24 Jul 00

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA AIR FORCE (computer generated)

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

4. PROJECT TITLE

GOODFELLOW AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS

STUDENT DORMITORY (100 RM)

2. DATE

8. PROJECT COST (\$000) 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 85976 721-313 JCGU083001 14,000

COCH POTTMATEC

9. COST ESTI	9. COST ESTIMATES							
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)				
PRIMARY FACILITIES				10,799				
STUDENT DORMITORY	SM	5,040	1,988	(10,020)				
TRAINNING MANAGER SPACE	SM	250	1,860	(465)				
SSD & EPACT 05	SM	5,290	40	(210)				
ANTITERRORISM FORCE PROTECTION	LS			(105)				
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				1,815				
UTILITIES	LS			(562)				
PAVEMENTS (INCL TROOPWALKS)	LS			(286)				
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(385)				
CONCRETE DRILLED PIERS	LS			(152)				
COMMUNICATIONS	LS			(131)				
ADMIN SUPPORT FACILITY	SM	297	1,012	(300)				
SUBTOTAL				12,614				
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				631				
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				13,245				
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%)				755				
TOTAL REQUEST				14,000				
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				14,000)				
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)				(565				

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Multi-story with reinforced concrete foundation/floor slabs, structural steel frame with brick veneer, and roof system. Includes room-bath-room modules (two students per room), laundries, training managers area, storage, communications network, and all necessary support. Add troopwalks to move marching troops from dorm to existing lateral troopwalks. This project will comply with DoD antiterrorism/force protection requirements per the Unified Facility Criteria.

Air Conditioning: 127 Tons Grade Mix: E1-E4

11. Requirement: 1359 RM Adequate: 935 RM Substandard: RM

PROJECT: Construct a student dormitory. (Current Mission)

REQUIREMENT: Properly sized and configured dormitories are required to support training of students. A major Air Force objective provides housing conducive to their proper rest, relaxation and personal well-being while providing a suitable study environment. Properly designed and furnished quarters providing some degree of individual privacy are essential to the successful accomplishment of vital training requirements. Troopwalks are required to move troops in formation from dorms to existing lateral troopwalks. This project is in accordance with the Air Force Dormitory Master Plan.

CURRENT SITUATION: The base has insufficient on-base housing to accommodate the unaccompanied enlisted technical training students. Training requirement growth since 2001 has increased Goodfellow's training requirement by 40 percent. There are 311 student rooms currently triple bunked. The room deficit and number of triple bunked rooms will continue to grow as the Intelligence Trained Personnel

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

May 2009 182

1. COMPONENT		FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA					
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE							
GOODFELLOW AIR	R FORCE	BASE, TEXAS		STUDENT DORMI	TORY (100 RM)		
5. PROGRAM ELI	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)	
85976		721-313 JCGU083001 1				00	

Requirement (TPR) escalates to meet increased demands.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Non-availability of adequate living quarters will result in degraded learning environment, morale, productivity, and career satisfaction for our base student population. Student surges will create an inability to house students, causing critical intelligence courses to be pared down or eliminated. ADDITIONAL: This project is being designed to the Air Force technical training dormitory construction standard and meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, Facility Requirements. All known alternative options were considered during the development of this project. No other option could meet the mission requirements. Therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the design, development, and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423 and other applicable laws and Executive orders. Unaccompanied Housing RPM conducted: FY08 - \$4.0M (Act); FY09 - \$4.2M (Est); FY10 - \$4.4M (Est); FY11 - \$4.6M (Est); FY12 - \$5.0M. RPM data includes maintenance and repair costs incurred either through contract (including QoL projects), In-house resources and self-help. Base Civil Engineer: Maj John P. Baker, (325) 654-3464. Student Dormitory: 5,040 SM = 54,250 SF; Training Manager Space: 250 SM = 2,691SF.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility is programmed for joint use with the Army, and USMC; however, it is fully funded by the Air Force.

1. COMPONENT		FY 2010 MILITARY C	ONSTRU	JCTION PROJE	CT DATA	2	. DATE
AIR FORCE		(comput	er ger	nerated)			
3. INSTALLATI	ON AND I	COCATION		4. PROJECT	TITLE		
GOODFELLOW AI	R FORCE	BASE, TEXAS		STUDENT DOR	MITORY (100 RM)		
5. PROGRAM EL	5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST						(\$000)
85976		721-313	JCGU083001 14,000				0
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:							
a. Estimated Design Data:							
(1) Project to be accomplished by design-build procedures							
(2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - NO (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used							
(3) All O	ther Des	sign Costs					700
(4) Const	ruction	Contract Award				10	FEB
(5) Const	ruction	Start				10	MAR
(6) Const	ruction	Completion				11	AUG
(7) Energ	y Study/	Life-Cycle analysis	was/	will be perf	ormed		YES
b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations:							
EQUIPMENT	NOMENC		PROCUR PROPRI	ING APP	SCAL YEAR ROPRIATED REQUESTED		COST (\$000)
DORM FURN	NATURE 3400 2011 565						

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA
AIR FORCE (computer generated)

2. DATE

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

GOODFELLOW AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS

4. PROJECT TITLE

JOINT INTEL TECHNICAL TRAINING FACILITY PHASE 1 (TFI)

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

85976 171-623 JCGU053000 18,400

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITY				11,678
INTEL ACADEMIC TRAINING FACILITY	SM	4,645	2,441	(11,338)
ANTITERRORISM FORCE PROTECTION	LS			(113)
SDD & EP ACT 05	sm	4,645	49	(227)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				4,905
UTILITIES	LS			(920)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(693)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(603)
COMMUNICATIONS	LS			(1,466)
MECHANICAL	LS			(822)
DRILLED CONCRETE PIERS	LS			(401)
SUBTOTAL				16,583
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				829
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				17,412
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7	%)			992
TOTAL REQUEST				18,405
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				18,400
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)				(1,645.0)

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slabs, reinforced masonry walls with brick veneer, steel frame, standing seam metal roof system, lighted parking with signage, and landscaping. Integrate facility cooling into existing looped chiller system by extending equipment to plant extension with all supporting equipment interconnected with the SCIF complex by covered trenched troopwalk for plant piping and communications ducts. Includes antiterrorism/force protection requirements identified in DoD Unified Facilities Criteria.

Air Conditioning: 111 Tons

11. Requirement: 62653 SM Adequate: 38034 SM Substandard: 11010 SM

PROJECT: Joint Intel Technical Training Facility. (Current Mission)

REQUIREMENT: An adequate and properly configured intelligence academic training and administrative support facility is required to meet student training load, facility space, and facility capability requirements identified in the AETC Intel Image 2020 Area Development Plan. This project supports new and expanded intelligence training programs and is driven by Public Law 108-458, Dec 2004 (Reform Intelligence Community and Intelligence-Related Activities), Office of the Director of National Intelligence, National Intelligence Strategy, CSAF transformation of Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance (ISR) as part of AF Transformation, and Air Force Future Total Force, moving traditional active-duty missions to AF Reserve and Guard components. A regular troopwalk is required to access the new facility.

CURRENT SITUATION: Recent technological advancements in the intelligence field have resulted in changes in operating procedures of field units. In turn, the

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MI	DATA	2. DATE				
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE							
GOODFELLOW AI	R FORCE BASE, TEXAS	JOINT INTEL TECHNICAL TRAINING FACILITY PHASE 1 (TFI)					
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT 6. CATEGORY	Y CODE 7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)		
85976	171-62	171-623 JCGU053000			.00		

Training Group is required to integrate these new methods and procedures into the classroom, in the form of interactive courseware (ICW) and computer-based training (CBT). However, current facilities do not have the adequate space, configuration, or capability needed to support the current student training load (estimated 2,981 students in FY06) and required training equipment. In addition, requirements to implement new courses are not being met due to the lack of classroom space to support them. Insufficient classroom space has led to conducting two shift operations, however no surge capacity exist and third shift operation is not viable due to network system constraints. Intelligence training personnel requirements has increased 40% from post 911. Preliminary results from the Space Utilization Study indicate the "current facilities cannot efficiently accommodate existing or future training requirements." Improperly configured and inadequate classrooms will not support requirements for training computer systems and other equipment currently used by INTEL personnel in the field.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Crowded, substandard classroom facilities continue to negatively impact learning for students. Students continue to receive outdated training from obsolete teaching methods and equipment; they will not receive exposure to, nor training on the advanced equipment currently operational in the field in their follow-on assignments. This lack of training results in personnel who are not mission-ready when they arrive in their units; requiring additional onthe-job training in order to reach this status, this constitutes a failure to accomplish our training mission. If this project is not accomplished, instructors will be forced to continue use of old technology for training; technology that has been phased out by field units and is no longer in use. Critical Overseas Contingency Operation intelligence supplemental/advanced courses would be pared down/eliminated. The facilities lack of appropriate classroom space will continue to generate a shortfall for the training group under its current training demands, plus an even greater deficiency as the requirement for total ICW/CBT is implemented throughout the Training Group.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements". An economic analysis has been prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction, third shift and status quo operations, new construction was found to be the most cost efficient over the life of the project. A certificate of exception will be prepared. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the design, development, and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423 and other applicable laws and Executive orders. Base Civil Engineer, Lt Col. John P. Baker, (325) 654-3464, Joint Intel Technical Training Facility. 4,645 SM = 50,000 SF.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility is programmed for joint use with the Army, Navy, and Marines however; it is fully funded by the Air Force. This project supports Total Force Integration initiatives.

						2. DATE
L. COMPONENT	MPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA					
AIR FORCE	(computer	generate	d)		
3. INSTALLATIO	ON AND LOCATION		4.	PROJECT :	TITLE	
GOODFELLOW AI	R FORCE BASE, TEXAS				TECHNICAL TR ASE 1 (TFI)	AINING
. PROGRAM EL	EMENT 6. CATEGORY	CODE 7.	PROJECT	NUMBER	8. PROJECT C	OST (\$000)
85976	171-62	3	18	,400		
2. SUPPLEMEN	TAL DATA:					
a. Estimate	d Design Data:					
(1) Statu	s:					
` '	te Design Started				05	5-MAY-08
(b) Pa	rametric Cost Estima	tes used	to devel	op costs		YES
* (c) Pe	rcent Complete as of	01 JAN 2	009			15%
* (d) Date 35% Designed						8-MAR-09
(e) Date Design Complete						-SEP-09
(f) En	ergy Study/Life-Cycl	e analysi	s was/wi	ll be per	formed	YES
(2) Basis	:					
(a) St	andard or Definitive	Design -				NO
(b) Wh	ere Design Was Most	Recently	Used			
(3) Total	Cost (c) = (a) + (b)) or (d)	+ (e):			(\$000)
(a) Pr	oduction of Plans and	d Specifi	cations			1,104
	l Other Design Costs					552
(c) To						1,656
(d) Co						1,380
(e) In	-house					276
(4) Const	ruction Contract Awar	rd				10 FEB
(5) Const	ruction Start					10 MAR
(6) Const	ruction Completion					12 MAR
which i	es completion of Pro s comparable to trad d executability.					
b. Equipmen	t associated with th	is projec	t provid	ed from c	ther appropri	iations:
			CURING	APPRO	AL YEAR PRIATED	COST
EQUIPMENT	NOMENCLATURE	APPRO	PRIATION	OR RE	QUESTED	(\$000)

1. COMPONENT		FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM 2. DATE								
AIR FORCE										
3. INSTALLATION A	AND LOCA	ATION	ATION 4. COMMAND:				5. AREA	CONST		
LACKLAND AIR FOR	RCE BASE, AIR EDUCATION AND				COST IN	DEX				
TEXAS				TRAINI	NG CO	MAND		0.92		
6. Personnel	PE	RMANENT	-	ST	TUDENT	S	SU	PPORTE	D	
Strength	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
AS OF 30 SEP 08	2434	9611	5498	132	6843	0	2365	9866	2026	38,775
END FY 2014	2416	9199	5492	132	6843	0	2200	10000	1992	38,274
7. INVENTORY DAT	TA (\$000)				•	•		•		
a. Total Acreage:	(, ,	7,454								
b. Inventory Total as	of: (30									4,073,379
c. Authorization Not	•	. ,								125,515
d. Authorization Req			am:							113,879
f. Planned in Next Fi										559,015
g. Remaining Deficie										34,500
h. Grand Total:	,.								•	4,906,288
in Grand Foldi.										.,000,200
8. PROJECTS REQ	UESTED	IN THIS P	ROGR	AM·		(FY2010)				
CATEGORY	OLOTED			J (171.		(1 12010)		COST	DESIGN	STATUS
CODE	PROJEC	T TITI F				<u>SCOPE</u>		\$,000	START	CMPL
<u>0052</u> 171-621		ellite Class	room/l	Dining F			SM		Oct 07	Sep 09
721-311		ormitory F			ac # 1, 1	24,407	SM		Design B	
171-621		Conduct A			rna Fac	1,487	SM		May 08	Sep 09
17 1-02 1	Evasion,	Conduct F	vitei Ca	apture i	ing rac	1,407	Total	113,879		Sep 09
9a. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Five Years:										
						2 0 4 0	CM	10 000		
730-835	•	Forces Op				3,948	SM	18,000		
171-621		ellite Class		-	ac #2, P		SM	32,000		
721-311		ormitories				48,814	SM	148,000		
217-712 721-312		ate Crypto	Mairile	enance i	-ac	2,044	SM	4,300		
		y (96 Rm)	:4:00			3,200	SM	13,500		
100-001		BMT Facil				1	LS	154,030		
100-001	•	BMT Facil				1	LS	105,185		
100-001		BMT Facil				24,407	SM	79,000		
730-839	Reconstr	uct Airmar	is Gate)		787	SM	5,000	-	
							Total	559,015		
9b. Real Property M	aintenanc	e Backlog	This Ir	ıstallatic	n: (\$M)					159
10. Mission or Major	Function	s: A trainir	ig wing	which i	ncludes	Basic Milit	ary Trai	ning Scho	ol, Securit	y Forces,
Combat Convoy/Arm	s/Control,	, Pararesc	ue, Sur	vival Ev	asion R	esistance	Escape,	Logistics,	Enlisted /	Aircrew,
Services, Contracting	g, Vehicle	Maintenar	nce, an	d Militar	y Trainir	ng Instruct	or, Defe	nse Langu	iage Instit	ute English
Language Center, an	nd Inter-Ar	merican Ai	r Force	s Acade	emy, Dep	partment o	of Defens	se Military	Working I	Dog
Training. Additional	missions i	include Air	Force	Security	y Forces	Center, R	ecruiting	g, cryptogr	aphic mai	ntenance,
Air Force Reserve C-	-5 training	, a major <i>i</i>	Air Ford	ce medi	cal cente	r, and Inte	elligence	/Reconnai	issance/S	urveillance
Operations.	_									
11. Outstanding poll	ution and	Safety (OS	SHA) D	eficienc	ies:					
a. Air pollution		- `	•					0		
b. Water Pollutio	n							0		
c. Occupational	Safety and	d Health						0		
	,	-						-		
d. Other Environ	mental							0		
]								_		

DD Form 1390, 24 Jul 00

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA
AIR FORCE (computer generated)

2. DATE

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

LACKLAND AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS

4. PROJECT TITLE

BMT RECRUIT DORMITORY, PHASE 2

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE

7. PROJECT NUMBER

8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

85976

721-311

MPLS083737R2

77,000

9. COST ESTIMATES

J. COB1 EB1.	LIMILE	,		
ITEM	U/M	OHANTETTY	UNIT	COST (\$000)
	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES				57,429
RECRUIT DORMITORY (1248 PN)	SM	19,900	2,365	(47,064)
INSTRUCTOR ADMINISTRATIVE SPACE	SM	1,225	2,275	(2,787)
TRAINING/FORMATION OPEN SPACE	SM	3,282	1,811	(5,944)
ANTITERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION	LS			(545)
SDD AND EP ACT 2005	SM	24,407	45	(1,090)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				11,976
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(2,031)
SPECIAL DRILLED PIER FOUNDATION	LS	j		(1,954)
UTILITIES	LS			(4,933)
PAVEMENTS (EXERCISE/DRILL PADS & TRACKS)	LS			(2,668)
COMMUNICATIONS INFRASTRUCTURE	LS			(390)
SUBTOTAL				69,405
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				3,470
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				72,875
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%)				4,154
TOTAL REQUEST				77,029
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				77,000)
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)				(2,748

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construction includes a multi-story facility consisting of a drilled pier foundation, concrete floor slabs, structural steel frame, masonry walls, standing seam metal roof, and an elevator. Areas include administrative support, open-bay dormitories, central latrines, drill pads, physical training areas, and storage. Complies with DoD force protection requirements as per the unified facilities criteria.

Air Conditioning: 450 Tons

11. Requirement: 150861 SM Adequate: 21552 SM Substandard: 129309 SM

PROJECT: Construct Basic Military Training Recruit Dormitory. (Current Mission)

REQUIREMENT: A major Air Force objective is to provide recruits with facilities conducive to their proper housing, dining, and training. Properly sized, sited, designed, and furnished facilities are essential to successfully train future Air Force enlisted personnel. To support current accession rates, a total of 8 Recruit Housing & Training (RH&T) facilities are required to accomplish the Basic Military Training (BMT) mission at Lackland AFB. This project provides the second RH&T dormitory building in the RH&T Replacement program. This RH&T facility will house a Basic Military Training Squadron including dormitory and administrative space. This project is designed to accommodate 1248 recruits; 48 recruits per flight, 24 flights per squadron with 4 reserve bed spaces per flight in order to address surges, gender separation and injured recruits. This project will also construct new drill pads, running tracks, exercise areas, war skills training areas, and pavilions for training weapons cleaning, storage, and latrines.

CURRENT SITUATION: RH&T facilities, the BMT program, and Lackland AFB form an

Page No.

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

May 2009 189

Previous editions are obsolete.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY	DATA 2. DATE					
AIR FORCE	(comp	(computer generated)					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE							
LACKLAND AIR	FORCE BASE, TEXAS	BMT RECRUIT I	OORMITORY, PHASE 2				
5. PROGRAM ELI	EMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE	MENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)					
85976	76 721-311 MPLS083737R2 77,000						

initial, but lasting impression of the Air Force to all new recruits. Existing 210,000 SF RH&T facilities, originally constructed in the 1960's and 1970's, were designed to provide housing, dining, classrooms, and other training space in one facility in order to develop teamwork, discipline, and Espirit de Corps among the recruits. These facilities are outdated and are inadequate to support current and planned accessions of Air Force Active Duty, Reserve, and Air National Guard personnel considering future force structure and strength. Due to deterioration, age, and exceeding their useful lives, the RH&Ts require significant O&M capital to keep them operational -- an estimated annual average of \$2.1M per RH&T (\$16.8M for today's 8 RH&Ts) for the next 28 years according to the facility assessment study and detailed Economic Analysis. Available training hours, training quality, cohesiveness, and Esprit de Corps are degraded as a direct result of decentralized BMT facilities and functions. A centralized, master planned, BMT campus does not exist. BMT has difficulty accommodating summer recruit surges while accomplishing maintenance, repair and renovation projects of the aging, inadequate, and substandard RH&Ts. Recruits do not have the minimum standard square footage during surge and overhaul periods forcing as many as 65 recruits per flight in facilities designed for 50 recruits per flight. This further stresses infrastructure systems and accelerates deterioration. The fire protection system is inadequate and obsolete. The mechanical, electrical, and lighting systems and interior finishes are at the end of their useful lives and require replacement.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: One of Lackland Air Force Base's primary missions is to educate and train every Basic Military Training (BMT) enlisted recruit when entering military service in the U.S. Air Force. Without quality BMT programs and state-of-the-art, master-planned facilities, the Air Force will have difficulty recruiting, training, and retaining new recruits. BMT schedules will continue to be stretched to critical levels that risk mission loss. Facilities will continue to age and will require increasingly more capital to keep them operational. During surge periods, or when existing RH&Ts are being repaired, maintained, or overhauled, flight sizes will increase and recruits will continue to live in space with less than the minimum standard square footage per recruit. Significant capital must be spent to convert the existing RH&T facilities to meet current antiterrorism/force protection (AT/FP) criteria.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, Facility Requirements. An Economic Analysis was prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction; renovation of existing RH&T dormitory buildings, including living areas, classrooms areas, administrative areas, and dining/kitchen areas; and status quo. Based on the net present value and benefits of prospective alternatives, new construction was found to have the best overall ratio of life cycle cost vs. benefit. Furthermore, the Economic Analysis indicates that constructing new RH&T facilities within the next 10 years will avoid an anticipated major investment in maintenance and repair that is projected for years 2008 - 2040. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the design, development, and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423 and other applicable laws and Executive orders. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Lt Col Ardyce Clements, COMM (210) 671-2977. BMT Recruit Dormitory: 19,900 SM = 214,201 SF; Instructor Administrative Space: 1,225 SM = 13,185 SF; Training/Formation/Open Space: 3,282 SM = 35,327 SF.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DAT							
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE								
LACKLAND AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS BMT RECRUIT DORMITORY, PHASE 2						SE 2		
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT 6	CATEGORY CODE	7. PI	ROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)		
85976		721-311	21-311 MPLS083737R2			000		
10 GUDDI FUTURIA DATA								

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

- a. Estimated Design Data:
 - (1) Project to be accomplished by design-build procedures
 - (2) Basis:
 - (a) Standard or Definitive Design NO
 - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used
 - (3) All Other Design Costs 3,850
 - (4) Construction Contract Award 10 FEB
 - (5) Construction Start 10 MAR
 - (6) Construction Completion 12 SEP
 - (7) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed YES
- b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations:

EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE	PROCURING APPROPRIATION	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED	COST (\$000)
WALL LOCKERS & FURNISHINGS	3400	2010	2,557
ADPE	3400	2010	191

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA
AIR FORCE (computer generated)

2. DATE

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

LACKLAND AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS

4. PROJECT TITLE

BMT SATELLITE CLASSROOMS/DINING FACILITY, NO. 1

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

84711 171-621 MPLS083737S1

32,000

9. COST ESTIMATES

J. CODI ED	TIMMIEC	,		
	TT /26	O	UNIT	COST (\$000)
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES				26,015
BMT CLASSROOMS	SM	4,846	1,914	(9,277)
KITCHEN, BAKERY, FOOD STORAGE	SM	1,824	3,755	(6,849)
DINING SERVERY	SM	3,228	2,825	(9,119)
ANTITERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION	LS			(255)
SDD & EP ACT 05	SM	9,898	52	(515)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				3,002
SITE IMPROVEMENTS (INCLUDING LANDSCAPING)	LS			(417)
SPECIAL DRILLED PIER FOUNDATION	LS			(700)
UTILITIES	LS			(1,535)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(100)
COMMUNICATIONS	LS			(250)
SUBTOTAL				29,017
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				1,451
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				30,467
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7	'%)			1,737
TOTAL REQUEST				32,204
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				32,000
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)				(1,628.0)

^{10.} Description of Proposed Construction: Construction includes a multi-story facility consisting of a drilled pier foundation, concrete floor slabs, structural steel frame, masonry walls, standing seam metal roof, and elevator. Provides all necessary support and restores all areas disturbed by construction. Complies with DoD Minimum Antiterrorism/Force Protection Standards per UFC.

PROJECT: Construct Basic Military Training (BMT) Satellite Classroom/Dining Facility. (Current Mission)

REQUIREMENT: A major Air Force objective is to provide recruits with facilities conducive to their proper housing, dining, and training. Properly sized, sited, designed, and furnished facilities are essential to successfully train future Air Force enlisted personnel. This project provides the first of four satellite dining hall/classroom buildings in the Recruit Housing and Training (RH&T) replacement program; each will serve two new recruit dorms (~2500 recruits). This replaces dining hall and classroom facilities that are currently located in the Basic Military Training Squadron dormitory buildings.

CURRENT SITUATION: RH&T facilities, the BMT program, and Lackland AFB form an initial, but lasting impression of the Air Force to all new recruits. Existing RH&T facilities, originally constructed in the 1960's and 1970's, were designed to provide housing, dining, classrooms, and other training space in one facility in order to develop teamwork, discipline, and espirit de corps among the recruits. These facilities are outdated and are inadequate to support current and planned accessions of Air Force personnel considering future force structure and strength.

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

^{11.} Requirement: 9898 SM Adequate: 0 SM Substandard: 6996 SM

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE						
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE							
LACKLAND AIR	LACKLAND AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS BMT SATELLITE CLASSROOMS/DINI FACILITY, NO. 1					INING	
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT 6. C	CATEGORY CODE	GORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)				
84711	171-621 MPLS083737S1 32,000						

Due to deterioration, age, and exceeding their useful life, the RH&Ts require significant O&M capital to keep them operational -- an estimated annual average of \$2.1M per RH&T (\$16.8M for today's 8 RH&Ts). BMT has difficulty accommodating summer recruit surges while accomplishing maintenance, repair, and renovation projects on the aging, inadequate, and substandard RH&Ts. Recruits do not have the minimum standard square footage during surge and overhaul periods forcing as many as 65 recruits per flight in facilities designed for 50 recruits per flight. The existing classroom space in the RH&Ts is approximately one-half of what is needed. The mechanical, electrical, and lighting systems and interior finishes are at the end of their useful lives and require replacement.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Without quality BMT programs and adequate facilities, the Air Force will have difficulty recruiting, training, and retaining new recruits. Facilities will continue to age and will require increasingly more capital to keep them operational. During surge periods, or when existing RH&Ts are being repaired, maintained, or overhauled, flight sizes will increase and recruits will continue to live in space with less than the minimum standard square footage per recruit.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements". An Economic Analysis was prepared comparing the alternatives of new construction; renovation of existing RH&T dormitory buildings, including living areas, classrooms areas, administrative areas, and dining/kitchen areas; and status quo. Based on the net present value and benefits of prospective alternatives, new construction was found to have the best overall ratio of life cycle cost vs. benefit. Furthermore, the Economic Analysis indicates that constructing new RH&T facilities within the next 10 years will avoid an anticipated major investment in maintenance and repair that is projected for years 2008 - 2040. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the design, development, and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423 and other applicable laws and Executive orders. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Lt Col Ardyce Clements, COMM (210) 671-2977. BMT Satellite Classrooms/Dining Facility: 9,898 SM = 106.541 SF.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements.

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: a. Estimated Design Data: (1) Status: (a) Date Design Started (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs * (c) Percent Complete as of 01 JAN 2009 * (d) Date 35% Designed (e) Date Design Complete (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Contract Award (5) Construction Start (6) Construction Completion * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimates which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scort cost and executability. b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other approprises. FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED									
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION LACKLAND AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COMPLETED TO THE STATE SATE S	2. DATE	OMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE							
LACKLAND AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS BMT SATELLITE CLASSROOMS. FACILITY, NO. 1 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 84711 171-621 171-621 MPLS083737S1 32 12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: a. Estimated Design Data: (1) Status: (a) Date Design Started (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs * (c) Percent Complete as of 01 JAN 2009 * (d) Date 35% Designed (e) Date Design Complete (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Contract Award (5) Construction Start (6) Construction Tompletion * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimates which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scop cost and executability. b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other approprious appropriated.		AIR FORCE							
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT CODE 84711 171-621 MPLS08373781 32 12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: a. Estimated Design Data: (1) Status: (a) Date Design Started 31 (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs * (c) Percent Complete as of 01 JAN 2009 * (d) Date 35% Designed 18 (e) Date Design Complete 30 (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Contract Award (5) Construction Start (6) Construction Completion * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Est which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scorg cost and executability. b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropri		CITLE	4. PROJECT		LOCATION	ON AND L	3. INSTALLATION		
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: a. Estimated Design Data: (1) Status: (a) Date Design Started (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs * (c) Percent Complete as of 01 JAN 2009 * (d) Date 35% Designed (e) Date Design Complete (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Contract Award (5) Construction Completion * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Es which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scor cost and executability. b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropri	/DINING	•			ASE, TEXAS	FORCE BA	LACKLAND AIR		
a. Estimated Design Data: (1) Status: (a) Date Design Started (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs * (c) Percent Complete as of 01 JAN 2009 * (d) Date 35% Designed (e) Date Design Complete (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Contract Award (5) Construction Start (6) Construction Completion * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Es which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scor cost and executability. b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropri	OST (\$000)	8. PROJECT CO	ROJECT NUMBER	CODE 7.	6. CATEGORY C	EMENT	5. PROGRAM EL		
a. Estimated Design Data: (1) Status: (a) Date Design Started (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs * (c) Percent Complete as of 01 JAN 2009 * (d) Date 35% Designed (e) Date Design Complete (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Contract Award (5) Construction Start (6) Construction Start (6) Construction Completion * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Es which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scop cost and executability. b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropri	,000	32,	PLS083737S1	171-621		84711			
(1) Status: (a) Date Design Started (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs * (c) Percent Complete as of 01 JAN 2009 * (d) Date 35% Designed (e) Date Design Complete (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Contract Award (5) Construction Start (6) Construction Start (6) Construction Completion * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimates the sum of the					A:	TAL DATA	12. SUPPLEMEN		
(a) Date Design Started (b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs * (c) Percent Complete as of 01 JAN 2009 * (d) Date 35% Designed (e) Date Design Complete (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Contract Award (5) Construction Start (6) Construction Completion * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Es which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scorcost and executability. b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropri					n Data:	d Desigr	a. Estimate		
(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs * (c) Percent Complete as of 01 JAN 2009 * (d) Date 35% Designed 18 (e) Date Design Complete 30 (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Contract Award (5) Construction Start (6) Construction Completion * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Es which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scorcost and executability. b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropri						s:	(1) Statu		
* (c) Percent Complete as of 01 JAN 2009 * (d) Date 35% Designed 18 (e) Date Design Complete 30 (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Contract Award (5) Construction Start (6) Construction Completion * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Es which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scorcost and executability. b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropri	1-OCT-07	31-			~				
* (d) Date 35% Designed (e) Date Design Complete (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Contract Award (5) Construction Start (6) Construction Completion * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Es which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scop cost and executability. b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropri	YES		develop costs	s used to	c Cost Estimates	rametrio	(b) Pa		
(e) Date Design Complete (f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Contract Award (5) Construction Start (6) Construction Completion * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Es which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scor cost and executability. b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropri	15%		9	1 JAN 20	omplete as of 01	rcent Co	* (c) Pe		
(f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed (2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Contract Award (5) Construction Start (6) Construction Completion * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Es which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scop cost and executability. b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropri	8-MAR-09	18-			Designed	te 35% I	* (d) Da		
(2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Contract Award (5) Construction Start (6) Construction Completion * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Es which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scop cost and executability. b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropri	0-SEP-09	30-			gn Complete	te Desig	(e) Da		
 (a) Standard or Definitive Design - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Contract Award (5) Construction Start (6) Construction Completion * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Es which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scor cost and executability. b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropri FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED 	YES	formed	was/will be per	analysis	udy/Life-Cycle a	ergy Stu	(f) En		
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Contract Award (5) Construction Start (6) Construction Completion * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Es which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scop cost and executability. b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropri							, ,		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Contract Award (5) Construction Start (6) Construction Completion * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Es which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scop cost and executability. b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropri	NO			_					
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications (b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Contract Award (5) Construction Start (6) Construction Completion * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Es which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scop cost and executability. b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropri			ed	cently U	ign Was Most Rec	ere Desi	(b) Wh		
(b) All Other Design Costs (c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Contract Award (5) Construction Start (6) Construction Completion * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Es which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scop cost and executability. b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropri	(\$000)		(e):	or (d) +	c) = (a) + (b) c	Cost ((3) Total		
(c) Total (d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Contract Award (5) Construction Start (6) Construction Completion * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Es which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scop cost and executability. b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropri	1,920		cions	Specific	n of Plans and S	oduction	(a) Pr		
(d) Contract (e) In-house (4) Construction Contract Award (5) Construction Start (6) Construction Completion * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Es which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scop cost and executability. b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropri	960				Design Costs	1 Other	(b) Al		
(e) In-house (4) Construction Contract Award (5) Construction Start (6) Construction Completion * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Es which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scop cost and executability. b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropri	2,880					tal	(c) To		
(4) Construction Contract Award (5) Construction Start (6) Construction Completion * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Es which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scor cost and executability. b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropri	2,400					ntract	(d) Co		
(5) Construction Start (6) Construction Completion * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Es which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scop cost and executability. b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropri	480					-house	(e) In		
(6) Construction Completion * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Es which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scor cost and executability. b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropri	10 FEB				Contract Award	ruction	(4) Const		
* Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Es which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scor cost and executability. b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropri	10 MAR				Start	ruction	(5) Const		
which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scor cost and executability. b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropri FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED	12 MAR				Completion	ruction	(6) Const		
FISCAL YEAR PROCURING APPROPRIATED					rable to traditi	s compar	which i		
PROCURING APPROPRIATED	iations:	other appropria	provided from o	project	iated with this	t associ	b. Equipmen		
EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE APPROPRIATION OR REQUESTED	COST (\$000)	PRIATED	ING APPRO		LATURE	NOMENC:	EQUIPMENT		
FURNISHINGS AND EQUIPMENT 3400 2010	1,628	:010	0 2	34	EQUIPMENT	IGS AND	FURNISHIN		

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA
AIR FORCE (computer generated)

pacer generaced)

4. PROJECT TITLE

EVASION, CONDUCT AFTER CAPTURE TRAINING FACILITY

2. DATE

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

85731 171-621 MPLS083005 4,879

9. COST ESTIMATES

77 332 221				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES				3,488
CLASSROOM FACILITY	SM	1,487	1,969	(2,928)
URBAN EVASION TRAINING LAB	LS			(475)
ANTITERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION	LS			(17)
SSD & EP ACT 05	SM	1,487	46	(68)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				908
SITE, UTILITIES, & PAVEMENTS	LS			(614)
SPECIAL FOUNDATION CONSTRUCTION	LS			(216)
SITE WORK FOR EVASION LAB	LS			(78)
SUBTOTAL				4,396
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				220
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				4,616
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%)			263
TOTAL REQUEST				4,879
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				4,879
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)				(2,488.0)

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Constructs a single-story Conduct After Capture Training Facility consisting of a drilled pier foundation with reinforced concrete footings, structural steel frame, masonry walls, and standing seam metal roof system as well as mechanical, electric equipment and communications rooms, fire protection systems, utilities, and parking. Constructs an outdoor, screened Urban Evasion Training Laboratory consisting of faux buildings, roads, vehicles, and other urban terrain features that replicate a Mideast urban environment. This project will comply with DoD antiterrorism/force protection requirements per the Unified Facility Criteria.

Air Conditioning: 100 Tons

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

LACKLAND AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS

11. Requirement: 1487 SM Adequate: 0 SM Substandard: 0 SM

PROJECT: Construct Evasion and Conduct After Capture Training Facilities. (New Mission)

REQUIREMENT: Provide adequately sized and properly configured facilities to support Evasion and Conduct After Capture (ECAC) training for Security Forces students at Lackland AFB. The course requirement is based on a tasking by the Chief of Staff of the Air Force (CSAF) to expand Survival, Evasion, Resistance, and Escape (SERE) training to at-risk Air Force members deploying to fight the Overseas Contingency Operations (OCO). ECAC is a four day (40 hour) curriculum designed to prepare Air Force Security Forces members, who do not receive Level-C Code of Conduct training, to survive the rigors of isolation. The course consists of full spectrum (wartime, peacetime, and hostage) captivity training in academic and Academic Role Play Laboratory (ARL) training environments and culminates with a hostage Resistance Training Laboratory (RTL). ECAC also provides academic training on evasion, personnel recovery principles, Tactics, Techniques & Procedures (TTP) and an evasion laboratory (EL) that provides hands-on practice using evasion TTP. Most ECAC academic lessons are taught at the SECRET level. All ARLs and the RTL

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE					
AIR FORCE		(comp	uter ge	nerated)			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE							
LACKLAND AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS EVASION, CONDUCT AFTER CAPT TRAINING FACILITY					TURE		
5. PROGRAM ELI	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (
85731		171-621	MPLS083005 4,879				

are conducted at the SECRET level.

CURRENT SITUATION: There are no existing buildings at Lackland AFB available or suitable for renovation to meet the unique facility requirements for the Conduct After Capture Classroom Facility. The ECAC Classroom and interrogation room sizes and configurations are specialized. The need for secrecy is paramount because as soon as the enemy learns details about the Air Force resistance training, they will revise their interrogation procedures. There is space at Lackland AFB, to construct a new classroom facility as well as a supplementary secure, screened urban area for the Evasion Training.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Without this facility, ECAC training cannot be implemented for at-risk Security Forces Airmen deploying to fight. These personnel will deploy without the training needed to survive the rigors of captivity.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements". All known alternative options to address this facility requirement were considered during the development of this project including new construction, alteration of existing facilities, leased facilities and status quo. No option other than new construction could meet the mission requirements. A certificat of exception will be prepared. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the design, development, and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423 and other applicable laws and Executive orders. Base Civil Enginer: Lt Col Ardyce Clements, Commercial 210-671-2977. Evasion, Conduct After Capture Training Facility: 1,487 SM = 16,000 SF

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY	CONSTRUC	TION PROJECT	DATA	2. DATE		
AIR FORCE	(comp	uter gene	rated)				
3. INSTALLATION AND	TITLE						
LACKLAND AIR FORCE	BASE, TEXAS		EVASION, CO	NDUCT AFTER CA	APTURE		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	EMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$0						
85731	171-621	21 MPLS083005 4,879					
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DA	ATA:	<u>'</u>					
a. Estimated Desi	ign Data:						
(1) Status:							
	sign Started			14	-MAY-08		
	ric Cost Estimates		evelop costs		YES		
` '	Complete as of 01	JAN 2009			15%		
* (d) Date 359	-				-MAR-09		
	sign Complete	_			-SEP-09		
(f) Energy S	Study/Life-Cycle an	alysis wa	s/will be per	rformed	YES		
(2) Basis:							
	d or Definitive Des esign Was Most Rece	_			NO		
(3) Total Cost	(c) = (a) + (b) or	(d) + (e):		(\$000)		
	ion of Plans and Sp				294		
(b) All Othe	er Design Costs				147		
(c) Total					441		
(d) Contract	5				368		
(e) In-house	e				74		
(4) Construction	on Contract Award				10 FEB		
(5) Construction	on Start				10 MAR		
(6) Construction	on Completion				11 MAR		
	apletion of Project parable to tradition cutability.						
b. Equipment asso	ociated with this p	roject pro	ovided from c	other appropri	ations:		
				AL YEAR			
EQUIPMENT NOME	NCLATURE	PROCURIN APPROPRIA:		PRIATED EQUESTED	COST (\$000)		
FURNISHINGS AN	D EQUIPMENT	3400	2	2010	2,488		

1. COMPONENT		FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRA					OGRAM		2. DATE		
AIR FORCE											
3. INSTALLATION A	ND LOCA	TION		4. COMMAND) :				5. AREA	CONST	
HILL AIR FORCE BA	SE			AIR FORCE M	/ATERII	EL			COST IN	NDEX	
UTAH				COMMAND:					1.03		
6. Personnel	PEF	RMANENT		STUDEN	ITS			SU	PPORTE	D	
Strength	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	(CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
AS OF 30 SEP 08	333	1,274	10,161	0		0	0	192			14,408
END FY 2014	314	1,248	10,059	0		0	0	187	2234		14,248
7. INVENTORY DAT	A (\$000)	•		<u> </u>							·
	Main Bas	e: 6,698		Little Mountair	n Test A	nne	x: 750		UTTR: 9	54,471	
Inventory Total as of :										,	4,322,858
Authorization Not Yet											146,165
Authorization Reques			• •								21,053
Planned in Next Five		-									141,182
Remaining Deficiency		J									215,300
Grand Total:	,									•	4,846,558
8. PROJECTS REQU	JESTED I	N THIS P	ROGRA	M:				(FY 201	0)		, ,
CATEGORY								(DESIGN	STATUS
	PROJEC ²	T TITLE				S	COPE		\$,000		CMPL
			Section 1	Testing Fac		_	4,629	SM		Design B	
						T	otal		21,053		
9a. Future Projects:	Typical P	lanned Ne	xt Five `	Years:					,		
				Hangar/AMU			5,622	SM	22,000		
		d/Alter Simulator Facility					2,648	SM	15,100		
		Cell Hang		,			1,609	SM	7,280		
		h Rescue	-				3,900	SM	20,000		
		Engine T					4,000	SM	2,502		
		_		End Taxiway	4		31,570	SM	5,100		
		S STAMP					3,716	SM	15,400		
		Dorms Pha					3,958	SM	20,500		
		Dorms Pha					3,958	SM	20,500		
	•		•	n Facility, phas	e I		1,500	SM	6,500		
		Storage I	•	 			1,158	SM	6,300		
		3 3 3	J			T	otaĺ		141,182		
9b. Restoration and I	Moderniza	ation (R&N	1) Unfun	ded Requireme	ent (\$M))			,		140.8
10. Mission or Major							tional a	nd sunno	ort missio	ns with ∩	
Logisitics Center (OO					•	•					_
management for the I											
performs depot maint	-	-									
 Outstanding pollution and Safety (OSHA) Deficiencies: a. Air pollution 									0		
a. 7 iii poliution											
b. Water Pollution								0			
b. Water i oliution											
c. Occupational S	Safety and	d Health							0		
	,	-							_		
d. Other Environr	mental								0		

DD Form 1390, 24 Jul 00

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE AIR FORCE (computer generated)

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

4. PROJECT TITLE

HILL AIR FORCE BASE, UTAH

F-22 RADAR CROSS SECTION TESTING FAC

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)
27138 211-154 KRSM043003 21,053

9. COST ESTIMATES

9. COST ESTI	9. COST ESTIMATES							
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)				
PRIMARY FACILITY				16,200				
RCS TESTING FACILITY	SM	4,629	3,400	(15,739)				
ANTITERRORISM FORCE PROTECTION	SM	4,629	33	(154)				
SDD EP ACT2005	SM	4,629	66	(307)				
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				2,850				
UTILITIES	LS			(1,200)				
PAVEMENTS	LS			(1,100)				
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(200)				
COMMUNICATIONS	LS			(350)				
SUBTOTAL				19,050				
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				952				
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				20,002				
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%)				1,140				
TOTAL REQUEST				21,142				
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				21,053)				
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)				(9,500				

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a high bay industrial production facility with concrete foundation, floor slab, structural steel frame, insulated walls and roof. Includes aircraft vestibule, radar cross section inspection bay, radar control room, unisex restroom, lightning protection, fire detection/prevention, intrusion detection and all required utilities, pavements, site improvements, and communication support for a complete and usable facility. Extensive pavement work is required to provide tow apron for aircraft access to this facility. Site requires extensive utility work. Comply with DoD force protection requirements as per the Unified Facilities Criteria. Comply with sustainable design principles as mandated by EO 13423.

Air Conditioning: 125 Tons

11. Requirement: 5187 SM Adequate: 558 SM Substandard: 0 SM

PROJECT: Low Observable Radar Cross Section (RCS) Testing Facility. (New Mission) REQUIREMENT: The Ogden Air Logisitics Center has been designated as the Air Force Center of Industrial and Technical Excellence for composite repair work. Therefore, a specialized facility is needed to test the low observable radar characteristics of each fighter aircraft that will soon undergo depot level repair and modification at Hill AFB. Beginning in 2007, F-22 aircraft will be arriving in increasing numbers with a projected annual workload of 64 aircraft by 2013. Before these aircraft can be returned to their home units they must be tested to ensure that they have maintained the required level of radar stealth after they have undergone all required repair and modification.

<u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> Currently there is no facility on Hill AFB with the capability to RCS test a complete fighter aircraft. The only facility currently performing RCS work is building 1424, which was designed for the cruise missile and is not capable of testing an entire aircraft.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Without the RCS test facility, F-22 aircraft that will be

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY	DATA 2. DATE					
AIR FORCE	(comp	(computer generated)					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE							
HILL AIR FORCE	ROSS SECTION TESTING FAC						
5. PROGRAM ELI	LEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)						
27138	211-154 KRSM043003 21,053						

arriving at Hill AFB for depot level repair and modification will not be able to be RCS tested at Hill, but will need to be flown to Marietta, Georgia, the site of Lockheed's RCS facility for testing. If further modifications are necessary to improve the low observable qualities of the aircraft's radar signature, it must be returned to Hill AFB for further modification, and then tested again at Lockheed's facility. The estimated impact in dollars lost would be \$15M annually for F-22 repair, as well as delayed delivery dates of F-22 aircraft to their home units. ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements." All known options were considered during the development of this project. No other option could meet the mission requirements; therefore, no economic analysis was needed or performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the design, development, and construction of the projectin accordance with Executive Order 13423 and other applicable laws and Executive Orders. Base Civil Engineer: Col Harry Briesmaster III (801) 777-7505. RCS Testing Facility: 4,629 SM = 49,827 SF.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements.

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

1. COMPONENT		FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE						
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)						
3. INSTALLATI	ON AND I	OCATION		4. PROJ	ECT TI	rle		
HILL AIR FORC	E BASE,	UTAH		F-22 RA	DAR CRO	OSS SECTION TI	EST:	ING FAC
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	ENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST ((\$000)
27138		211-154	I	RSM0430	03	21,	,05	3
12. SUPPLEMEN	TAL DAT	A:						
a. Estimate	d Design	n Data:						
(1) Proje	ct to be	accomplished by d	esign-	build pr	ocedure	es		
(2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - NO (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used						NO		
(3) All O	ther Des	ign Costs					1,	,052
(4) Const	ruction	Contract Award					10	FEB
(5) Const	ruction	Start					10	MAR
(6) Const	ruction	Completion					12	MAR
(7) Energ	y Study/	Life-Cycle analysi	s was/	will be	perfor	ned		YES
b. Equipmen	t assoc	iated with this pro	ject p	rovided	from o	ther appropri	ati	lons:
EQUIPMENT	NOMENC		PROCUR PROPRI		APPRO	L YEAR PRIATED QUESTED		COST (\$000)
NON-ADD I	EQUIPMEN	T COSTS	308	0	2	011		9,500

COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM 2. DATE									
3. INSTALLATION A LANGLEY AIR FORC VIRGINIA	CE BASE,									
6. Personnel		PERMANENT STUDENTS SUPPORTED						TOTAL		
Strength AS OF 30 SEP 08	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF		CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
END FY 2014	2253 2161	7361 7111	3589 3469	0	2 2	0 0	0	0		13,511 13,049
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000) a. Total Acreage: 3,168 b. Inventory Total as of: (30 Sep 08) 3,735,796 c. Authorization Not Yet in Inventory: 109,536 d. Authorization Requested in this Program: 10,000 f. Planned in Next Five Years Program: 41,760 g. Remaining Deficiency: 122,600 h. Grand Total: 4,019,692										
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: (FY 2010) CATEGORY COST DESIGN STATUS CODE PROJECT TITLE SCOPE \$,000 START CMPL 730-832 West and LaSalle Gate Force Protection/Access 7,294 SM 10,000 Jun-08 Sep-09 Jun-08 Sep-09										
211-159	211-179 Fuel Systems Maintenance Dock 4,503 SM 23,456									
9b. Real Property Ma	aintenance	e Backlog	This In	stallatio	n: (\$M)					75
10. Mission or Major Functions: Headquarters Air Combat Command; a fighter wing with F-22A and F-15 fighters; an airlift flight; an intelligence group; Aerospace Command and Control Intelligence, Surveillance and Reconnaissance Center (AC2ISRC), Detachment of the USAF Doctrine Center; and the Air Force Rescue Coordination Center.										
11. Outstanding Poll a. Air pollution	ution and	Safety (O	SHA D	eficienc	ies):			0		
b. Water Pollution 0										
c. Occupational Safety and Health 0										
d. Other Environ	d. Other Environmental 0									

DD Form 1390, 9 Jul 02

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA
AIR FORCE (computer generated)

2. DATE

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

LANGLEY AIR FORCE BASE, VIRGINIA

4. PROJECT TITLE

WEST AND LASALLE GATE FORCE

PROTECTION/ACCESS

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

27576 730-832

MUHJ053008

10,000

9. COST ESTIMATES

		· 	UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
			<u> </u>	2.053
PRIMARY FACILITIES				3,873
VISITOR CONTROL CENTER (LASALLE GATE)	SM	232	1,789	(415)
SENTRY CHECKHOUSE (LASALLE GATE)	SM	64	3,032	(194)
VEHICLE INSPECTION FACILITY	SM	85	2,870	(244)
TRUCK CANOPY	SM	500	840	(420)
STAND-OFF ROAD	SM	6,413	400	(2,565)
SDD & EPACT 05	SM	881	30	(26)
ANTITERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION	SM	296	27	(8)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				5,096
SITE WORK	LS			(1,850)
UTILITIES	LS			(873)
PAVEMENT	LS			(1,100)
GATES AND FENCING	LS			(65)
HYDRAULIC BARRIERS	LS			(600)
TRAFFIC SIGNAGE AND SIGNAL	LS			(200)
DEMOLITION	SM	1,086	215	(233)
COMMUNICATIONS	LS			(25)
ENVIRONMENTAL ABATEMENT	LS			(150)
SUBTOTAL				8,969
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				448
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				9,418
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%)			537
TOTAL REQUEST				9,954
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				10,000
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)				(145.0)

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Provide West Gate vehicle inspection facility, truck canopy, and 6,413 SM asphalt roadway. West Gate vehicle inspection facility with dog holding area and lighting, visual screening and active barriers, truck lane, curb and gutters, drainage, roadway lighting, striping and adjustments to the existing traffic. LaSalle Gate work to include a new visitor center and sentry check house, utilities, site work, communication support, traffic flow, landscaping, pavement, environmental abatement, demolition of five facilities (1,086 SM), and all other necessary support. This project will comply with DoD antiterrorism/force protection requirements per Unified Facilities Criteria.

Air Conditioning: 15 Tons

11. Requirement: 7294 SM Adequate: 0 SM Substandard: 51 SM

PROJECT: West and LaSalle Gate Force Protection/Access. (Current Mission)
REQUIREMENT: Correct force protection deficiencies identified in local, Air Force, and Joint Staff Integrated Vulnerability Assessments. Enable Langley to comply with the Air Force Installation Entry Control Facilities Design Guide, which states

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITA	Y CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE			
AIR FORCE	(00	puter generated)			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE					
LANGLEY AIR FORCE BASE, VIRGINIA WEST AND LASALLE GATE FORCE PROTECTION/ACCESS					
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT 6. CATEGORY CO	7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)		
27576	730-832	MUHJ053008 10,000			

that gates must be able to operate at all Force Protection Conditions (FPCONs), to include 100% inspections, and accommodate Random Antiterrorism Measures, to include random vehicle inspections. At the West Gate, an inspection area and search facility with a dog holding area is required to allow for the inspection of commercial and contractor vehicular traffic. A new three lane road is needed to provide adequate stand off distance for these vehicles and ability to pre-sort private and commercial truck traffic well outside the base entry control facility (ECF) while allowing for an uninterrupted traffic flow and reducing the length of the traffic queue line on Armistead Ave, a public road outside the base. The new stand-off road is also required to enable inspections of all traffic outside of the base perimeter, minimizing the risk to the base population and providing the appropriate standoff distance from AF facilities/assets. The LaSalle Gate requires a larger visitor center and sentry checkhouse to accommodate the increased number of sentries posted at the ECF.

CURRENT SITUATION: The current Langley AFB vehicle inspection procedures do not meet AT/FP requirements. There is no adequately sized area for vehicle inspections. Daily commercial vehicle inspections are conducted on the shoulder of the inbound roadway at the LaSalle gate creating congestion and a hazard to public traffic. Personnel use tents to house inspection equipment, and the location of the inspection area itself increases traffic congestion. If an unauthorized vehicle approaches the gate and must be turned away, the vehicle must enter the installation to turn around, creating a possible threat to the base populace. These security deficiencies were identified in the local and the Air Force Vulnerability Assessment.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Daily commercial vehicle inspections will continue on the shoulder of the inbound roadway at the LaSalle gate causing traffic delays and a safety hazard. Personnel must continue operating out of tents for protection from the elements and the storage of inspection equipment. Base personnel remain at some degree of risk while unauthorized vehicles must enter the installation in order to turn around.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements." A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovation, upgrade/removal, new construction) was done. It indicates there is only one option that will meet operational requirements; new construction. A certificate of exception has been prepared. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the design, development, and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423 and other applicable laws and Executive orders. Base Civil Engineer: LtCol Mark S. Allen, (757) 764-2025. (Stand-Off Road: 6,413 SM = 69,004 SF, Vehicle Inspection Facility: 85 SM = 914 SF, Truck Canopy: 500 SM = 5,380 SF, Visitor Center: 232 SM = 2,496 SF, Sentry Check House: 64 SM = 689 SF)

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: Mission requirements, operational considerations, and location are incompatible with use by other components.

1. COMPONENT		FY 2010 MILITARY CO	ONSTRUC'	TION PROJECT	DATA	2. DATE			
AIR FORCE	AIR FORCE (computer generated)								
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE									
LANGLEY AIR FORCE BASE, VIRGINIA WEST AND LASALLE GATE FORCE PROTECTION/ACCESS									
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO)ST (\$000)			
27576		730-832	MUE	IJ053008	10,	000			
12. SUPPLEMEN	TAL DAT	A:							
a. Estimate	d Design	n Data:							
(1) Statu	s:								
(a) Da	(a) Date Design Started 15-JUN-08								
(b) Pa	rametri	c Cost Estimates use	ed to de	evelop costs		YES			
* (c) Pe	rcent Co	omplete as of 01 JAN	1 2009			15%			
* (d) Da	te 35% 1	Designed			18	-MAR-09			
(e) Da	te Desig	gn Complete			30	-SEP-09			
(f) En	ergy St	udy/Life-Cycle analy	sis was	s/will be per	formed	YES			
(2) Basis	:								
(a) St	andard o	or Definitive Design	ı -			NO			
(b) Wh	ere Des	ign Was Most Recentl	y Used						
(3) Total	Cost ((a) = (a) + (b) or (a)	l) + (e)	:		(\$000)			
(a) Pr	oduction	n of Plans and Speci	fication	ons		600			
(b) Al	l Other	Design Costs				300			
(c) To	tal					900			
(d) Co	ntract					750			
(e) In	-house					150			
(4) Const	ruction	Contract Award				10 FEB			

- * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.
- b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations:

EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE	PROCURING APPROPRIATION	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED	COST (\$000)
COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT	3400	2011	125
FURNISHINGS	3400	2011	20

(5) Construction Start

(6) Construction Completion

10 MAR

11 SEP

1 COMPONENT		EV 204	O MILL	TARY	CONCT	DUCTIO	N DDOC	·D A M		
1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM 2. DAT							2. DATE			
INSTALLATION AND LOCATION					COMMAND: 5. A				A CONST	
FE WARREN AIR FO					RCE S	PACE		COST IN		
WYOMING	J. (OL D, (0_		COMM		,,,,,		1.01	102/	
6. Personnel	PEI	RMANENT			TUDEN	TS I	SU	PPORTE	D	
Strength	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
AS OF 30 Sep 08	371	2157	453	0	0		415			6,339
END FY 2014	359	2122	454	0	0		403	2178	726	6,242
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)										
Total Acreage: 5,867										
Inventory Total as of	: (30 Sep	08)								352,855
Authorization Not Yet		•								34,200
Authorization Reques			•							9,100
Planned in Next Five		ogram:								39,610
Remaining Deficiency	y:								-	78,369
Grand Total:										514,134
8. PROJECTS REQ	UESTED	IN THIS P	ROGR	AM:			(FY 201	•	550.011	0.7.4.7.1.0
CATEGORY	DDO IEO	T TITI				00005			DESIGN	STATUS
CODE	PROJEC		0	1		SCOPE		\$,000	START	CMPL
212-216	ADAL IVII	ssile Servi	ce Con	npiex		1,438	SM	9,100 9,100		Sep 09
Total 9,100 9a. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Five Years:										
730-142	• •	ated Fire S		i cais.		2,504	SM	6,710		
722-351			Base Dining Facility				SM	3,800		
721-312		Dormitor	_	Jiney		1,394 48	RM	15,600		
721-312		Dormitor				40	RM	10,900		
141-911		ate MAFF		ld Stora	ae	2,238	SM	2,600		
					3-	Total		39,610		
								,		
9b. Real Property Ma	aintenanc	e Backlog	This Ir	stallatio	n (\$M)					56.3
10. Mission or Major	Function	s: F.E.W	arren /	ir Forc	e Base i	is the old	est cont	inuously	active mili	tary
installation within the	Air Force	. It's home	to the	90th Sp	oace Wi	ng and F	leadqua	rters, 20t	h Air Forc	e, of Air
Force Space Comma		•								
and maintains the mi	ssile field:	s across a	12,600)-square	e-mile a	rea in thr	ee state	s (Wyom	ing, Nebra	aska, and
Colorado).										
11. 0 1 1 " "		0 (/ /01) () =	· ·						
11. Outstanding poll	ution and	Safety (OS	sha) D	eticieno	cies:			^		
a. Air pollution 0										
h Water Pollutio	h Water Dellution									
ม. พงสเซเ คบแนแบ	b. Water Pollution 0									
c. Occupational :	Safety and	d Health						0		
c. Occupational Safety and Health 0										
d. Other Environ	mental							0		
•										

DD Form 1390, 24 Jul 00

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA AIR FORCE (computer generated)

2. DATE

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

4. PROJECT TITLE

FRANCIS E WARREN AIR FORCE BASE, WYOMING

ADAL MISSILE SERVICE COMPLEX

6. CATEGORY CODE | 7. PROJECT NUMBER 5. PROGRAM ELEMENT

8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

31476

212-216

GHLN053010

9,100

9. COST ESTIMATES

9. COST ESTIMATES							
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)			
PRIMARY FACILITIES				6,894			
OSC, KCC, KCCC ADDITION	sm	1,388	2,153	(2,988)			
PROOF LOAD TEST PIT (PLTP)	SM	50	50,778	(2,539)			
INTERIOR COMMUNICATIONS	SM	1,388	347	(481)			
ANTITERRORIS FORCE PROTECTION	SM	1,388	540	(750)			
SDD & EP ACT2005	LS			(135)			
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				1,254			
UTILITIES	LS			(202)			
PAVEMENTS	LS			(752)			
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(183)			
INTERIOR/EXTERIOR COMMUNICATIONS	LS	İ		(67)			
DEMOLITION OF PROOF LOAD TEST PIT (PLTP)	SM	50	1,000	(50)			
SUBTOTAL				8,148			
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				407			
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				8,555			
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.7%)				488			
TOTAL REQUEST				9,043			
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				9,100)			
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)				(925			

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slab, concrete masonry walls, sloped steel roof deck. Architectural design and construction materials will match those of the existing MMIII Complex. Includes minimum DoD interim force protection standards. The Proof Loaded Test Pit (PLTP) includes a 40 foot deep, 15 foot diameter underground concrete silo and foundation to house the testing apparatus with a moveable lid, 15x30 concrete masonry building with concrete foundation, floor slab, sloped steel roof deck, and a 200x200 foot asphalt vehicle docking area.

Air Conditioning: 50 Tons

11. Requirement: 11249 SM Adequate: 9811 SM Substandard: 1438 SM

PROJECT: Add to and alter a missile service complex. (Current Mission) REQUIREMENT: A modern and efficient facility in which to perform missile component repair, technical training, administrative functions, and security and other code issuance. This requirement will provide adequate facilities to include a Keys and Codes Control Center (KCCC) and along with an Operational Security Keys and Codes (OSC) Center. The Proof Load Test Pit (PLTP) is an essential part of MMIII and provides a facility to test structural integrity of the missile carriage and erection vehicle that occurs 10-20 times each month.

CURRENT SITUATION: The KCCC and OSC functions remain housed within historic facilities originally built as US Army cavalry stables in 1909. Their interior layouts are not conducive to effective mission accomplishment. Due to the lack of space, internal shop functions must compete or commingle with administrative functions. The heating, ventilating and air-conditioning systems are worn out and

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

1. COMPONENT		DATA	2. DATE						
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)							
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE									
FRANCIS E WAR	REN AIR	FORCE BASE, WYOMIN	īG	ADAL MISSILE	SERVICE COMPLE	ΣX			
5. PROGRAM ELI	AM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (ST (\$000)			
31476	6 212-216 GHLN053010 9,10								

inadequate. Lighting in the buildings is poor and electrical overloads cause frequent circuit failure. The lack of fire suppression systems, alarm pulls stations, fire barriers and the use of non-fire rated materials has resulted in fire safety deficiencies. Additionally, these facilities are not collocated with the newly constructed Missile Service Complex, which is located over a mile away. Dispatching missile service teams and security forces must still visit both locations prior to transiting to missile field locations. The 40-year-old, dilapidated PLTP is physically separated from the MSC facility causing additional operational inefficiencies and lost man-hours due to travel between the facilities. Current location of the old PLTP is an example of extremely incompatible land use; this industrial mission function is between dormitories and family housing in the historic district. This project will complete the missile service function consolidation.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Personnel will continue to work in inadequate facilities with safety and fire code deficiencies. Additional man-hours will be necessary to satisfy mission requirements due to poor functional layout of the individual buildings. Essential functions related to missile service will continue to be physically separated from the new Missile Service Complex promoting greater inefficiency.

<u>ADDITIONAL</u>: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements." A preliminary analysis of reasonable options (status quo, leasing, new construction) indicates there is only one option that will effectively meet the operational, statutory, and security criteria of functions required. Consequently, a full economic analysis was not performed. A Certificate of exception has been prepared. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the design, development, and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423 and other applicable laws and Executive Orders. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Jonathan D. Webb, (307) 775-3600. Missile Service Complex (Addition) 1,438 SM = 15,482 SF.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: Mission requirements, operational considerations, and location are incompatible for use with other components.

1. COMPONENT		FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. D.							
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)							
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE									
FRANCIS E WARREN AIR FORCE BASE, WYOMING ADAL MISSILE SERVICE COMPLEX									
5. PROGRAM EL	5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT					ST (\$000)			
31476	31476 212-216 GHLN053010				9,:	100			
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:									
a. Estimate	d Design	n Data:							
(1) Proje	ct to be	accomplished by de	sign-	build procedur	es				
(2) Basis	:								
` ′		or Definitive Design		_		NO			
(b) Wh	ere Des	ign Was Most Recentl	Ly Use	ed					
(3) All O	ther Des	sign Costs				455			
(4) Const	ruction	Contract Award				10 FEB			
(5) Construction Start						10 MAR			
(6) Const	(6) Construction Completion 11 JUN								
(7) Energ	y Study/	Life-Cycle analysis	was/	will be perfor	med	YES			

b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations:

EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE	PROCURING APPROPRIATION	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED	COST (\$000)
FURNISHINGS	3400	2011	300
COMM EQUIPMENT	3080	2011	625

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

1. COMPONENT		FY 2010 MIL	ITARY (CONS	STRUCTI	ON PRO	GRAM	2. DATE	=
AIR FORCE		1 1 2010 11112	TART CONCINCOTION TROCKAM					24-Apr-09	
3. INSTALLATION A	AND LOC	ATION	4. COI	MMA	ND:		5. AREA CONST		
BAGRAM AB, AFGH					T COMM	1AND	COST IN		
			(AFCE				1.5		
6. Personnel	PEI	,					JPPORTE		
Strength	OFF	ENL CIV			CIV	OFF		CIV	TOTAL
AS OF 30 SEP 08		IED DATA							Note 1
END OF FY 2014		IED DATA	†						
7. INVENTORY DAT						1	•	1	
a. Total Acreage:	,, (4000)								n/a
b. Inventory Total as	of: (30 s	Sep 08)							n/a
c. Authorization Not	•	• ,							n/a
d. Authorization Reg		•							22,000
f. Planned in Next F		•							48,800
g. Remaining Deficie									TBD
h. Grand Total:	- · · • j ·								70,800
									. 5,555
8. PROJECTS REQ	UESTFD	IN THIS PROGE	RAM:			(FY 201	10)		
CATEGORY						(COST	DESIGN	STATUS
CODE	PROJEC	T TITLE			SCOPE		\$,000	START	CMPL
141-784		er Terminal			5,017	SM		Design E	
					Total		22,000	_	- G G
							,		
9a. Future Projects:	Typical F	Planned Next Five	e Years						
211-152	Fighter H				4,754	SM	21,000)	
113-321	_	C Ramp Expan/	· ·			16,000			
141-232		ated Rigging Fac			3,100	SM	11,800)	
		00 0	,		Total		48,800		
9b. Real Property M	aintenanc	e Backlog This Ir	nstallatio	n:			n/a		
10. Mission or Major					- a multi-	purpose	wing that	supports :	a range of
missions to include: f		· ·	-	_			-		-
Expeditionary RED H	-	-	3	,				.,	
' ' ' '		•							
NOTE 1: Personnel r	numbers a	at a contingency l	location	are c	lassified,	therefore	e not provi	ided.	
		,					•		
11. Outstanding Poll	lution and	Safety (OSHA D	eficienc	ies):					
a. Air pollution									
b. Water Pollutio									
c. Occupational		d Health					N/A		
d. Other Environ							N/A		
	LIVI SIIII SIII SIII SIII SIII SIII SIII								

DD Form 1390, 9 Jul 02

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

BAGRAM AB, AFGHANISTAN

4. PROJECT TITLE

PASSENGER TERMINAL

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)
27576 141-784 ATUH100101 22,000

9. COST ESTIMATES

9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
PRIMARY FACILITIES				17,107	
PASSENGER TERMINAL	SM	5,017	3,313	(16,621)	
ANTERRIORISM FORCE PROTECTION	LS			(166)	
SDD & EPACT05	LS			(320)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				2,366	
DEMOLITION	LS			(582)	
UTILITIES	LS	j		(392)	
PAVEMENTS	LS	İ		(1,103)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(289)	
SUBTOTAL				19,473	
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				974	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				20,447	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (7.7%)				1,574	
TOTAL REQUEST				22,021	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				22,000)	
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)				(850	

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a 5,017 SM two-story preengineered metal building configured for a passenger terminal facility at Bagram AB, Afghanistan. Work will include site preparation, paved parking and baggage handling areas, paved access to the adjacent street and cargo handling area, foundation, facility erection, and all infrastructure and utilities necessary to make a complete and usable facility (including force protection, fire suppression/protection, and communications, as required). Project also includes demo and removal of existing (temporary) facilities. Pre-existing site meets force protection requirements. All construction will comply with applicable DoD force protection standards.

11. Requirement: 5017 SM Adequate: 0 SM Substandard: 929 SM

PROJECT: Construct a Passenger Terminal. (Current Mission)

REQUIREMENT: Provide an adequately sized and configured facility to meet the requirements for a secure Passenger Terminal at Bagram AB, Afghanistan. The facility should be sized to support rotational transitions for more than 18,000 personnel based at Bagram, personnel transiting through to other theater installations, temporary surges in personnel, and personnel on temporary duty to Bagram AB. The total area will be adequate to accommodate a peak 3-hr passenger loading of 800 personnel. This 3-hr load requires a Category III passenger terminal facility (min SM: 4,371; max SM: 7,430) based on the AMC Passenger Terminal Facility Design Guide. This project will provide climate-control for receiving and processing personnel; baggage, briefing, and holding areas; and service counters and administrative space for control and deployment support operations. The inbound layout will include space for the following functions: Air Terminal Operations Center (ATOC), LOGCAP Liaison Officer, Pass & ID, PERSCO, restrooms, briefing rooms, and storage. The outbound layout will include office space and secure areas for sterile passengers, customer service counter space,

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

May 2009

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE				
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)				
3. INSTALLATIO	ON AND LOCATION	D LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE			
BAGRAM AB, AFGHANISTAN PASSENGER TERMINAL			RMINAL		
5. PROGRAM ELI	EMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST (\$000)		
27576	141-784	ATUH100101	22,000		

restrooms, and both secured and unsecured passenger holding areas. The facility will integrate with the existing Strategic/Tactical Airlift Ramps and Cargo Handling Area at Bagram to provide comprehensive passenger and cargo operations, increasing efficiencies in airlift support.

CURRENT SITUATION: Bagram AB is the busiest military airfield in the Afghanistan theater. The current Passenger Terminal consists of an inadequately sized preengineered building that regularly requires passengers to wait for airflow outside (exposed to the harsh Afghanistan climate) or in the adjacent USO facility. Customs processing and secure holding areas have been forced into an adjacent and also undersized facility. The facilities have not been expanded to accommodate continuously-increasing through-put since they were planned in 2004. Bagram now acts as the main Reception, Staging, Onward Movement and Integration (RSOI) hub in Afghanistan processing over 25,000 personnel and 12,000 short tons of cargo per month. During peak periods, over 800 personnel transit through Bagram each day from a facility designed to handle only 250. Once personnel are manifested on an outbound flight, they must remain in the secure holding area. If flight delays occur, personnel are required to remain overnight or for several days, resulting in further overcrowding. In addition, infrastructure supporting the current terminal was not designed to handle its existing load. Vehicle parking and access for passenger buses, baggage transport trucks and forklifts currently consist of gravel-covered areas with poor stormwater drainage and poor traffic-flow. Roads in the area often become congested and/or (in inclement weather) severely degraded, subsequently delaying traffic accessing the nearby Strategic Airlift Ramp.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The current inadequately-sized facility will continue to operate as the main passenger terminal for Bagram, the Afghanistan theater's primary hub for staging and onward movement. Overcrowding will continue to force the expansion of personnel holding areas into outdoor, uncovered and poorly secured areas near heavy equipment operations and other traffic. Passenger reception and processing facilities will remain inadequately secured and geographically separated from the currently undersized main terminal, resulting in redundant and inefficient passenger processing into and out of the base (and theater); effective airlift support of personnel will continue to degrade. The goal of fully-integrated, secure passenger and cargo operations linking the airlift ramps, Cargo Handling Area, and reception facilities will not be met, resulting in inefficiencies and reduced mission capabilities.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements." An analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, new construction) was completed. It indicates there is only one option that will meet operational requirements; new construction. Sustainable principles will be integrated into design, development, and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423 and other applicable laws and Executive Orders. The project is supported in CENTCOM's Master Plan Priority List. No Host Nation assistance with construction costs is expected from the host nation; a Pre-Financing statement has been filed with NATO in case future use patterns indicate the possibility of cost-sharing. Civil Engineer: Maj John P. Baker; DSN 318-431-4410. Passenger Terminal: 5,017 SM = 54,000 SF.

<u>JOINT USE CERTIFICATION:</u> This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements.

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

1. COMPONENT		FY 2010	MILITARY	CONSTR	UCTION PROJECT	DATA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)					
3. INSTALLATI	ION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE						
BAGRAM AB, AFGHANISTAN PASSENGER TERMINAL							
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATI	EGORY COD	E 7. F	PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000		
27576		14	1-784		ATUH100101	22,000	
	'			•		•	

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

- a. Estimated Design Data:
 - (1) Project to be accomplished by design-build procedures
 - (2) Basis:
 - (a) Standard or Definitive Design NO
 - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used
 - (3) All Other Design Costs 1,100
 - (4) Construction Contract Award 09 DEC
 - (5) Construction Start 10 FEB
 - (6) Construction Completion 11 JUL
 - (7) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed YES
- b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations:

EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE	PROCURING APPROPRIATION	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED	COST (\$000)
FURNISHINGS AND EQUIPMENT	3400	2011	650
COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT	3080	2011	200

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

4 001450::=::=	-	-			001:0==				lo	
1. COMPONENT		FY 20	10 MII	LITARY	CONSTR	UCTION	N PROG	RAM	2. DATE	
AIR FORCE										
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. COMMAND:									CONST	
PALANQUERO AIR BASE, COLUMBIA						mand		COST IN		
								Not Avai		
Personnel	PE	RMANEN			<u> TUDENTS</u>		SU	PPORTE		
Strength	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
AS OF 30 SEP 08	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
END FY 2014	unk	unk	unk	unk	unk	unk	unk	unk	unk	unk
7. INVENTORY DA	TA (\$000	1)								
a. Total Acreage:	`	0								
b. Inventory Total a	s of : (30	Sep 08)								0
c. Authorization No	•									0
d. Authorization Re		•	ıram.							46,000
e. Authorization Inc	•	•	•	ogram.		(FY 201	1)			10,000
f. Planned in Next F			_	grain.		(1 1 201	',			0
g. Remaining Defic		o i rogiani								0
h. Grand Total:	icitoy.									46,000
ii. Giailu iolai.										40,000
0 DDO IECTO DEC	NICOTE	NIN THIC		D 4 1 4 .			/EV/ 004	٥)		
8. PROJECTS REC	AOE21EL	ו פוחו אוו כ	PRUG	KAIVI.			(FY 201	,	DECION	OT A TUIC
CATEGORY	DD0 150					00005			DESIGN	STATUS
CODE	PROJEC					SCOPE		\$,000	START	<u>CMPL</u>
141-753	Air Base	Developm	ent			4,312	SM	46,000		Sep-09
						Total		46,000		
9a. Future Projects	: Include	d in the Fo	ollowing	g Progra	am:		(FY2011	1)		
	None									
9b. Future Projects		Planned N	lext Fo	our Year	s:					
	None									
9c. Real Property N	/laintenan	ice Backlo	g This	Installa	tion: (\$M)					N/A
10. Mission or Majo	r Functio	ns: This C	oopera	ative Se	curity Loca	ation (CS	SL) enha	nces the	U. S. Glol	oal Defense
Posture (GDP) Stra										
strategy aligned with										
opportunity for cond										
supports mobility m										
available, and over					Critic Coi	itilionit, t	zxoopt tii	c cape i	ioiii iogioi	1, 11 1401 15
11. Outstanding Po					ocioc):					
	illution an	u Salety (JSHA	Deliciei	icies).			NI/A		
a. Air pollution								N/A		
h Matan Dallut								NI/A		
b. Water Polluti	ΙΟΠ							N/A		
. 0	10-4-4	الميم 1114						B 1 / A		
c. Occupational	i Safety a	nd Health						N/A		
d. Other Enviro	nmental							N/A		

DD Form 1390, 9 Jul 02

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA
AIR FORCE (computer generated)

2. DATE

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

PALANQUERO AB, COLOMBIA

4. PROJECT TITLE

AIR BASE DEVELOPMENT

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

27576

141-753

HWBF108000

46,000

۵.	COST	ESTIMA	TEC

9. COST ESTI	MATES	i		
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES				34,314
SQUAD OPS/ MAINTENANCE OPS FACILITY	SM	2,340	1,886	(4,413)
BILLETING/FEEDING FACILITY - 250 PERS	SM	1,172	2,113	(2,476)
PARKING APRON	SM	9,000	2,070	(18,630)
MID-FIELD TAXIWAY	SM	7,000	200	(1,400)
CARGO APRON REPAIR	SM	7,000	130	(910)
TAXIWAY SHOULDER CONS	SM	8,000	33	(264)
FUEL ROAD	SM	1,500	180	(270)
200,000 GAL TANK AND FUEL POINT	LS			(3,617)
AIRCRAFT FIRE RESCUE ADAL	SM	800	2,152	(1,722)
ANTI- TERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION - FACILITIES	LS			(612)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				6,615
UTILITIES	LS			(2,600)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(1,085)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(200)
COMMUNICATIONS	LS			(826)
FORCE PROTECTION/SECURITY	LS			(925)
STORM DRAINAGE	LS			(979)
SUBTOTAL				40,929
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				2,046
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				42,975
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.5%)				2,793
TOTAL REQUEST				45,769
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				46,000
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)				(4,200.0)
	1	1		

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and concrete floor slab, structural steel frame, standing seam metal roof, masonry exterior, fire detection/protection, utilities, pavements, site improvements, SCIF, communication support, and all other necessary support for structural facilities. Construct concrete apron capable of supporting strategic airlift aircraft and refueling capabilities; the POL system will include a 200,000 gal fuel storage tank and necessary piping and offloading capabilities. This project will comply with antiterrorism/force protection requirements identified in DoD Unified Facilities Criteria. Apron strategic airlift & refueling adjoining existing apron

Air Conditioning: 80 Tons

11. Requirement: 139312 SM Adequate: 0 SM Substandard: 9000 SM

PROJECT: Air Base Development. (Current Mission)

REQUIREMENT: A fully functional airfield and ramp is essential for supporting the U.S. mission in Columbia and throughout the United States Southern Command (USSOUTHCOM) Area of Responsibility (AOR). Establishing a Cooperative Security

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE						2. DATE
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE							
PALANQUERO AB	PALANQUERO AB, COLOMBIA AIR BASE DEVELOPMENT						
5. PROGRAM ELI	ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)					ST (\$000)	
27576 141-753 HWBF108000 46,000						00	

Location (CSL) at Palanquero best supports the COCOM's Theater Posture Strategy and demonstrates our commitment to this relationship. Development of this CSL provides a unique opportunity for full spectrum operations in a critical sub region of our hemisphere where security and stability is under constant threat from narcotics funded terrorist insurgencies, anti-US governments, endemic poverty and recurring natural disasters. This project will accommodate transport/supply, fueling and operational aircraft in the AOR. The outlined scope will construct approximately 135K SM of new strategic airlift capable apron with associated shoulders and appropriate airfield lighting and apron illumination systems, repair approximately 7K SM of existing cargo apron to meet strategic airlift and refueling aircraft requirements, construct approximately 8K SM of taxiway shoulders, and construct minimal necessary operational, maintenance, and operations support space to accommodate theater operations. This includes approximately 2300 SM of operations and maintenance space and 2000 SM of operational support space and augmenting the existing aircraft refueling infrastructure with an additional 200,000 gallons of storage capacity and two new truck refueling points. Appropriate extensions and upgrades of the existing airfield lighting, electrical, water, and waste water systems will also be accomplished. All vertical construction will include appropriate anti-terrorism and force protection upgrades in accordance with the theater threat level. Additionally, minimal upgrades to existing base security systems will be accomplished.

CURRENT SITUATION: Access to Columbia will further its strategic partnership with the United States. The strong security cooperation relationship also offers an opportunity for conducting full spectrum operations throughout South America to include mitigating the Counternarcotics capability. Palanquero is unquestionably the best site for investing in infrastructure development within Columbia. Its central location is within reach of Andean Ridge counter narco-terrorist operations areas; the superb runway and existing airfield facilities will reduce construction costs; its isolation maximizes Operational Security (OPSEC) and Force Protection and minimizes the U.S. military profile. The intent is to leverage existing infrastructure to the maximum extent possible, improve the U.S. ability to respond rapidly to crisis, and assure regional access and presence at minimum cost. Palanquero supports the mobility mission by providing access to the entire South American continent with the exception of the Cape Horn region if fuel is available, and over half of the continent unrefueled. Although the runway is fully capable of supporting strategic airlift and refueling airframes, the associated taxiway and ramp/apron areas are deficient and in their current configurations, severely limiting the extended operational capabilities of this location. Additionally, the operations and support facilities need to be expanded to service the U.S. aircraft anticipated in the area for future mission requirements. Limited operations could be accomplished with expeditionary resources utilizing the existing infrastructure, but sustained operations require minimal construction outlined in this document. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If these upgrades are not accomplished, it will severely limit the ability of USSOUTHCOM to support the U.S. Global Defense Posture (GDP) Strategy which directs development of a comprehensive and integrated presence and basing strategy aligned with the principles of developing relationships with partner nations, ensuring mutual benefits between US and partner nations, limited restrictions on U.S. freedom of action by partner nations and appropriate sharing of costs. Not funding this project will limit USSOUTHCOM to four other CSLs which are restricted to supporting aerial counter narcotics missions only and two other locations that, while not mission restricted, are too distant to accommodate mission requirements in the AOR.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements." A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project was done. It indicates there is only one option that will meet operational requirements; new construction, however, using existing

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY	2. DATE				
AIR FORCE	(comp					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE						
PALANQUERO AB,	PALANQUERO AB, COLOMBIA AIR BASE DEVELOPMENT					
5. PROGRAM ELE	EMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)				
27576	141-753	141-753 HWBF108000 46,000				

infrastructure to the maximum extent possible. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the design, development, and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423 and other applicable laws and Executive orders. Development of this CSL will further the strategic partnership forged between the U.S. and Columbia and is in the interest of both nations, and improves the U.S. ability to respond rapidly to crisis, and assuring regional access and presence at minimal cost. A presence will also increase our capability to conduct Intelligence, Surveillance and Reconnaissance (ISR), improve global reach, support logistics requirements, improve partnerships, improve theater security cooperation, and expand expeditionary warfare capability. (Squadron/Maintenance Operations Facility: 2,340 SM = 25,178 SF; Billeting/Dining Facility: 1,172 SM = 12,611 SF). JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements. Palanquero will provide joint use capability to U.S. Army, Air Force, Marine, and U. S. Interagency aircraft and personnel in addition to building partner capacity of the Columbian forces.

1. COMPONENT		FY 2010 MILITARY	CONSTRUC	TION PROJ	ECT DATA	2. DATE		
AIR FORCE	AIR FORCE (computer generated)							
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE								
PALANQUERO AB, COLOMBIA AIR BASE DEVELOPMENT								
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)								
.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,								
12. SUPPLEMEN								
a. Estimate	_	n Data:						
(1) Statu (a) Da		gn Started				14-MAY-08		
	-	C Cost Estimates us	sed to de	evelop co	sts	YES		
		omplete as of 01 JA				15%		
* (d) Da		-	1. 2005			18-MAR-09		
		gn Complete				30-SEP-09		
	7	-	vsis was	s/will be	performed	NO DEL 05		
(f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed NO								
(2) Basis								
		or Definitive Desig				NO		
(b) Wh	ere Des:	ign Was Most Recent	ly Used					
(3) Total	Cost ((a) = (a) + (b) or (a)	d) + (e) :		(\$000)		
(a) Pr	oduction	n of Plans and Spec	cificatio	ons		2,760		
(b) Al	1 Other	Design Costs				1,380		
(c) To	tal					4,140		
(d) Co	ntract					2,691		
(e) In	-house					1,449		
(4) Const	ruction	Contract Award				09 FEB		
(5) Const	ruction	Start				10 MAR		
(6) Const	ruction	Completion				13 AUG		
* Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.								
b. Equipmen	t assoc:	iated with this pro	oject pro	ovided fr	om other appro	priations:		
				F	ISCAL YEAR			
_			PROCURIN	G A	PPROPRIATED	COST		
EQUIPMENT	NOMENC	LATURE AF	PROPRIA:	rion o	R REQUESTED	(\$000)		
EQUIPMEN:	/FURNIS	HINGS	3400		2011	4,200		

COMPONENT AIR FORCE		F`	Y 2010	MILITAR	CONS	TRU	JCTION	PROGF	RAM	2. DATE	
	3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. COMMAND:								5. AREA	CONST	
RAMSTEIN AIR BASE (KMC), UNITED STATES AIR							FORC	ES	COST IN	IDEX	
GERMANY				IN EURO	PE				1.19		
6. Personnel	Р	ERMAN	NENT	STU	DENTS			SU	PPORTE	D	
Strength	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL		CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
AS OF 30 SEP 08		5,674	,	(0	0	137			11,015
END FY 2014		5,337	2,605	()	0	0	139	1152	200	11,449
7. INVENTORY DAT	A (\$000	,									
a. Total Acreage:		5,114									7 740 700
b. Inventory Total as											7,712,780
c. Authorization Not		-									291,009
d. Authorization Requ			-	n:							34,700
f. Planned in Next Fi		rs Prog	ram:								93,900
g. Remaining Deficie	ency:									•	487,810
h. Grand Total:											8,620,199
8. PROJECTS REQU	IESTEI) INI TH	IS PRO)CRAM:				(FY 201	٥)		
CATEGORY	JESTEL	ווו ווו כ	113 1 100	JGIVAIVI.				(1 1 201		DESIGN	STATUS
	PROJE	CT TIT	1 E				SCOPE	•	\$,000		CMPL
				quip Maint	Comple		4,000	SM	11,500		Sep-09
	-			se Group (•		7,700	SM	23,200		Sep-09
141 404	Conting	jeriey i	Сорон	oc Croup (Jompou	i i u	Total	Oivi	34,700	-	OCP 00
							. Otal		0 1,1 00		
9a. Future Projects:	Typical	Planne	ed Next	Five Year	s:						
_	Dormito						4,480	SM	18,500		
411-128	Deicing	, Fluid S	Storage	& Dispen	sing Fac	cility	300	CM	2,600		
721-312	Dormito	ory (192	2 RM)		_	_	6,720	SM	28,200		
721-312	Dormito	ory (192	2 RM)				6,720	SM	29,000		
141-753	37 AS \$	Squad (OPS/AI	MU			3,561	SM	15,600	_	
							Total		93,900	='	
9b. Real Propery Ma											98
10. Mission or Major											
Air Forces in Europe,											
tactical airlift within th				-			•				
composed of C-130s	for tacti	ical airli	ft, a C-	40, C-20s	& C-21s	for	DV airl	ift throug	ghout Eur	ope, Africa	a, and the
Middle East.		10.61	(0.01								
11. Outstanding pollu	ution an	d Safet	y (OSF	IA Deficier	icies):				0		
a. Air pollution:									0		
b. Water Pollution	n:								0		
c. Occupational S	Safety o	nd ∐oo	ulth						0		
c. Occupational S	oaiety a	пи пеа	11 (11						U		
d. Other Environr	mental:								0		

DD Form 1390, 24 Jul 00

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA
AIR FORCE (computer generated)

2. DATE

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

RAMSTEIN AIR BASE, GERMANY

4. PROJECT TITLE

CONTINGENCY RESPONSE GROUP COMPOUND

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

27576 141-454 TYFR0530402 23,200

9. COST ESTIMATES							
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)			
DDTWDW HAGTITHTEG				17, 206			
PRIMARY FACILITIES				17,206			
SPECIAL EQUIPMENT STORAGE & MAINTENANCE	SM	1,674	1,596	(2,672)			
ARMORY	SM	450	3,210	(1,445)			
SPECIAL VEHICLE MAINTENANCE & STORAGE	SM	1,664	2,185	(3,636)			
TRAINING & PROCESSING	SM	3,912	2,170	(8,489)			
INTERIOR COMMUNICATION SUPPORT	LS			(480)			
SDD & EPACT05	SM	7,700	42	(323)			
ANTITERRORISM FORCE PROTECTION	SM	7,700	21	(162)			
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				3,538			
UTILITIES	LS			(835)			
SITE DEVELOPMENT & IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(879)			
PASSIVE FORCE PROTECTION MEASURES	LS			(310)			
ENVIRONMENTAL SUPPORT	LS			(175)			
DEMOLITION OF BUILDING # 2090	SM	875	414	(362)			
PAVEMENTS & ROADS	SM	6,300	109	(687)			
EXTERIOR COMMUNICATION SUPPORT	LS			(290)			
SUBTOTAL				20,744			
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				1,037			
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				21,781			
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.5%)				1,416			
TOTAL REQUEST				23,197			
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				23,200			
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)				(550.0)			

10. Description of Proposed Construction: All civil, structural, mechanical, electrical, fire prevention/alarm and communication supporting work necessary for the construction of a Contingency Response Group (CRG) compound, including space for training and personnel processing, special equipment storage and maintenance, parachute rigging, an armory for weapon storage, vehicle operations and parking area. Project consists of masonry or modular constructed facilities with sloped roofing systems on concrete foundation and floor slab, area roads including surrounding fence with entry gate and area lighting system, as well as demolition of facilities. Work shall include all other necessary support and must be in compliance with current US Air Force and German regulations and standards. This project will comply with DoD and EUCOM antiterrorism/force protection requirements identified in DoD unified facilities criteria.

Air Conditioning: 10 Tons

11. Requirement: 8660 SM Adequate: 960 SM Substandard: 7583 SM

PROJECT: Contingency Response Group Compound (Current Mission).

REQUIREMENT: Compound is required to accommodate command, administration, intelligence, planning and communications for the 86th Contingency Response Group (CRG); the first rapid deployment unit of its kind. Project consolidates dispersed

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY	DATA 2. DATE				
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE						
RAMSTEIN AIR	BASE, GERMANY	CONTINGENCY I	RESPONSE GROUP COMPOUND			
5. PROGRAM ELI	ROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)					
27576	27576 141-454 TYFR0530402 23,200					

86 CRG temporary offices, many at Sembach AB, to locate them closer to the primary departure point at Ramstein AB and improve response times. This phase includes equipment storage, vehicle operations, parachute rigging, training and processing areas, and an armory near the CRG Headquarters building to be fully prepared for all deployment and training responsibilities. The first phase included the CRG Headquarters; was funded in FY00 with Kosovo Supplemental Funds and completed in FY03. Project must comply with safety and regional antiterrorism force protection standards.

CURRENT SITUATION: The 86th Air Mobility Squadron portion is currently housed in a substandard hangar constructed in 1953 and a hardened aircraft shelter with no heat, running water or restrooms. The 786th Security Forces Squadron is located at Sembach AB, approximately 20 miles away in five different facilities. Latest contingency deployments resulted in poor response times because it took too long to gather personnel and equipment from these dispersed facilities and move them to the departure point at the Ramstein AB flightline. The longer it takes to get CRG on the scene for the mission, the less prepared the staging base is for follow-on Expeditionary Air Forces (EAF) fighting the war on terrorists in USAFE's area of interest.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Without adequate facilities, the 86 CRG mission to train, equip and deploy with speed, precision and lethality USAFE's initial, first on the scene operational and support force, cannot be accomplished in the required time frame dictated by operational plans. Furthermore the assessment and preparation of a staging base for EAF deploying in response to any contingency or wartime mission in USAFE's area of interest will be hindered due to required extensive personnel and equipment movements from various scattered locations up to 20 miles away from the Ramstein Mobility Processing Center. Personnel will be forced to continue working out of substandard, dispersed facilities; demoralizing personnel and possibly jeopardizing overall mission success due to vulnerable communication links between the different sections.

ADDITIONAL: This is Phase 2 of 2 phases and concludes the full 86 CRG requirement. It is currently ineligible for NATO funding, however a precautionary prefinance statement will be submitted in the event eligibility is established. The unit is an integral part of the NATO Response Force and played a key role during exercise "Steadfast Jaguar." Documentation is primarily based on user input and the Ramstein AB Flight Line Area Development Plan dated June 2000; the goal is to develop a high efficiency flight line for Ramstein's new role as USAFE's strategic/tactical airlift hub, processing personnel from all US Forces for contingency and wartime operations. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements." A preliminary analysis of reasonable options was done. Only one option meets operational requirements; new construction. An Economic Analysis has been prepared. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the design, development and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423 and other applicable laws and Executive Orders. Special Vehicle Maintenance & Storage Facility: 1,664SM = 17,905SF, Training & Processing Facility: 3,912SM = 42,093SF, Special Equipment Storage & Maintenance Facility: 1,674SM = 18,012SF, Armory: 450SM = 4,842SF. Base Civil Engineer: Col. Richard J. Wheeler, 011-49-6371-47-6228.

FOREIGN CURRENCY: FCF Budget Rate Used: EURO-DOLLAR .7737

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis, however the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE							
AIR FORCE	AIR FORCE (computer generated)							
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE								
RAMSTEIN AIR	BASE, GI	ERMANY		CONTINGENCY	RESPONSE GROU	IP COMPOUND		
	•							
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)		
27576		141-454	TYF	R0530402	23,	200		
12. SUPPLEMEN	TAL DATA	A:						
a. Estimate	d Design	n Data:						
(1) Statu	s:							
. ,		gn Started			15	-JUN-08		
(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs YES								
* (c) Pe	rcent Co	omplete as of 01 JAN	1 2009			15%		
* (d) Da	te 35% 1	Designed			18	-MAR-09		
(e) Da	te Desig	gn Complete			30	-SEP-09		
(f) En	ergy St	udy/Life-Cycle analy	sis was	s/will be per	formed	YES		
(2) Basis	:							
(a) St	andard o	or Definitive Design	ı -			NO		
(b) Wh	ere Des	ign Was Most Recentl	y Used					
(3) Total	Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d	l) + (e)	:		(\$000)		
(a) Pr	oduction	n of Plans and Speci	fication	ons		1,392		
(b) Al	.1 Other	Design Costs				696		
(c) Total						2,088		
(d) Contract 1,740						1,740		
(e) In	-house					348		
(4) Const	ruction	Contract Award				10 FEB		
(5) Construction Start 10 MAR						10 MAR		

- * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.
- b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations:

EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE	PROCURING APPROPRIATION	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED	COST (\$000)
SHELVING SYSTEM	3080	2010	380
LAN EQUIPMENT	3400	2010	80
TELEPHONES	3400	2010	90

(6) Construction Completion

12 MAR

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA
AIR FORCE (computer generated)

2. DATE

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

RAMSTEIN AIR BASE, GERMANY

4. PROJECT TITLE

CONSTRUCT AEROSPACE GROUND EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE COMPLEX

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

27576 218-712 TYFR053037 11,500

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES				8,649
MAINTENANCE FACILITY	SM	1,360	2,804	•
COVERED STORAGE	SM	2,400	1,386	
OPEN STORAGE WITH OIL/WATER SEPARATOR	SM	2,400	145	(35)
	EA	1	1,053,889	, , ,
JP-8 FUELING STATION WITH STORAGE TANK		_	1,055,669	
INTERIOR COMMUNICATION SUPPORT	LS	 		(306)
SDD & EP ACT 05	LS			(76)
ANTITERRORISM FORCE PROTECTION	LS			(38)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				1,656
UTILITIES	LS	<u> </u>		(460)
STORMWATER DRAINAGE	LS			(81)
EXTERIOR COMMUNICATION SUPPORT	LS			(244)
WASHRACK WITH FLUID RECYCLING SYSTEM	LS			(95)
DEMOLITION	SM	2,537	129	(327)
ENVIRONMENTAL SUPPORT	LS			(30)
PASSIVE FORCE PROTECTION MEASURES	LS			(71)
ROADS & PAVEMENTS	SM	3,742	69	(258)
SITE DEVELOPMENT & IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(90)
SUBTOTAL				10,306
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				515
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				10,821
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD ((6.5%)			703
TOTAL REQUEST				11,524
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				11,500
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)				(211.0)

^{10.} Description of Proposed Construction: Project consists of masonry and prefabricated metal constructed facilities with sloped roofing systems on concrete foundation and floor slab, as well as JP-8 Equipment Refueling station. Includes demolition of five facilities, an access road, and a wash-rack. All civil, structural, mechanical, electrical, fire prevention/alarm and communication supporting work necessary to construct an Aerospace Ground Equipment Flight Maintenance Complex. Scope includes all other necessary support and must be in compliance with current US Air Force and German regulations. Includes antiterrorism/force protection requirements as per DoD Unified Facilities Criteria.

REQUIREMENT: Permanent facilities of adequate size and configuration are required to consolidate dispersed Aerospace Ground Equipment (AGE) functions at the busiest airlift hub in the US Air Force transportation infrastructure for Europe, Africa,

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

^{11.} Requirement: 3760 SM Adequate: 0 SM Substandard: 2537 SM

PROJECT: Construct Aerospace Ground Equipment Maintenance Complex. (Current Mission)

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE						
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)					
3. INSTALLATIO							
RAMSTEIN AIR	BASE, GERMANY	CONSTRUCT AEROSPACE GROUND EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE COMPLEX					
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT 6. CATEGORY	CODE 7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)		
27576	218-712	. T	7FR053037	000			

Asia and the Middle East. AGE facilities are an essential component of aircraft operations; at Ramstein required to support AGE activities for the following aircraft: C-141, C-5, C-17, C-130, KC-10, KC-135, C-20, C-21 and numerous other transient aircraft deployed to the European theater of operations. The types of equipment requiring repair, servicing, maintenance and storage include powered and non-powered units. Ramstein's AGE unit plays a critical role supporting airlift operations for deployment and reception of Army and Air Force personnel and equipment within the European Command and Middle East arena.

CURRENT SITUATION: Conversion of this base from a fighter base to an airlift base, as well as the transition of the Rhein-Main mission, have made the current facilities inadequate to meet the needs of the Air Force and the 86th Airlift Wing. Two current facilities are scheduled for demolition due to Rhein Main Transition Program (RMTP) construction projects. The three Combat AGE Teams (CAT's) are geographically separated; severely degrading command and control of all AGE operations on base. The occupied primary facilities were not designed as AGE facilities, necessitating modification, self-help and continual workarounds in order to meet mission requirements. Additionally, two facilities are located approximately 450+ meters off the flight line, requiring equipment movement along public streets and through open parking lots to transport equipment between the shop and aircraft parking areas. A third building does not have drive-through capability requiring personnel to manually push large, heavy equipment in and out of the shop. The non-availability of a dedicated wash-rack forces equipment to be cleaned with portable high-pressure steam cleaners within the existing shop facilities. Contaminated water, dirt, and debris created by the process pose a significant hazard to both personnel and equipment that are forced to work in close proximity to the wash operations, as well as creating environmental concerns. The lack of covered storage area forces technicians to work outdoors 24 hours a day, 7 days a week regardless of weather conditions in order to perform minor maintenance. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Without adequate shop facilities, covered storage and other support facilities, personnel and equipment will be forced to continue operations in substandard and hazardous environments. The existing, as well as new arising workarounds, will continue to prove themselves as mission detractors. Personnel health and welfare will continue to be at high risk as they are forced to work exposed to harsh climate, especially during winter season. The unit will be forced to maintain separate production sections, degrading operational capability. ADDITIONAL: Project is eligible for NATO funding and will be conjunctively funded. The NATO funded portion (\$2.2M) provides for an austere facility constructed to meet NATO's minimum military requirement. The US cost share provides for facility features required for US quality standards, features not required by NATO as well as requirements driven by regulations and codes, such as AT/FP, ADA, and LEEDs. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in AFH 32-1084, "Facility Requirements". A preliminary analysis of reasonable options was done and indicated that only one option meets operational requirements. Therefore an economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the design, development and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 12423 and other applicable laws and executive orders. Base Civil Engineer: Col. Richard J. Wheeler, 011-49-6371-47-6228. Maintenance Facility 1,360 SM = 14,634 SF, Covered Storage 2,400 SM = 25,824 SF, Open Storage 240 SM = 2,582 SF, JP-8 Equipment

FOREIGN CURRENCY: FCF Budget Rate Used: EURO-DOLLAR .7737

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements.

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Fueling Station 45 CM = 11,888 GA.

Previous editions are obsolete.

1. COMPONENT		FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA							
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)							
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE									
RAMSTEIN AIR	ID EQUIPMENT								
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)			
27576		218-712	TY	FR053037	11,	500			
12. SUPPLEMEN	TAL DATA	ι:			•				

- a. Estimated Design Data:
 - (1) Status:

	(a)	Date Design Started	15-JUN-08
	(b)	Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs	YES
*	(c)	Percent Complete as of 01 JAN 2009	15%
*	(d)	Date 35% Designed	18-MAR-09
	(e)	Date Design Complete	30-SEP-09
	(f)	Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed	YES

(2) Basis:

- (a) Standard or Definitive Design NO
 (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used
- (3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): (\$000)
 (a) Production of Plans and Specifications 690
 (b) All Other Design Costs 345
 (c) Total 1,035
 (d) Contract 863
 (e) In-house 173
- (4) Construction Contract Award 10 FEB
- (5) Construction Start 10 MAR
- (6) Construction Completion 11 SEP
- * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.
- b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations:

	PROCURING	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED	COST	
EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE	APPROPRIATION	OR REQUESTED	(\$000)	
HOISTS	3400	2011	53	
COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT	3400	2011	47	
FREQUENCY CONVERTER	3080	2011	111	

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 20 ⁻	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM 2. DATE								
3. INSTALLATION A SPANGDAHLEM AIR		ATION		4. COMMAND: 5. AREA CONST UNITED STATES AIR COST INDEX							
GERMANY			_		S, EUF			1.18			
6. Personnel		RMANENT			TUDEN			JPPORTE			
Strength	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF		CIV	OFF	+	CIV	TOTAL	
AS OF 30 SEP 08	481	3,770	•		0	0	0		1,486		802
END FY 2014	481	3,770			0	A (\$000)	0	0	1,486	0,0	802
a. Total Acreage:		1,613	7. IIN	VENTO	RTDAI	Α (ΦΟΟΟ)					
b. Inventory Total as	of : (30	,								\$2,584,9	184
c. Authorization Not										\$27,8	
d. Authorization Requ		•	am:							\$23,5	
f. Planned in Next Fi			aiii.							\$45,5	
g. Remaining Deficie		s Program.									
h. Grand Total:	iicy.									\$39,5 \$2,721,4	
ii. Grand rotal.										φ ∠ ,/∠1,4	10
8. PROJECTS REQU	JESTED	IN THIS P	ROGR	AM:			(FY 201	10)			
CATEGORY							(0 .	,	DESIGN	STATUS	s
	PRO.IF	CT TITLE				SCOPE			START	CMPL	Ŭ
	Fitness (6,505	SM	\$23,500		Sep-09	9
		0011101				Total	0	\$23,500		COP C	
								4 _0,000			
9a. Future Projects:	Typical I	Planned Ne	ext Five	e Years	:						
730-784	ADAL E	lementary/N	Middle	School		2,600	SM	\$17,709			
730-785	High Scl	hool Compl	ex			13,011	SM	\$27,855	_		
						Total		\$45,564	-		
9a. Real Property Ma										120	
10. MISSION OR MA	JOR FU	NCTIONS:	A US	SAFE in	stallatio	n that is h	ome to	the larges	t fighter c	peration i	n
Germany. In addition	, Spange	dahlem AB	is the	home o	of the 72	6 Air Mob	ility Squ	adron. A	host Figh	ter Wing	
commands three fight	ter squa	drons flying	F-16	C&Ds a	nd OA/	A-10s, an	air conti	rol squadr	on and a	n air mobil	lity
squadron flying C-17	and othe	er larger ca	rgo pla	anes.							
11. OUTSTANDING	POLLUT	TON AND S	SAFET	Y DEFI	CIENCI	ES:					
a. Air pollution:								0			
b. Water Pollution							0				
a Coounational Safety and Health											
c. Occupational Safety and Health 0											
d. Other Environr	mental							0			
d. Other Environ	nontai							O			

DD Form 1390, 24 Jul 00

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA
AIR FORCE (computer generated)

2. DATE

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION SPANGDAHLEM AIR BASE, GERMANY

4. PROJECT TITLE FITNESS CENTER

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

27576 740-674 VYHK043100 23,500

9. COST ESTIMATES

9. COST ESTI	MATES	•		
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES				17,560
FITNESS CENTER MAIN	SM	5,362	2,610	(13,995)
GYMNASIUM	SM	1,143	2,610	(2,983)
SDD & EP ACT 05	SM	6,505	52	(338)
ANTITERRORISM / FORCE PROTECTION	SM	6,505	26	(169)
INTERIOR COMMUNICATION SUPPORT	LS			(75)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				3,462
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(600)
PASSIVE FORCE PROTECTION MEASURES	LS			(130)
UTILITIES	LS			(400)
PAVEMENT	LS			(630)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	LS			(1,080)
DEMOLITION OF BLDG 152	SM	2,767	100	(277)
EXTERIOR COMMUNICATION SUPPORT	LS			(345)
SUBTOTAL				21,022
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				1,051
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				22,073
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.5%)				1,435
TOTAL REQUEST				23,508
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				23,500
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)				(250.0)

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a new fitness center facility with gymnasium and indoor running track for a total of 6,505 SM (70K SF). This project includes the following: foundation, structure, all utilities, lighting, parking, outdoor running track, sports field, landscaping, site improvements, fire alarm/suppression systems, communications, demolition of the inadequate part of the old fitness center building 152 and all other necessary work. The new facility will include space for functions as authorized in the design guide; lobby, administrative offices, support areas, cardio and weight rooms, locker rooms, a gymnasium with basketball court, group exercise rooms, fitness equipment spaces, racquetball courts, indoor running track and a Health and Wellness Center (HAWC). This project will be designed and constructed in compliance with EUCOM Anti-Terrorism/Force Protection (AT/FP) standards and will comply with DoD force protection requirements per the Unified Facilities Criteria.

Air Conditioning: 160 Tons

11. Requirement: 8569 SM Adequate: 2064 SM Substandard: 2767 SM

PROJECT: Fitness Center (Current Mission).

REQUIREMENT: Construct a modern, adequately sized and properly configured fitness center to conduct comprehensive and balanced physical fitness programs that are required by Spangdahlem Air Base personnel and their dependents. Construction of this new facility must provide Spangdahlem's Airmen the proper environment to become physically conditioned in order to meet Spangdahlem's peace and wartime

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE							
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE								
SPANGDAHLEM AIR BASE, GERMANY					FITNESS CENTER			
5. PROGRAM ELI	EMENT	6. CATEGO	ORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)	
27576		740-	674	VY	THK043100	23,5	00	

missions, in addition to greatly improving the quality of life for Spangdahlem's personnel and their families. Provide safe fitness programs designed to focus on aerobic exercise, mental and physical health and indoor recreational activities which enable our Airmen to maintain compliance with Air Force fitness standards.

CURRENT SITUATION: Spangdahlem's fitness center is the oldest in USAFE, the second oldest overseas facility and was rated the fifth worst facility in the Air Force by the 2000 Air Force Fitness Center Survey (Note: The first four bases all have received new fitness centers). The age of this facility has led to unreliable mechanical and electrical systems. Additionally, the facility was located within the base's high risk quantity-distance (Q-D) explosive zone. This safety hazard has forced the installation to decrease its mission capabilities by reducing the size of munitions loaded on aircraft in that area. The current Spangdahlem fitness center is only 4,831 SM (52K SF), which is insufficient. The space deficiency has created an overcrowded environment for base personnel, which has resulted in Spangdahlem's Airmen rating physical fitness areas as their #1 Quality of Life issue. Additionally, the lack of space in the fitness center and the average 250-plus days of inclement weather makes it impossible for squadrons to create effective physical fitness programs.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The Spangdahlem population will continue to operate in a Korean War Era fitness center that is smaller than authorized. Testing, training and indoor/outdoor sports will continue to be hindered by the lack of space. The installation will continue to limit their war-fighting capabilities by reducing the size of munitions loaded onto aircraft in order to keep the fitness center out of the high risk Q-D explosive zone.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the scope/criteria specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facilities Requirements" and the Fitness Center Design Guide. This project is not eligible for NATO funding. Because the renovation costs significantly exceed the replacement costs, a full economic analysis was not completed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the design, development, and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423 and other applicable laws and Executive orders. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Kathryn L. Kolbe, 011-49-6565-61-6302. Fitness Center: 6,505 SM = 69,994 SF.

FOREIGN CURRENCY: FCF Budget Rate Used: EURO-DOLLAR .7737

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility is programmed for joint use with all other military components; however, it is fully funded by the Air Force.

1. COMPONENT		FY 2010 MILITARY C	CONSTRUC	TION PROJECT	DATA	2. DATE	
AIR FORCE		(comput	er gene	rated)			
3. INSTALLATI	ON AND I	OCATION		4. PROJECT	TITLE		
SPANGDAHLEM A	IR BASE,	GERMANY		FITNESS CEN	TER		
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)	
27576		740-674	VYHK043100 23,500				
12. SUPPLEMEN	TAL DATA	Λ:	1				
a. Estimate	d Design	n Data:					
(1) Statu	s:						
(a) Da	te Desig	gn Started			15	-JUN-08	
(b) Pa	rametri	C Cost Estimates us	ed to de	evelop costs		YES	
* (c) Pe	rcent Co	omplete as of 01 JA	N 2009			15%	
* (d) Da	te 35% I	Designed			18	-MAR-09	
(e) Da	te Desig	gn Complete			30	-SEP-09	
(f) En	ergy Sti	udy/Life-Cycle anal	ysis was	s/will be per	formed	YES	
` ,	andard o	or Definitive Desig ign Was Most Recent				NO	
(3) Total	Cost (d	e) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e)	:		(\$000)	
		n of Plans and Spec				1,410	
		Design Costs				705	
(c) To						2,115	
	ntract					1,763	
	-house					353	
(4) Const	ruction	Contract Award				10 FEB	
(5) Const	ruction	Start				10 MAR	
(6) Const	ruction	Completion				12 MAR	
which i	s compai	letion of Project D rable to traditiona rability.					
b. Equipmen	t assoc	iated with this pro	ject pro	ovided from o	other appropri	ations:	
EQUIPMEN:	r nomenc		PROCURIN PROPRIAT	G APPRO	AL YEAR PRIATED EQUESTED	COST (\$000)	
EQUIPMEN:	r		3400	2	2011	250	

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 2	010 M	ILITAR	CONSTF	RUCTIO	N PROC	SRAM	2. DATE	
		ON		СОММ	A NID:			IE ADEA (CONCT	
INSTALLATION AND		ON						5. AREA		
ANDERSEN AIR BA	SE			PACIFI	C AIR CO	MMAND)	COST IND		
GUAM								2.64		
6. Personnel	PEI	RMANENT	-	S	FUDENTS		SL	JPPORTED		
Strength	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
AS OF 30 SEP 08	153	1,522	378		0	0	0	1		2,053
END FY 2014	152	1,496	377		0	ő	0			2,025
		1,430	011	U	U	U			U U	2,025
7. INVENTORY DAT	ιΑ (Φυυυ)	44.000								
Total Acreage:		11,096								
Inventory Total as of		•								4,831,300
Authorization Not Ye		•								45,815
Authorization Reques	sted in this	s Program:								58,202
Planned in Next Five	Years Pro	ogram:								497,049
Remaining Deficienc	V:									0
Grand Total:	,								-	5,432,366
8. PROJECTS REQ	UESTED	IN THIS P	ROGR	PAM.			(FY 201	0)		-, -,-,-,-
CATEGORY				v 11¥1.			, <u>2</u> 01	COST	DESIGN	STATUS
	ספט יבט	T TITI C				600DE				
CODE	PROJEC		٠	4 \		SCOPE	014	\$,000	START	CMPL
214-425		Combat S			•		SM	\$15,500	May-08	Sep-09
610-127	NW Field	l Comman	do Wa	rrior Op:	s Facility (498	SM	\$4,200	May-08	Sep-09
872-247	NW Field	I ATFP Pei	rimeter	Fence/	Road (TFI	5,182	LM	\$4,752	May-08	Sep-09
813-231	STRIKE I	FOL Electr	ical Inf	frastruct	ure	1	LS	\$33,750	May-08	Sep-09
						Total		\$58,202	•	·
								, ,		
9a. Future Projects:	Typical F	Dlanned Ne	vt Five	Veare.						
141-753		L Tanker/l				4 004	SM	\$8,300		
				•	•	4,094				
841-427		L South R	•			1	LS	\$11,800		
219-944		Expeditio				1,515	SM	\$7,200		
219-943		RED HOP			,	1,737	SM	\$8,500		
721-311	NW Field	I AEF Dorr	nitory ((Student	/Basic Tra	1,485	SM	\$10,000		
821-215	NW Field	I AEF Sate	llite Di	ning Fac	cility (TFI)	797	SM	\$6,000		
141-782	Air Freigh	nt Termina	Comp	olex		3,062	SM	\$17,200		
131-111		ated Comn				4,383	SM	\$16,000		
422-264		Munitions			s Ph 2	2,090	SM	\$5,349		
730-443		ervice Cent		go igioo	0, 1 11 2	481	SM	\$3,400		
740-675		ducation C		v		1,180	SM	\$9,600		
	•		•			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
100-001)L - Varioι				1	LS	\$125,000		
100-001)L - Varioι				1	LS	\$151,100		
100-001	Strike FC)L - Varioι	ıs Faci	lities		1	LS	\$117,600	_	
						Total		\$497,049		
9b. Real Propery Ma	aintenance	e Backlog	This In	stallatio	n: (\$M)					129
10. Mission or Major	Function	s: An air b	ase wii	ng hosti	ng Headai	arters T	hirteent	h Air Force.	an Air Mo	bility
Command air mobilit				•	•					•
and an contingency r		-	opt	с. сарр	on oquadi		····· 100)	, 20 40	a	and group
and an contingency i	coporioc (g.oup.								
11. Outstanding poll	ution and	Safaty (O	ט אוו	oficiono	00).					
	uliUII dIIU	Jaiety (US	אווכ אווכ	encienci	ნ ა <i>)</i> .			^		
a. Air pollution								0		
								=		
b. Water Pollution	n							0		
	0 ()	1.11						_		
c. Occupational	Satety and	d Health						0		
d Other Environ	montal							^		
d. Other Environ	mental							0		
DD Farms 1200 04 li										

DD Form 1390, 24 Jul 00

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE AIR FORCE (computer generated)

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

4. PROJECT TITLE

ANDERSEN AIR FORCE BASE, GUAM

NW FIELD COMBAT SUPPORT VEHICLE MAINT FACILITY (TFI)

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

27576 214-425 SAKW059100 15,500

9. COST ESTIMATES

9. COS1 ES11	MAIDS)		
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITY				11,263
NW FIELD COMBAT SUPPORT VEHICLE MAINT FAC	SM	2,308	4,738	(10,935)
SDD & EP ACT 05	SM	2,308	95	(219)
ANTITERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION	SM	2,308	47	(108)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				2,815
UTILITIES	LS			(275)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(400)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS	j j		(790)
COMMUNICATIONS	LS	j j		(150)
PARKING AND STORAGE	LS	İ		(850)
ENVIRONMENTAL REMEDIATION	LS	ĺ		(250)
ARCHEOLOGICAL MONITORING	LS			(100)
SUBTOTAL				14,078
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				704
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				14,782
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.2%)				916
TOTAL REQUEST				15,698
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				15,500)
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)				(75

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a one story building with reinforced concrete foundation, floor slab, walls, and roof. The facility will include offices, repair shops, briefing/training area, storage areas, mechanical spaces, fire suppression/detection, intrusion detection system, environmental controls, utilities, pavements, parking, hazardous materials abatement, communications, environmental and archaeological monitoring and all necessary supporting facilities for a complete and usable facility. This project will comply with DoD antiterrorism/force protection requirements per unified facilities criteria. The facility must be able to withstand 190 mile-per-hour typhoon winds for doors, windows, roofs (170 mile-per-hour for other structural elements) and Seismic Zone 4 earthquake criteria.

Air Conditioning: 50 Tons

11. Requirement: 2308 SM Adequate: 0 SM Substandard: 0 SM

PROJECT: NW Field Combat Support Vehicle Maintenance Facility. (Current Mission)

REQUIREMENT: Project is required to support beddown of Combat Communications,

Commando Warrior, RED HORSE and Silver Flag at the Northwest Field Area of Andersen

AFB, Guam in support of the re-stationing initiative within the PACAF Theater to

meet United States Government and Government of Korea goals outlined in the United

States Forces Korea (USFK) Strategic Policy Initiative (SPI) directives to reduce

U.S. forces on the Korean Peninsula. This is a mission beddown to a location where

no unit of this type exists and no existing facilities are available for use.

CURRENT SITUATION: The NW Field area, an abandoned WWII B-29 airfield overgrown by

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

May 2009

1. COMPONENT	FY	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA							
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)							
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE									
ANDERSEN AIR FORCE BASE, GUAM NW FIELD COMBAT SUPPORT VE FACILITY (TFI)						HICLE MAINT			
5. PROGRAM ELI	EMENT 6.	CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	ST (\$000)				
27576		214-425	SZ	AKW059100	00				

jungle vegetation, has no facilities that can meet this mission requirement.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: This project is critical to maintain mission ready status for Combat Communication, Commando Warrior, Red HORSE and Silver Flag squadrons relocating to the Northwest Field area of Andersen AFB. This facility will provide the only available on-site combat support vehicle maintenance facility and will be shared by the various AEF squadrons to maintain mission ready status. Without this facility, these units will not be able to adequately maintain their support vehicles resulting in their squadron's missions being severely compromised.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084 'Facility Requirements.' Supporting facility costs of primary facility are due to the need to install utilities; water, sanitary sewer, communications, and

32-1084 'Facility Requirements.' Supporting facility costs of primary facility are due to the need to install utilities; water, sanitary sewer, communications, and electricity; and pavement connecting this project site to those utilities being installed under separate FY07 and FY08 projects. Additional site improvement costs support the extensive clearing and grubbing necessitated by the overgrown jungle vegetation and the additional earthwork required to prepare the site for construction. Furthermore, due to extensive use of this facility in WWII, the anticipated site remediation and archaeological monitoring costs contribute significantly to the supporting facility costs. Also, additional parking area pavement is included in this project to provide vehicle storage space for the different units that will share this facility. A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project has (status quo, renovation, upgrade/removal, new construction) was done. It indicates there is only one option that will meet operational requirements. A certificate of exception has been prepared. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the design, development, and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423 and other applicable laws and Executive orders. Base Civil Engineer: LtCol Peter A. Ridilla, (671) 366-7101. Vehicle Maintenance Facility: 2,308 SM = 24,843 SF

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements. This project supports Total Force Integration initiatives.

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

1. COMPONENT		FY 2010 MILITAR	Y CONSTR	UCTION PROJ	JECT :	DATA	2	. DATE	
AIR FORCE		(com	puter ge	nerated)					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE									
ANDERSEN AIR	ASE, GUAM	NW FIELD (T SUPPORT VER	IIC	LE MAINT			
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CO	DE 7. P	ROJECT NUMI	(\$000)				
27576		214-425	:	SAKW059100 1			500)	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:									
a. Estimate	d Desig	n Data:							
(1) Proje	(1) Project to be accomplished by design-build procedures								
(2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - NO (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used									
(3) All O	ther Des	sign Costs						775	
(4) Const	ruction	Contract Award					10	FEB	
(5) Const	ruction	Start					10	MAR	
(6) Const	ruction	Completion					11	DEC	
(7) Energ	y Study/	Life-Cycle analy	sis was/	will be pe	rform	ned		YES	
b. Equipmen	t assoc	iated with this p	project p	provided fr	rom of	ther appropri	ati	ons:	
EQUIPMENT	nomenc	LATURE	PROCUF APPROPRI	RING A	PPROF	L YEAR PRIATED QUESTED		COST (\$000)	
FURNISHIN	IGS AND	EQUIPMENT	340	0	20)11		75	

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

4. PROJECT TITLE

ANDERSEN AIR FORCE BASE, GUAM

NW FIELD ATFP PERIMETER FENCE/ROAD

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

27576 872-245 SAKW103002 4,752

9. COST ESTIMATES

9. COST ESTI	MAIDS	,		
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES				1,480
FENCE SCTY/VEH BAR	LM	5,182	277	(1,437)
SDD & EP ACT 05	LM	5,182	6	(29)
ANTITERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION	LM	5,182	3	(14)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				2,800
PAVEMENT - GRAVEL	SM	18,954	53	(1,005)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	SM	18,954	71	(1,346)
ENVIRONMENTAL REMEDIATION	LS			(250)
ARCHEOLOGICAL MONITORING	LS			(200)
SUBTOTAL				4,280
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				214
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				4,494
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.2%)				279
TOTAL REQUEST				4,773
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				4,752

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct 5,182 LM of security fencing including clearing and grubbing, the installation of 8 ft high chain link fencing with 3-strands barbed wire out rigging, concrete post at 10'-0" o.c. with concrete footings, top and bottom rails, two auxiliary vehicle access gates and a parallel 12-foot wide gravel access road along the entire length of the fence. This fence will be installed on the northwest side of the base, from the north side of the Det 5 entrance to the cliff line near the Expeditionary Combat Support (ECS) campus. This project will comply with Dod antiterrorism/force protection requirements per unified facilities criteria. The fence structural components must be able to withstand 170 mile-per-hour typhoon winds and Seismic Zone 4 earthquake criteria.

11. Requirement: 5182 LM Adequate: 0 LM Substandard: 0 LM

0 Tons

PROJECT: Construct 5,182 LM of ATFP Perimeter Fence/Road. (Current Mission)

REQUIREMENT: Project is required to support beddown of RED HORSE, Silver Flag,
Combat COMM., and Commando Warrior squadrons at the Expeditionary Combat Support
(ECS) campus in Northwest Field of Andersen AFB, Guam. The project will support
the re-stationing initiative within the PACAF Theater to meet U.S. and Government
of Korea goals outlined in the United States Forces Korea (USFK) Strategic Policy
Initiative (SPI) directives to reduce U.S. forces on the Korean Peninsula. This is
a beddown of a mission to a location where no unit of this type exists and no
existing facilities are available for use.

<u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> NW Field of Andersen Air Force Base currently does not have a base perimeter Fence from the Det 5 gate to the cliff line. The lack of perimeter fencing results in the following problems:

- Civilians continually dump their trash, abandon their cars.
- Poachers are frequently caught illegally hunting deer, boars, and other wild life without proper base clearance. There are many poaching trails running through the area and security forces is constantly tasked with flushing poachers off Air Force

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Air Conditioning:

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

May 2009

1. COMPONENT	FY	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA						
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)						
3. INSTALLATIO	3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE							
ANDERSEN AIR	AIR FORCE BASE, GUAM NW FIELD ATFP PERIMETER FENCE/ROAD (TFI)						NCE/ROAD	
5. PROGRAM ELI	EMENT 6.	NT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)						
27576		872-245 SAKW103002 4,752						

property.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: This project is critical to maintain security and mission ready status for RED HORSE, Silver Flag, Combat COMM., and Commando Warrior squadrons relocating the Expeditionary Combat Support (ECS) campus in Northwest Field of Andersen AFB, Guam. This fencing will provide the only available on-site security fencing for these squadrons within the ECS campus. Without this fencing, these units will not be able to adequately secure and maintain their operations resulting in each squadron's missions being severely compromised.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope in AF handbook 32-1084, "Civil Engineering Requirements." A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, lease/rent, relocate, and upgrade) was done. There is only one option that will meet the operational and current mission requirement. A certificate of exception will be prepared. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the design, development, and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423 and other applicable laws and Executive orders. BASE CIVIL ENGINEER: Lt Col Peter A. Ridilla (671) 366-7101. AEF Security Fencing: 5,185 LM = 17,000 LF

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This is an installation antiterrorism/force protection project, and does not qualify for joint use at this location. However, all tenants on this installation are benefited by this project. This project supports Total Force Integration initiatives.

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

236

1. COMPONENT		FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE							
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)							
3. INSTALLATI	ON AND I	OCATION		4. PROJECT TI	rle				
ANDERSEN AIR	FORCE BA	ASE, GUAM		NW FIELD ATFP (TFI)	PERIMETER FEN	ICE/ROAD			
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PI	ROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)			
27576		872-245	s	SAKW103002	4,	752			
12. SUPPLEMEN	TAL DAT	A:							
a. Estimate	d Design	n Data:							
(1) Proje	ct to be	accomplished by de	sign-	build procedure	es				
(2) Basis	•	or Definitive Design	_			NO			
		ign Was Most Recent:		eđ		NO			
(3) All O	(3) All Other Design Costs 237								
(4) Construction Contract Award 10 FEB									
(5) Const	ruction	Start				10 MAR			

b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations: N/Δ

(7) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

(6) Construction Completion

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

11 JUN

YES

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE AIR FORCE (computer generated)

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

ANDERSEN AIR FORCE BASE, GUAM

4. PROJECT TITLE

NW FIELD COMMANDO WARRIOR OPERATIONS FACILITY (TFI)

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

27576 610-127 SAKW053006 4,200

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES				2,681
OPERATIONS FACILITY	SM	498	5,226	(2,602)
SDD & EP ACT 05	SM	498	105	(52)
ANTI-TERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION	SM	498	52	(26)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				1,085
UTILITIES	LS			(250)
PAVEMENTS	LS		İ	(185)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS		į	(200)
COMMUNICATIONS	LS		İ	(150)
ENVIRONMENTAL REMEDIATION	LS		İ	(200)
ARCHEOLOGICAL MONITORING	LS			(100)
SUBTOTAL				3,766
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				188
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				3,954
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.2%)				245
TOTAL REQUEST				4,199
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				4,200)
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)				(200

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct single story concrete building with reinforced concrete foundation, walls and roof. The facility will include a command section, offices, briefing/training rooms, administration area, storage areas and mechanical spaces, fire suppression/detection, communications, environmental controls, utilities, pavements, parking, and all necessary supporting facilities for a complete and usable facility. The facility must be able to withstand 190 mile-per-hour typhoon winds for doors, windows, roofs (170 mile-per-hour for all other structural elements) and Seismic Zone 4 earthquake criteria. This project will comply with DoD anti-terrorism/force protection requirements per Unified Facilities Criteria.

Air Conditioning: 18 Tons

11. Requirement: 498 SM Adequate: 0 SM Substandard: 0 SM

<u>PROJECT:</u> Commando Warrior Operations Facility. (Current Mission)

REQUIREMENT: Project is required to support beddown of an air base ground defense training unit at Andersen AFB, Guam in support of the re-basing initiative within the PACAF Theater to meet US and Government of Korea goals outlined in the United States Forces Korea (USFK) Strategic Policy Initiative (SPI) directives to reduce U.S. forces on the Korean Peninsula. Facility is required to support training of the command's personnel prior to deployment. This facility will provide 28 permanent cadre personnel with communications/computer support; information and material classified storage; and work space to establish and maintain lesson plans, course tests, and curriculum for three different training objectives (Ground Combat Skills, Electronic Security Systems, and USAF Anti-Terrorism Force Protection Level II) needed for training an annual student load of 1,200 security forces personnel.

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

1. COMPONENT	FY	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA					
AIR FORCE		(c	ompute	r ger	nerated)		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE							
ANDERSEN AIR	NDERSEN AIR FORCE BASE, GUAM NW FIELD COMMANDO WARRIOR OPERATION FACILITY (TFI)						PERATIONS
5. PROGRAM ELI	EMENT 6. 0	6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$				ST (\$000)	
27576		610-127 SAKW053006 4,200					

<u>CURRENT SITUATION:</u> There are no facilities at Northwest Field, an abandoned WWII B-29 airfield overgrown by the jungle, that can meet this mission requirement. This mission is relocating to a site where no existing facilities are available. Project is late to need to meet PACAF-established requirement of Initial Operating Capability by FY07, therefore, temporary facility solutions are being implemented to support the move.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Security forces training unit will not have adequate command, control and training support to serve as the primary Pacific area school for security forces training. As a result the mission is severely compromised and many active duty personnel are deprived of realistic readiness training.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements." A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovation, upgrade/removal, new construction) was done. It indicates there is only one option that will meet mission requirements. Therefore, a full economic analysis was not performed and a certificate of exception has been prepared. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the design, development, and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423 and other applicable laws and Executive orders. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Peter A. Ridilla (671) 366-7101. Commando Warrior Administrative Facility: 498 SM =5,358 SF.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements. This project supports Total Force Integration initiatives.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE								
AIR FORCE	IR FORCE (computer generated)								
3. INSTALLATION	ON AND I	OCATION		4. PR	OJECT TI	TLE			
ANDERSEN AIR	FORCE BA	ASE, GUAM			ELD COMM ITY (TFI	ANDO WARRIO	OR OPI	ERATIONS	
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CO	DDE 7. P	ROJECT	NUMBER	8. PROJECT	cos	r (\$000)	
27576		610-127	:	SAKW053	3006		4,20	0	
12. SUPPLEMEN	TAL DAT	A:							
a. Estimate	d Design	n Data:							
(1) Projec	ct to be	accomplished by	y design-	build	procedur	es			
(a) St	(2) Basis: (a) Standard or Definitive Design - NO (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used								
(3) All O	ther Des	ign Costs						210	
(4) Const	ruction	Contract Award					10) FEB	
(5) Const:	ruction	Start					10) MAR	
(6) Const	ruction	Completion					11	MAY	
(7) Energ	y Study/	Life-Cycle analy	ysis was/	will b	e perfor	med		YES	
b. Equipmen	t assoc	iated with this	project p	provide	ed from c	ther appro	priat	ions:	
EQUIPMENT	FISCAL YEAR PROCURING APPROPRIATED COST EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE APPROPRIATION OR REQUESTED (\$000)								
FURNISHIN	īGS		340	0	2	011		200	

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA
AIR FORCE (computer generated)

2. DATE

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

4. PROJECT TITLE

STRIKE FOL ELECTRICAL INFRASTRUCTURE (TFI)

ANDERSEN AIR FORCE BASE, GUAM

(151)

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

27576

813-231

AJJY336449

33,750

9. COST ESTIMATES

T ESTIMATES	5		
U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
			28,401
SM	372	35,612	(13,248)
LM	9,605	1,287	(12,366)
EA	4	323,250	(1,293)
EA	2	334,000	(668)
LS		İ	(551)
LS		İ	(276)
		İ	1,856
LS			(550)
LS			(245)
LS		į	(275)
LS		İ	(200)
LS		İ	(237)
LS		İ	(225)
LS			(125)
			30,258
			1,513
			31,771
(6.2%)			1,970
		-	33,741
			33,750
	U/M SM LM EA EA LS LS LS LS LS LS LS LS	U/M QUANTITY SM 372 LM 9,605 EA 4 EA 2 LS LS LS LS LS LS LS LS LS LS LS LS LS	U/M QUANTITY COST SM 372 35,612 LM 9,605 1,287 EA 4 323,250 EA 2 334,000 LS LS LS LS LS LS LS LS LS LS

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Replace existing Main Substation 1, (40/50 MVA) with new Main Substation 1, (60/80 MVA) including hardened 34.5 KV and 13.8 KV switchgear buildings, transformers, and power factor correction capacitors. Install new 13.8-kV tie-in Feeders consisting of electric distribution ducts, manholes, conductors and pad mounted switches the new Main Substation 1 to new mission facilities to be constructed along South Ramp. Install new cutovers connecting the new main substation to Guam Power Authority power feeders. Replace 13.8 KV switchgears at Switching Stations D and F. Install a new 13.8 KV tie-in underground/overhead feeder between the new Main Substation 1 and MSA to accommodate existing and increased power loads associated with new mission facilities within MSA. This project will comply with DoD antiterrorism/force protection requirements per unified facilities criteria. Force protection measures, including new security fences (as required) will be installed around the new substation and distribution lines appurtenances. The facility must be able to withstand 190 mile-per-hour typhoon winds for doors, windows, roofs (170 mile-perhour for other structural elements) and Seismic Zone 4 earthquake criteria.

Air Conditioning: 84 Tons

11. Requirement: 80000 KV Adequate: 0 KV Substandard: 40000 KV

PROJECT: Construct new electrical substation and install new 13.8 KV feeders. (New Mission)

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

May 2009

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILI	2. DATE					
AIR FORCE		(computer gener	rated)				
3. INSTALLATIO	3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE						
ANDERSEN AIR I	RSEN AIR FORCE BASE, GUAM STRIKE FOL ELECTRICAL INFRASTRUCTURE (TFI)						
5. PROGRAM ELI	RAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)						
27576	813-231 AJJY336449 33,750						

REQUIREMENT: These utility upgrades are required to meet SECDEF direction to posture Andersen as a power hub for intelligence, surveillance, reconnaissance, strike and aerial refueling assets. This facility will directly support Air Force ability to strike rapidly and effectively anywhere throughout the Pacific. This project is essential to provide a properly sized and configured electrical substation and infrastructure that will be hardened (i.e., conductors installed underground and equipment installed in reinforced concrete buildings) to withstand 190 miles-per-hour typhoon winds for doors, windows, roofs (170 MPH winds for other structural elements) and Seismic Zone 4 earthquake criteria, and meet the power requirements of new missions.

CURRENT SITUATION: Electrical tie feeders from the Main Substation to Stations D and F are operating at full capacity, which creates low voltage problems at several locations along the South Ramp. Several existing 13.8 kV distribution feeders require new conductors to accommodate increased loads from new facilities scheduled to be constructed. The Main Substation's electrical equipment has some components which are old and deteriorated. The 34,500 (34.5kV) side of the Main Substation has not been upgraded by Guam Power Authority (GPA). The 34.5 kV oil circuit breakers are in poor condition. The 34.5 kV steel support structure is aged and in poor condition. On the 13,800 (13.8 kV) side of the Main Substation, the circuit breakers for feeders are within 7 years of their 25-year service life. This is the only facility serving power to the Base and is a single point of failure. Thus, the probability of electric power outages affecting large potions of the Base is quite high.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Due to age and condition, overloaded electrical circuits and low voltage problems will continue to cause power outages to facilities and compromise critical mission functions. The base will continue to be unable to provide a reasonably high degree of reliability for the electrical system serving aircraft operational areas, flight line maintenance, and other critical missions. The sudden losses of electrical power will cause an increase in safety problems due to disruption of fire protection and intrusion detection systems, except in buildings with emergency generators. Alarm activations due to power outages resulting in many man hours being lost to security forces and fire department personnel responding to facilities for no real reason.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements." A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovation, upgrade/removal, new construction, leasing) was done. It indicates there is only one option that will meet operational requirements. Because of this, a full economic analysis was not performed. A certificate of exception has been prepared. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the design, development, and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423 and other applicable laws and Executive orders. Base Civil Engineer: LtCol Peter Ridilla, (671) 366-7101. Construct Substation and Install 13.8 KV Feeders: 80,000 KV/9,605 LM (31,504 LF)

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This is an installation utility/infrastructure project, and does not qualify for joint-use at this location. However, all tenants on this installation will benefit by this project. This project supports Total Force Integration initiatives.

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE								
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)							
3. INSTALLATI	ON AND I	LOCATION		4. PROJECT	ritle	L		
ANDERSEN AIR	FORCE BA	ASE. GIIAM		STRIKE FOL	ELECTRICAL INE	RASTRIICTIIRE		
		,		(TFI)				
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)		
27576		813-231	AJ	JY336449	33,	750		
12. SUPPLEMEN	TAL DATA	A:						
a. Estimate	ed Design	n Data:						
(1) Statu	ıs:							
(a) Da	te Desig	gn Started			15	-MAY-08		
(b) Pa	rametri	c Cost Estimates use	d to de	evelop costs		YES		
* (c) Pe	ercent Co	omplete as of 01 JAN	2009			15%		
* (d) Da	te 35% 1	Designed			30	-JAN-09		
(e) Da	te Desig	gn Complete			30	-SEP-09		
(f) En	ergy St	udy/Life-Cycle analy	rsis was	s/will be per	formed	YES		
(2) Basis	:							
(a) St	andard o	or Definitive Design	ı –			NO		
(b) Wh	ere Des	ign Was Most Recentl	y Used					
(3) Total	. Cost (d	(a) = (a) + (b) or (d)) + (e)	:		(\$000)		
(a) Pr	oduction	n of Plans and Speci	fication	ons		2,025		
(b) All Other Design Costs 1,013								
(c) Total 3,038								
(d) Co	ntract					2,734		
(e) In	-house					304		
(4) Const	ruction	Contract Award				10 FEB		

- * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.
- b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations: $\ensuremath{\mathrm{N/A}}$

(5) Construction Start

(6) Construction Completion

10 MAR

12 MAY

 COMPONENT 		F`	Y 2010	MILITARY	CONSTR	UCTION	PROGR	RAM	2. DATE	•
AIR FORCE										
3. INSTALLATION A		CATION	1	4. COMMA					A CONST	
NAS SIGONELLA, ITALY UNITED ST						R FORC	ES	COST IN	NDEX	
				IN EUROP	<u>'E</u>			1.19		
Personnel	Р	ERMAN	1ENT	STUD	DENTS		SU	IPPORTE	D	
Strength	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
AS OF 30 SEP 08			ĺ							
END FY 2014	2	53	58							113
7. INVENTORY DAT a. Total Acreage: b. Inventory Total as c. Authorization Not d. Authorization Req f. Planned in Next Fir g. Remaining Deficie h. Grand Total:	of : (30 Yet in In uested i ve Years	Sep 08 enventory in this P	y: [´] Progran	n:						0 0 31,300 0 0 31,300
8. PROJECTS REQU	IESTER) INI TH	IS PR	CRAM:			(FY 201	0)		
CATEGORY	JESTEL	<i>)</i>	15 1 10	JUINAIVI.			(1 1 201	,	DESIGN	STATUS
CODE	PROJE	CT TIT	l E			SCOPE	:	\$,000		CMPL
211-111				Maintenand	re and	5,700	SM	31,300		gn Build
	Operati				o ana	0,700	OW	01,000		jii Dalla
	Ороган	0.10 00	p.ox			Total		31,300		
9a. Future Projects:	Typical	Planne	d Nex	Five Year:				,		
·				None						N/A
9b. Real Propery Ma										N/A
10. Mission or Major electro-optical (EO), i	infra-red	l (IR), a	nd syn	thetic apert	ure radar (ce capabi	lity using
11. Outstanding pollution:	ution and	d Safet	y (OSF	1A Deficiend	cies:			0		
b. Water Pollutio	n:							0		
c. Occupational S	Safety a	nd Hea	lth					0		
d. Other Environi	mental:							0		

DD Form 1390, 24 Jul 00

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA
AIR FORCE (computer generated)

2. DATE

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

NAVAL AIR STATION SIGONELLA, ITALY

4. PROJECT TITLE

GLOBAL HAWK AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS COMPLEX

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT | 6. CATEGORY CODE | 7. PROJECT NUMBER | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

35220 211-111 USAFE073006 31,300

9. COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES				18,373
CONSTRUCT 4-BAY MAINT HANGAR / OPS OFFICES	SM	5,700	2,828	(16,118)
INTERIOR COMM	SM	5,700	90	(513)
PAVEMENTS/RECEIVING APRON	SM	7,700	150	(1,155)
SDD & EPACT 05	SM	5,700	67	(382)
ANTITERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION	SM	5,700	36	(205)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				9,557
PAVEMENTS	LS			(1,284)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(1,820)
UTILITIES	LS			(2,825)
BACK-UP POWER GENERATORS WITH AUTO-TRANSFER	LS			(103)
COMMUNICATIONS	LS			(925)
PASSIVE FORCE PROTECTION	LS	j j		(335)
DEMOLITION - RELOCATE	LS	İ		(1,650)
ENVIRONMENTAL SUPPORT	LS			(615)
SUBTOTAL				27,930
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				1,397
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				29,326
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.5%)				1,906
TOTAL REQUEST				31,233
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				31,300)
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)				(1,330

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a new four-bay maintenance hangar. Hangar will consist of a steel frame, masonry walls, standing seam metal roof, concrete floor slab, high expansion foam fire suppression system, utilities, pavements and communications support. Project will also include back-up generators with auto-transfer switches. Demolition of existing engine test cell will be required as well as environmental clean-up requirements. Includes antiterrorism/force protection requirements identified in DoD unified facilities criteria.

Air Conditioning: 40 Tons

11. Requirement: 5700 SM Adequate: 0 SM Substandard: 0 SM

PROJECT: Global Hawk Aircraft Maintenance and Operations Complex (New Mission)

REQUIREMENT: Hangar space is necessary to support aircraft maintenance, repair and inspection activities that are most effectively done under complete cover. The Global Hawk aircraft requires all-weather interior maintenance space to accomplish scheduled inspections, major fuel system maintenance, airframe repairs, pre-flight operations as well as technical order compliance and modifications. The hangar will also provide space for tool rooms, support equipment maintenance, aircraft parts receiving, shipping and storage as well as necessary office and administrative space. Apron space is required for the new hangar to effectively

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITAR	r DATA 2.	. DATE				
AIR FORCE	(com	(computer generated)					
3. INSTALLATIO	3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE						
NAVAL AIR STA	AIRCRAFT MAINTENAN OMPLEX	NCE AND					
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJE				(\$000)			
35220	211-111	USAFE073006	31,300				

support the new mission when it is integrated into the existing NAS Sigonella parking apron. This new hangar will support four of the projected inbound Global Hawk aircraft. The hangar will provide support for a total of four Global Hawk aircraft. The Global Hawk aircraft will be supported by a Mission Control Element (MCE) at a separate location. Once airborne, the Launch and Recovery Element will hand off the aircraft to the MCE.

CURRENT SITUATION: Global Hawk (RQ-4) aircraft will conduct operations in the European theater. The selected beddown location lacks adequate facilities to conduct squadron level maintenance for the Global Hawk mission. NAS Sigonella will be able to provide some existing parking space to support this overall requirement, but additional pavements will be needed for the new hangar. An existing aircraft wash rack is in place at NAS Sigonella to support the new mission.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Without the new hangar, full mission capability will not be achieved for this vital aircraft. Lack of adequate facilities will severely limit the user's ability to perform essential maintenance and repair requirements in accordance with technical orders. Key essential maintenance and repair actions will also be hampered. Without adequate facilities, the aircraft will not be able to perform their essential reconnaissance missions in the European theater. The lack of facilities could also result in a significant degredation of operational capability and increase the potential for a serious mishap. Furthermore, maintenance performed outside in the elements reduces the life span of the airframe.

<u>ADDITIONAL</u>: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084 "Facility Requirements." A preliminary analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (status quo, renovation, upgrade/removal, new construction) was done. It indicates that new construction will meet the necessary operational requirements. A certificate of exception will be prepared. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the design, development and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423 and other applicable laws and Executive orders. MAJCOM POC: Capt William Frost, 011-49-6371-47-6226. 4-Bay Maintenance Hangar / Admin Offices: 5,700 SM = 61,332 SF.

FOREIGN CURRENCY: FCF Budget Rate Used: EURO-DOLLAR .7737

<u>JOINT USE CERTIFICATION:</u> This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of this project is based on Air Force requirements.

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)							2. DATE
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE						TLE		
NAVAL AIR STATION SIGONELLA, ITALY GLOBAL HAWK AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE AN OPERATIONS COMPLEX							ENANCE AND	
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000						ST (\$000)		
35220 211-111 USAFE073006 31,						300		
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:								

- a. Estimated Design Data:
 - (1) Project to be accomplished by design-build procedures
 - (2) Basis:
 - (a) Standard or Definitive Design -

NO

(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used

(3) All Other Design Costs

1,565

(4) Construction Contract Award

10 FEB10 MAR

(6) Construction Completion

(5) Construction Start

12 MAR

(7) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed

YES

b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations:

EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE	PROCURING APPROPRIATION	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED	COST (\$000)
FURNISHINGS	3400	2011	700
COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT	3080	2011	630

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM 2. DATE												
AIR FORCE				4 001	4. COMMAND: 5. AR					22-Apr-09		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION							IANID	5. AREA CONST				
AL MUSANAH AB, OMAN						T COMM	IAND		COST INDEX			
				(AFCE				1.24				
Personnel		RMANENT				NTS		PPORTE				
Strength	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ΕN	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL		
AS OF 30 SEP 08	CLASSIF	FIED DATA	1							Note 1		
END OF FY 2014	CLASSIF	FIED DATA	١									
7. INVENTORY DAT	ΓA (\$000)											
a. Total Acreage:						Not US C	Owned In	stallation		Note 2		
b. Inventory Total as	of: (30	Sep 08)								n/a		
c. Authorization Not	•	. ,								n/a		
d. Authorization Req			am·							116,000		
f. Planned in Next F										0		
g. Remaining Deficie		. rogium.								TBD		
h. Grand Total:	oncy.									116,000		
II. Grand Fotal.										110,000		
8. PROJECTS REQ	LICCTED	INI TUIC D		Λ Ν Δ .			/EV 201	0)				
	OESTED	IIN THIS P	RUGR	AIVI.			(FY 201	,	DECION	CTATUC		
CATEGORY	DD0 150	T TITL F				00005			DESIGN	STATUS		
CODE	PROJEC					SCOPE	014	\$,000		_CMPL		
113-321		mp and Fu	el Facı	lities		38,382			Apr-09	Sep-09		
442-758	WRM Co	mpound				36,472	SM		Design B	uild		
						Total		116,000				
9a. Future Projects:		Planned Ne	xt Five	Years:								
	TBD											
9b. Real Property Ma								n/a				
10. Mission or Major	Function	s: Al Musa	nah ha	s been	desig	nated as	a key stı	rategic lo	cation for	future US		
development. The FY	/ 10 proje	cts will not	only d	evelop t	he pr	imary US	AF WRN	/I storage	location in	n the		
AFCENT AOR, but p	rovide str	ategic airc	aft the	capabil	ity to	land at A	l Musana	ah, refuel	and depa	rt towards		
a final location. The fo	ollowon pr	ojects to e	xpand	airlift an	d ref	ueling ca	oability in	FY 11 a	nd beyond	further		
develops the location												
communnications in												
	J						, ,	J	0,			
NOTE 1: Personnel numbers at a contingency location are classified, therefore not provided.												
NOTE 2: Not a US owned installation and no US presence; therefore we do not have real property data.												
110 12 2. 110t a 00 owned installation and no 00 presence, therefore we do not have real property data.												
11. Outstanding Pollution and Safety (OSHA Deficiencies):												
a. Air pollution					N/A							
b. Water Pollution					N/A							
c. Occupational	Safety and	d Health						N/A				
d. Other Environmental N/A												

DD Form 1390, 9 Jul 02

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

4. PROJECT TITLE

AL MUSANAH AB, OMAN

AIRLIFT RAMP AND FUEL FACILITIES

2. DATE

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)
27576 113-321 AMAB110001 69,000

9. COST ESTIMATES

9. COST ESTIMATES							
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)			
PRIMARY FACILITIES				54,533			
AIRFIELD PAVEMENT	SM	37,997	380	(14,439)			
FUEL OPERATIONS BLDG AND LAB	SM	385	5,200	(2,002)			
CUT & COVER TANKS / FILL STANDS	BL	40,000	891	(35,620)			
SDD AND EPACT05	LS			(1,236)			
ANTITERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION	LS			(1,236)			
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				7,288			
UTILITIES	LS			(2,226)			
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(1,595)			
REFUELER SUNSHADE	SM	3,313	518	(1,716)			
PAVEMENT	SM	9,679	98	(949)			
COMMUNICATION	LS			(671)			
LATRINES	SM	94	1,400	(132)			
SUBTOTAL				61,821			
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				3,091			
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				64,912			
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.5%)				4,219			
TOTAL REQUEST				69,131			
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				69,000			
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)				(2,150.0)			

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct concrete aircraft parking apron, asphalt shoulders, asphalt taxiways, pavement markings, and airfield lighting. Fuel Infrastructure facilities shall be semi-permanent Pre-Engineered Building (PEB) type construction with slab on grade concrete foundations, include fire suppression and all civil, architectural, mechanical and electrical work required to produce complete and useable facilities. Storage tanks will be steel and capable of supporting 40,000 BBL of JP-8 Fuel and will be filled with two fill stands. Pre-existing site meets force protection requirements. All construction will comply with applicable DoD force protection standards.

11. Requirement: 38382 SM Adequate: 0 SM Substandard: 0 SM

PROJECT: Construct Airlift Ramp and Fuel Facilities. (Current Mission)

REQUIREMENT: A requirement exists to construct a new airlift apron, taxiway and lighting along with fuel storage and distribution system to support two C-5 or equivalent commercial wide body strategic airlift aircraft at Al Musanah AB, Oman. The fuel storage delivery system must be capable of sustaining a maximum of four (4) C-5 flights a day for seven (7) consecutive days. Maximum fuel load calculated at 189,270 liters per flight.

CURRENT SITUATION: In support of Overseas Contingency Operations, Al Musanah AB has been designated as a key strategic location for future US development. The

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 M	2. DATE					
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)					
3. INSTALLATIO	3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE						
AL MUSANAH AB	LITIES						
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT CO					ST (\$000)		
27576	113-3	321 A	MAB110001	69,0	00		

Sultan of Oman has mandated that the US War Reserve Materiel (WRM) outload site at Seeb be relocated due to the commercial development of Seeb International Airport. The Sultan of Oman has offered Al Musanah AB as a viable alternative to Seeb AB. The US government has accepted the offer and consequently developed a long range strategic and tactical plan that fully develops Al Musanah AB in accordance with future US defense posture plans. The initial phase relocates the entire WRM compound from Seeb by FY 12 (AMAB 08-3000). The follow phase (this project) begins the initial strategic development phase of this effort by developing a limited "Gas-n-Go" capability. This capability will provide aircraft with the ability to land at Al Musanah AB, refuel and then depart toward a final destination. Without this project there is no parking space for US aircraft at Al Musanah AB. The government of Oman has also invited the Royal Air Force (RAF), UK to come to Al Musanah AB from their current location at Seeb AB. In an effort to realize potential costs savings and enhance joint synergies, RAF has proposed a joint RAF/US construction effort of the airfield pavement phase of this project.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Delays in constructing the airlift ramps, and refueling facilities mean that there will be a lack of strategic operational capability for a major WRM out load site in the Southwest Asia Area of Responsibility (AOR). Additionally, if these facilities are not provided, CENTCOM and AFCENT's long range global basing strategy will not be met as planned.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements." U.S Central Command, supports this project on the Master Plan Priority List (MPPL). An analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (to include lease options, status quo, new construction, renovation and modernization) was completed. It indicates that there is only one option that will meet operational requirements, new construction. Sustainable principles will be integrated into design, development, and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423 and other applicable laws and Executive Orders. The USAFCENT A7 Engineer is Col Brian D. Yolitz. Fuel facilities SM=385; airfield pavements = 37, 997 SM; Fuel Storage = 40,000 BBL.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements.

1. COMPONENT		FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA							
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)								
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE									
AL MUSANAH AB, OMAN AIRLIFT RAMP AND FUEL FACILITIES									
5. PROGRAM EL	5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT CO								
27576		113-321	AMA	AB110001	69,	000			
12. SUPPLEMEN	12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:								
a. Estimate	d Design	n Data:							
(1) Statu	ıs:								
(a) Da	te Desig	gn Started			15	-APR-09			
(b) Pa	rametri	c Cost Estimates use	ed to de	velop costs		YES			
* (c) Pe	ercent Co	omplete as of 01 JAN	1 2009			0 %			
* (d) Da	te 35% I	Designed			30	-JUN-09			
(e) Da	te Desig	gn Complete			30	-SEP-09			
(f) En	ergy St	udy/Life-Cycle analy	sis was	s/will be per	formed	YES			
(2) Basis	:								
(a) St	andard o	or Definitive Design	ı -			NO			
(b) Wh	ere Des	ign Was Most Recentl	ly Used						
(3) Total	. Cost (d	(a) = (a) + (b) or (a)	l) + (e)	:		(\$000)			

* Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.

(a) Production of Plans and Specifications

(b) All Other Design Costs

(4) Construction Contract Award

(c) Total
(d) Contract

(e) In-house

(5) Construction Start

(6) Construction Completion

b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations:

EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE	PROCURING APPROPRIATION	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED	COST (\$000)
FURNISHINGS	3400	2011	50
FUELS EQUIPMENT	3080	2011	450
COMMUNITCATIONS EQUIPMENT	3080	2011	150
TRUCK OFF-LOAD SKIDS	3080	2011	1,500

4,140 2,070

6,210

5,175

1,035 09 DEC

10 FEB

12 FEB

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRU	CTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE	(computer gen	erated)	
3. INSTALLATIO	ON AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE	

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE AL MUSANAH AB, OMAN WRM COMPOUND

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)
27576 442-758 AMAB083000 47,000

9. COST ESTIMATES

		<u></u>		
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES				29,762
WAREHOUSES	SM	12,672	1,630	(20,655)
ADMINISTRATIVE AND SUPPORT FACILITIES	SM	800	1,900	(1,520)
MAINTENANCE FACILITIES	SM	4,300	1,630	(7,009)
SDD AND EPACT05	LS			(252)
ANTITERRORISM FORCE PROTECTION	LS			(326)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				12,353
UTILITIES	LS			(4,660)
FUEL POINT	SM	160	1,950	(312)
PERIMETER FENCE	LM	3,100	300	(930)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	EA	1	4,859,000	(4,859)
COMMUNICATION	LS	İ		(750)
COMPACTED GRAVEL OUTSIDE STORAGE	SM	18,700	45	(842)
SUBTOTAL				42,115
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				2,106
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				44,221
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.5%)				2,874
TOTAL REQUEST				47,095
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				47,000)
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)				(1,550

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construction will consist of a combination of pre-engineered steel, compacted graval storage, and masonry-type facilities on concrete foundations. Gravel roads will be constructed to connect to existing Host Nation paved and unpaved roads; project will include all site work, utilities/infrastructure (including sanitary sewer holding tanks), fire protection/suppression, force protection, and communications infrastructure necessary to make the WRM compound complete/usable. Pre-existing site meets force protection requirements. All construction will comply with applicable DoD force protection standards.

11. Requirement: 36472 SM Adequate: 0 SM Substandard: 32778 SM

PROJECT: Construct War Reserve Material Compound. (Current Mission)

REQUIREMENT: Provide 36,472 SM (392,600SF) of War Reserve Materiel (WRM) open and covered storage, maintenance, administrative and support facilities at Al Musanah AB, Oman to replace existing facilities at Seeb AB, Oman. Existing facility space at Seeb equals 32,778 SM; the new requirement of 36,472 SM was determined through facility "right sizing" based on total WRM assets authorized, by type, in accordance with War Consumables Distribution Objective (WDCO) and applicable AFH 32-1084 facility requirements. Facilities must be constructed at Al Musanah in order to vacate Seeb, as the Sultanate of Oman has mandated US relocation from Seeb AB. The Sultanate has provided space at Al Musanah expressly for this relocation.

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY	T DATA 2. DATE							
AIR FORCE	(comp	(computer generated)							
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE									
AL MUSANAH AB	, OMAN	WRM COMPOUND							
5. PROGRAM ELI	EMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST (\$000)						
27576	442-758	AMAB083000	47,000						

CURRENT SITUATION: The Sultanate of Oman has mandated that USAFCENT relocate the existing WRM Compound from Seeb International Airport in order to accommodate Oman's planned expansion of commercial airport operations there. As a gesture of good faith, the Sultan has offered Al Musanah AB as a relocation alternative for Seeb; Al Musanah is currently being developed as a premier Royal Air Force of Oman (RAFO) Air Base and is located about 90 miles from Seeb (100 miles from the capital city of Muscat). USAFCENT currently operates 26,756 SM of indoor storage space, 4,274 SM of maintenance space, and 1,748 SM of administrative and miscellaneous support facility space for WRM at Seeb; the complex includes the only generator repair facility in the Southwest Asia Area of Responsibility (AOR). Materiel stored and maintained in facilities at Seeb is utilized throughout the USCENTCOM AOR.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Ongoing Seeb International Airport construction will force the demolition of the existing USAFCENT WRM facilities. If facilities are not provided elsewhere to reconstitute, maintain, and store critical WRM Harvest Falcon assets, vehicles, fuels equipment, and other materiel and equipment, USAFCENT risks loss of access to this critical warfighting equipment and material (estimated at \$150M). Throughout the AOR, there is already a 46,451 SM covered-storage shortfall for critical WRM; if the existing 26,756 SM of indoor space at Seeb is lost due to base closure, WRM assets requiring indoor storage will have to be stored outside (subject to 120-140 degree summer temperatures, winds, humidity, and sandstorms). This will result in a higher rate of deterioration on the equipment, subsequent increased maintenance costs, and higher equipment loss rates. In addition, as the only generator repair site in the USCENTCOM AOR, loss of Seeb site (without replacement at Al Musanah) would significantly degrade AFCENT's generator repair requirements. AFCENT's wartime readiness and ability to support the war-fighter with timely equipment and materiel will be at significant risk.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope as specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, Facility Requirements. An analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project (to include lease options, status quo, new construction, renovation and modernization) was completed. It indicates that there is only one option that will meet operational requirements—new construction. Sustainable principles will be integrated into design, development, and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423 and other applicable laws and Executive orders. CENTCOM supports this project on the Master Plan Priority List (MPPL); the government of Oman has been approached and has denied US requests to fund this construction effort. The estimated residual value for current expedient facilities at Seeb is \$750K (for 54 facilities); this estimate is based on an initial construction cost value of \$11M and average facility age of 26 years. The USAFCENT A7 Civil Engineer is Col Brian D. Yolitz. Construct War Reserve Material Compound: 36,472 SM = (392,600 SF).

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements.

1. COMPONENT		FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA							
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)							
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE									
AL MUSANAH AB, OMAN WRM COMPOUND									
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PF	ROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	OST (\$000)			
27576	27576 442-758 AMAB083000 47,000								
			•		•				

12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:

- a. Estimated Design Data:
 - (1) Project to be accomplished by design-build procedures
 - (2) Basis:
 - (a) Standard or Definitive Design NO
 - (b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used
 - (3) All Other Design Costs 2,350
 - (4) Construction Contract Award 09 DEC
 - (5) Construction Start 10 FEB
 - (6) Construction Completion 11 SEP
 - (7) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed YES
- b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations:

EQUIPMENT NOMENCLATURE	PROCURING APPROPRIATION	FISCAL YEAR APPROPRIATED OR REQUESTED	COST (\$000)
FURNISHINGS	3400	2011	500
MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT	3080	2011	1,050

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

1. COMPONENT										
AIR FORCE		22-Apr-09								Apr-09
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION AL UDEID AB, QATAR 4. COMMAND: 5. AREA CONST AIR COMBAT COMMAND COST INDEX (AFCENT) 1.24										
6. Personnel	PEI	PERMANENT STUDENTS SUPPORTED								
Strength	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	EN	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
AS OF 30 SEP 08	CLASSIF	IED DATA	l.							Note 1
END OF FY 2014	CLASSIF	IED DATA	l .	i l						
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000) a. Total Acreage: Not US Owned Installation Note 2 b. Inventory Total as of: (30 Sep 08) c. Authorization Not Yet in Inventory: d. Authorization Requested in this Program: f. Planned in Next Five Years Program: g. Remaining Deficiency: h. Grand Total: 8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM: CATEGORY CODE PROJECT TITLE SCOPE \$,000 START CMPL 721-312 Blatchford-Preston Complex Ph II 24,566 SM 60,000 9a. Future Projects: Typical Planned Next Five Years:									n/a n/a 60,000 121,000 TBD 181,000 STATUS <u>CMPL</u> Sep-09	
721-312	Blatchfor	d-Preston	Compl	ex Ph III		50,430 Total	SM	121,000 121,000		
9b. Real Property M	aintenanc	e Backlog	This Ir	nstallatio	n:			n/a		
10. Mission or Major missions to include: 1 Operations Center; the Expeditionary RED H NOTE 1: Personnel of NOTE 2: Not a US of	fighter, air ne Aerial I IORSE Gr numbers a	lift, refuelir Port Contro roup. at a conting	ig, inte of Cent gency I	Iligence er, Expe	, surveditio	veillance nary Air N lassified,	and reco Mobility S therefore	nnaissand quadron a e not prov	ce; Combi and an	
11. Outstanding Poll a. Air pollution b. Water Pollutio c. Occupational	n	• ,	SHA D	eficienci	es):			N/A N/A N/A		
d. Other Environ	•							N/A		

DD Form 1390, 9 Jul 02

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE AIR FORCE (computer generated)

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

4. PROJECT TITLE

AL UDEID AB , QATAR

BLATCHFORD-PRESTON COMPLEX, PHASE II

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

27596 721-312 ALUA073006A 60,000

9. COST ESTIMATES

J. 6051 E.				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES				47,557
DORMITORIES	SM	18,566	2,005	(37,225)
TROOP SUBSISTENCE WAREHOUSE	SM	6,000	1,722	(10,332)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				6,500
UTILITIES	LS			(1,000)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(1,000)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(2,500)
COMMUNICATIONS	LS			(2,000)
SUBTOTAL				54,057
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				2,703
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				56,760
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.	5%)			3,689
TOTAL REQUEST				60,449
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				60,000
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)				(3,235.0)

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct dormitories with concrete foundations and masonry walls. In addition, construct troop subsistence warehouse with concrete foundations and CMU walls with metal superstructure. Troop subsistence warehouse will include cold and dry storage. Cold storage will be freezer storage, dry storage will be refrigerated storage. Project includes all site work, infrastructure/utilities, communications, fire protection/suppression and force protection required to make facilities complete and usable. Force Protection will comply with minimum DoD Standards.

11. Requirement: 232075 SM Adequate: 102113 SM Substandard: 129962 SM

PROJECT: Blatchford-Preston Complex, Phase II (Current Mission)

REQUIREMENT: Al Udeid has been identified by CENTCOM as an enduring location, its current contingency-standard billeting and support facilities (originally built at the base in 2003 for expedient operations; now overcrowded and failing) must be replaced to a permanent standard. The base requires permanent-standard billeting for projected steady-state population of approximately 6,200 personnel to support long-term/enduring presence. This phase (the second of four) will construct 392 rooms to a 1+1 standard with utilities to support 2+2 surge occupancy. Additional support facilities also required in this second phase include troop subsistence warehousing consisting of dry storage and cold storage to adequately store foodstuffs for 516,000 meals served monthly (including not only the BPC dining facility, but four others across the base). As noted, this requirement fills a portion of the second of four planned phases to construct the BPC; the first phase was funded in 2003 and built nine billets, two distinguished visitor billets and thirteen community and security facilities. These facilities were occupied in Feb 08 and can support 1380 people; 22 percent of the 6,200+ planned steady-state population.

CURRENT SITUATION: Of Al Udeid's current population of over 6,900 personnel,

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

1. COMPONENT	FY	r data	2. DATE						
AIR FORCE	1	(computer generated)							
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE									
AL UDEID AB	, QATAR				BLATCHFORD-PI	RESTON COMPLEX,	, PHASE II		
5. PROGRAM ELE	EMENT 6. 0	CATEGORY	CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)		
27596		721-312		ALU	JA073006A	60,0	000		

approximately 5,635 are still billeted in temporary (contingency-standard) structures and 1265 are billeted in BPC Phase I billets. The population will increase by approximately 250 to over 7,150 when SOCCENT personnel move from Camp As Sayliyah to Al Udeid. At that time, with a max capacity of 1380, BPC will house approximately 19 percent of the 7,150+ personnel; over 5,700 will remain in Coalition Compound. With Al Udeid's designation as a long-term/enduring location, the temporary structures in Coalition Compound (now past their intended lifespan and failing in the harsh Qatari climate) are now substandard for the eventual 6,200+ person steady-state population. The temporary facilities in Coalition Compound are also now geographically separated from BPC, causing operational inefficiencies (especially in support facilities now duplicated or split between Coalition Compound and BPC) and a division, both real and perceptual, between populations of the base still living in temporary quarters and those in the newer, permanent-standard construction.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If Phase Two is not provided, more than 392 steady-state personnel will be forced to live in substandard temporary quarters as Al Udeid makes the transition to enduring operations. The base populace will be split between two living areas; base support will be forced to operate inefficiently from split locations. The contingency-standard Coalition Compound area will continue to deteriorate, and will require replacement; without additional BPC construction, the base will need to replace these trailers at an estimated cost of \$700K per trailer as they fail. Because Al Udeid has been identified as a long term location, complete replacement of the Coalition Compound trailers will be required every five to seven years at a total cost of \$96M each replacement cycle.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements." An analysis of reasonable options for accomplishing this project was completed. It indicates there is only one option that will meet operational requirements; new construction. Therefore an economic analysis was not completed. Sustainable principles will be integrated into design, development, and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423 and other applicable laws and Executive Orders. This project is phase two of four planned phases. The project is supported by CENTCOM and is on the Master Plan Priority List (MPPL). The Implementing Agreement signed in November 2002 between the United States Government and the Government of Qatar does not cover all construction. It did specify that the United States was responsible to fund Blatchford-Preston (Millennium Village) facilities. In 2008, Millennium Village was renamed Blatchford-Preston Complex by direction of COMUSCENTAF. Civil Engineer: Lt Col Eric Turner; DSN 318-437-2152. Blatchford-Preston Complex, Phase II Dormitories: 18,566SM = 199,849SF; Troop Subsistence Warehouse: 6,000SM = 64,560SF.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an as available basis; however, the four phase scope of the project is based on current 379 AEW, CAOC and SOCCENT HQ requirements as provided in the Al Udeid AB Implementing Agreement with the Government of Qatar.

1. COMPONENT		FY 2010 MILITAR	Y CONSTRUC	TION PROJECT	DATA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		(com	puter gene	rated)		
3. INSTALLATION	ON AND L	OCATION		4. PROJECT	TITLE	1
AL UDEID AB	, QATAR			BLATCHFORD-	PRESTON COMPLE	EX, PHASE II
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CO	DDE 7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)
27596		721-312	ALU	JA073006A	60,	000
12. SUPPLEMEN	TAL DATA	\:				
a. Estimate	d Design	Data:				
(1) Statu	s:					
(a) Da	te Desig	n Started			24	-MAR-09
(b) Pa	rametrio	Cost Estimates	used to d	evelop costs		YES
* (c) Pe	rcent Co	omplete as of 01	JAN 2009			0 %
* (d) Da	te 35% I	esigned			30	-JUN-09
(e) Da	te Desig	n Complete			30	-SEP-09
(f) En	ergy Stu	dy/Life-Cycle a	nalysis wa	s/will be per	rformed	YES
(2) Basis						
, ,		Dafiniti Da				NO
1		or Definitive Dea .gn Was Most Rec	_			NO
(3) Total	Cost (c	e) = (a) + (b) on	r (d) + (e) :		(\$000)
	-	of Plans and S				3,600
		Design Costs	-			1,800
(c) To		-				5,400
(d) Co	ntract					4,500
(e) In	-house					900
(4) Const	ruction	Contract Award				09 DEC
(5) Const	ruction	Start				10 JAN
(6) Const	ruction	Completion				12 JAN
which i	s compar	etion of Projectable to traditional contractions.				
b. Equipmen	t associ	ated with this	project pr	ovided from o	other appropri	ations:
EQUIPMENT	NOMENC	LATURE	PROCURIN APPROPRIA	G APPRO	AL YEAR DPRIATED EQUESTED	COST (\$000)
FURNISHI	IGS AND	EQUIPMENT	3400		12	3,235

1. COMPONENT		FY	2010	MILITARY	CONST	RUCTIO	N PROG	RAI	VI	2. DATE	
AIR FORCE	NI ANID I	OCATION	ı	4 001414	NID:				- ADEA	CONOT	
3. INSTALLATION INCIRLIK AIR BA											
INCIRLIN AIR DA	SE, TUP	XIXE I		United Stat	CS All I	OICE III E	Luiope		0.9	IDEX	
6. Personnel	DI	ERMANEN	IT	STUD	ENTS	Ī	SHI	DDC	ORTED	Ī	
Strength	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF		ENL	CIV	TOTAL
As of 30 Sep 08	104	976	941	0	0	0	011	10	183	55	2,269
END FY 2014	101	953	942	0	l ő	0		9	180	55	2,240
7. INVENTORY						_					, -
a. Total Acreage:	•	3,427									
b. Inventory Total		30 Sep 08)								1,298,965
c. Authorization N											18,366
d. Authorization F	Request	ed in this F	rogran	n:							9,200
f. Planned in Nex	kt Five Y	'ears Prog	ram:								49,158
g. Remaining Def	ficiency:										92,150
h. Grand Total:											1,467,839
8. PROJECTS RI	EQUES	IED IN TH	IS PRO	OGRAM:			(FY 2010	J)	ОООТ	DEGLON	0.7.4.7.1.0
CATEGORY		OT TITL F				00005				DESIGN	STATUS
CODE 740.246		CT TITLE	· : 4 .	. O t		SCOPE			\$,(000)		CMPL
740-316	Consoli	dated Con	imunity	/ Center		3,725 Total	SM		9,200 9,200	Jun-08	Sep-09
						TOlai			9,200		
9a. Future Projec	ts: Tyni	ical Planne	d Next	Five Years							
851-147				d, "A" Stree		3,000	LM		4,700		
721-312	. •	ory (168 RI		.,		5,544	SM		17,341		
721-312		ory (168 RI				5,544	SM		17,000		
730-142	Fire Sta	• •	,			2,800	SM		10,117		
						Total			49,158	ı	
9b. Real Propery											34
10. Mission or Ma											
world-class forwar	•	ting base	support	to expedition	onary fo	rces whi	le develo	ping	the profe	essional ta	alents of
our men and wom											
11. Outstanding		and Safet	y (OSH	IA Deficiend	cies:)				_		
a. Air pollution	n								0		
b. Water Poll	ution								0		
b. Water Poll	นแบบ								U		
c. Occupation	nal Safet	ty and Hea	lth						0		
1.00									2		
d. Other Envi	ronment	aı							0		

DD Form 1390, 24 Jul 00

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA
AIR FORCE (computer generated)

740-316

2. DATE

9,200

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

27576

INCIRLIK AIR BASE ADANA, TURKEY

4. PROJECT TITLE

LJYC003006

CONSOLIDATED COMMUNITY CENTER

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

9. COST ESTIMATES

9. COST E	STIMATES	j		
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES				7,044
CONSOLIDATED COMMUNITY CENTER	SM	3,725	1,840	(6,854)
SDD & EPACT05	SM	3,725	35	(130)
ANTITERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION	SM	3,725	16	(60)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				1,166
UTILITIES	LS			(150)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(300)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(100)
DEMOLITION	SM	1,933	60	(116)
PASSIVE FORCE PROTECTION MEASURES	LS			(150)
COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT	LS			(200)
RELOCATION (SOFTBALL FIELD)	EA	1	150,000	(150)
SUBTOTAL				8,210
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				410
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				8,620
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6.	5%)			560
TOTAL REQUEST				9,181
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				9,200
EQUIPMENT FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)				(500.0)

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation, floor slab, structural frame, masonry walls and pitched roof. Areas include: classrooms, administrative area, reading rooms, 350-person theater, conference room, multipurpose room with stage, lounge, tour office, game and activities room, concession room, snack bar, storage room, auditorium, fire protection, utilities, parking and all other supporting facilities. Demolish five facilities (1,933 SM). Some facilities will be demolished partially. Includes antiterrorism/force protection requirements identified in DoD Unified Facilities Criteria.

Air Conditioning: 528 Tons

11. Requirement: 3725 SM Adequate: 375 SM Substandard: 2508 SM

PROJECT: Consolidated Community Center. (Current Mission)

REQUIREMENT: An adequate facility is required to accommodate the Community Activity Center, Base Theater, Education Center and Base Library. Project must comply with regional force protection requirements. AT/FP costs on this project are higher due to lack of required standoff distance from adjacent buildings.

CURRENT SITUATION: The existing education center facilities are inadequate for the academic, technical and occupational educational needs of military personnel to enhance their potential to the Air Force. The current education facility is insufficient and not expandable to provide required space. The base library facility is entirely inadequate to meet the needs of the community in terms of size, lighting, heating and cooling, security areas dedicated to computer usage, children's area, staff and meeting rooms. The facility is an old metal preengineered building and is not suitable for renovation and expansion. The existing

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY	r data	2. DATE				
AIR FORCE	(com <u>r</u>	(computer generated)					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE							
INCIRLIK AIR I	BASE ADANA, TURKEY	CONSOLIDATED	COMMUNITY CENT	ER			
5. PROGRAM ELI	EMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)			
27576	740-316	LJYC003006	9,20	00			

community activity center facility was built in 1966 and has deteriorated over the years. Use of the facility is restricted by poor electrical, plumbing and heating, ventilation and air conditioning (HVAC) systems. The HVAC systems are often inoperative and extremely manpower intensive to maintain. A persistent roof problem has caused considerable water damage to the interior. The existing facility does not have instructional, music, meeting or computer rooms commonly available at isolated overseas installations. The existing 350-seat theater was erected in 1955 using surplus mobilization type building material and cannot be economically upgraded. The seats are old and uncomfortable. The theater's acoustics are poor. The theater is located near the flightline with little noise attenuation. The roof leaks and there is an inadequate fire protection system. The HVAC system has been repaired numerous times.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Continued use of these substandard facilities will adversely affect the morale and quality of life of the assigned military personnel and dependents at this remote location where similar community support functions are not available off-base. Continuing education, essential to the career progression of assigned military personnel and needs of the Air Force, will continue to be limited and constrained, contributing to lowered job satisfaction and morale. Make-shift classrooms, that are not conducive to this role, will continue to be utilized in order to support the education center function. The lack of adequate library assets will have a negative impact on the entire base population, resulting in lowered morale. In addition, lack of adequate research and computer support facilities in the library contribute to deficiencies with the continuing education and PME programs. Substandard community activity facilities will persist at this base where there is no off-base alternative and the morale, productivity and career satisfaction will continue to decline. Continued use of the existing substandard facilities with associated high risk due to fire deficiencies and structural problems could cause safety hazards to our customers. ADDITIONAL: This project is not eligible for NATO funding because this type of

ADDITIONAL: This project is not eligible for NATO funding because this type of facility is not within an established NATO infrastructure category for common funding, nor is it expected to become eligible. It will continue to be a user responsibility. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Air Force Handbook 32-1084, "Facility Requirements". An economic analysis for this project comparing alternatives has been completed. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the design, development, and construction of the project in accordance with Executive Order 13423 and other applicable laws and Executive orders. Base Civil Engineer: Lt Col Randy L. Boswell, 011-90-322-316-6423. Consolidated Community Center: 3,725 SM = 40,081 SF.

FOREIGN CURRENCY: FCF Budget Rate Used: LIRA (\$000) 1.4327

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility is programmed for joint use with base tenant organizations such as AMC, DODDS, AAFES, and contractor personnel; however, it is fully funded by the Air Force.

1. COMPONENT	COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE						
AIR FORCE		(comput	er gene	rated)			
3. INSTALLATI	ON AND L	OCATION		4. PROJECT	TITLE		
INCIRLIK AIR	BASE ADA	NA, TURKEY		CONSOLIDATE	D COMMUNITY C	ENTER	
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	OST (\$000)	
27576 740-316 LJYC003006					9,	200	
12. SUPPLEMEN	TAL DATA	\ :					
a. Estimate	ed Design	n Data:					
(1) Statu	ıs:						
(a) Date Design Started 15-JUN-08							
	_	: Cost Estimates us	ed to de	velop costs	13	YES	
		omplete as of 01 JA		everop conch		15%	
	ate 35% I	_	N 2003		10	-MAR-09	
` '		-					
		n Complete				-SEP-09	
(I) Er	nergy Sti	dy/Life-Cycle anal	ysıs wa:	s/will be per	riormed	YES	
(2) Basis	ı •						
. ,		or Definitive Desig	n _			NO	
` '		ign Was Most Recent				NO	
(D) WI	iere Desi	ign was most Recent	ry used				
(3) Total	. Cost (c	e) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e)	:		(\$000)	
(a) Pr	coduction	of Plans and Spec	ificatio	ons		552	
(b) Al	ll Other	Design Costs				276	
(c) To		•				828	
` '	ontract					690	
` '	n-house					138	
, ,							
(4) Const	ruction	Contract Award				10 FEB	
(5) Const	ruction	Start				10 MAR	
(6) Const	ruction	Completion				11 MAR	
* Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.							
b. Equipmer	nt associ	lated with this pro	ject pro	ovided from o	other appropri	ations:	
				FISC	AL YEAR		
_			PROCURIN		PRIATED	COST	
EQUIPMEN:	T NOMENC	LATURE AP	PROPRIAT	CION OR RE	EQUESTED	(\$000)	
COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT 3400 2010					2010	500	

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA				2. DATE		
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)				
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE						
HQ USAF, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCT					TION	
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)
91211		102-11	P.F	AYZ100003	18,0	000
Q COCT DOTTMATEC						

	9.	COST	ESTIM	ATES			
						UNIT	COST
ITEM				U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES							18,000
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION				LS			(18,000)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES							o
SUBTOTAL							18,000
TOTAL CONTRACT COST							18,000
TOTAL REQUEST							18,000
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)							18,000

10. Description of Proposed Construction:

11. Requirement: Adequate: Substandard:

PROJECT: As required.

REQUIREMENT: Minor construction projects authorized by 10 U.S. Code 2805 are military construction projects with an estimated funded cost between \$750,000 and \$2,000,000; however projects with an estimated funded cost between \$2,000,000 and \$3,000,000 may be funded under this authority when specifically planned to correct a life, health, or safety deficiency. This package provides a means of accomplishing unforeseen or urgent and compelling projects that are not identified but which arise during FY10. Included would be projects to support new mission requirements, support of new equipment and concepts, and other essential support to Air Force missions and functions that were not anticipated and could not wait until availability of FY10 Military Construction Program funds.

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

1. COMPONENT	T FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA				2. DATE	
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)				
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE						
HQ USAF, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA PLANNING AND DESIGN				DESIGN		
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)
91211/	31324	102-11	PAYZ100002		82,3	63

COOT DOTTMATE

9. COST E	STIMATES		
ITEM	U/M QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES			82,363
PLANNING AND DESIGN (91211)	LS		(79,363)
PLANNING AND DESIGN (31324)	LS		(3,000)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES			0
SUBTOTAL			82,363
TOTAL CONTRACT COST			82,363
TOTAL REQUEST			82,363

10. Description of Proposed Construction:

11. Requirement: Adequate: Substandard:

PROJECT: As required.

TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)

REQUIREMENT: These planning and design funds are required to complete the design of facilities in the FY11 Military Construction Program, initate design of facilities in the FY12 Military Construction Program, and accomplish planning and design for major and complex technical projects with long lead-time to be included in subsequent Military Construction programs. Also provide funds for value engineering and for the support of design and construction management of projects that are funded by foreign governments and for design of classified and special programs. In addition, these funds are also used for developing the Tri-Services Cost Estimating Guide and Unified Facilities Criteria.

82,363

Page Intentionally Left Blank



Department of the Air Force

Military Construction Program

Fiscal Year (FY) 2010 Overseas Contingency Operations Request

Justification Data Submitted to Congress
May 2009

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE FISCAL YEAR 2010 OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS REQUEST TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>ITEM</u>	PAGE NO
1.	Table of Contents	269
2.	Index	271
3.	Program Summary	273
4.	Military Construction Projects DD Form 1391s	275

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE INDEX FISCAL YEAR 2010 OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

			APPROP	AUTH	
STATE/COUNTRY	INSTALLATION	PROJECT	REQUEST	REQUEST	PAGE
AFGHANISTAN	Bagram	Cargo Terminal	13,800	13,800	275
	Bagram	Expeditionary Fighter Shelter	6,400	6,400	278
	Bagram	Aviation Operations & Maintenance	8,900	8900	281
	Camp Bastion	CAS Apron Expansion	40,000	40,000	284
	Camp Bastion	ISR Apron	41,000	41,000	287
	Camp Bastion	Strategic Airlift Apron Expansion	32,000	32,000	290
	Camp Bastion	Cargo Handling Area	18,000	18,000	293
	Camp Bastion	Expeditionary Fighter Shelter	6,300	6,300	296
	Camp Bastion	Secure RSOI Facility	10,000	10,000	299
	Camp Bastion	Aviation Operations & Maintenance Facilities	8,900	8,900	302
	Dwyer	Cargo Handling Area	4,900	4,900	305
	Kandahar Air Base	CAS Apron Expansion	25,000	25,000	308
	Kandahar Air Base	Refueler Apron/Relocate HCP	66,000	66,000	311
	Kandahar Air Base	ISR Apron Expansion	40,000	40000	314
	Kandahar Air Base	Relocate North Airfield Road	16,000	16,000	317
	Kandahar Air Base	Tactical Airlift Apron	29,000	29,000	320
	Kandahar Air Base	Cargo Helicopter Apron	32,000	32,000	323
	Kandahar Air Base	Expeditionary Fighter Squadron	6,400	6400	326
	Kandahar Air Base	Secure RSOI Facility	9,700	9,700	329
	Kandahar Air Base	Aviation Operations & Maintenance	10,500	10,500	332
	Shank	Cargo Handling Area	4,900	4,900	335
	Tarin Kowt	Cargo Handling Area	4,900	4,900	338
	Wolverine	Cargo Handling Area	4,900	4,900	341
			Project		
			TOTAL:_	439,500	
		Planning & Design	35,000	35,000	344
			Overseas		
			Contingency		
			TOTAL:_	474,500	

271 May 2009

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

FY 2010 Military Construction Overseas Contingency Operations Funding (Active, Guard and Reserve Forces)

MILCON Summary

\$399.6M		\$281.0M	\$474.5M
Actual	Title IX	Supp	Total
FY08	FY08	FY09	FY10

Military Construction

Military Construction is a key enabler of overseas contingency operations directly supporting the warfighter, mission operations and enhancing force protection. This Overseas Contingency Operations request provides for expanded operations in Afghanistan including 9 projects at Kandahar, 3 projects at Bagram, 7 projects at Camp Bastion, 1 project at Tarin Kowt, 1 project at Shank, 1 project at Dwyer, and 1 project at Wolverine. The request also includes crucial Planning and Design funds for all projects.

Projects at Kandahar Air Base support expanded airfield operations for Close Air Support (CAS) missions, tactical airlift, air refueling, ISR assets, as well as a secure passenger terminal and relocation of the North Airfield Roadway. As operations in Afghanistan continue, mission critical projects at Kandahar are vital for success in the southern region.

In order to support planned increase in ground operations in Afghanistan, Bagram Air Base requires additional aircraft shelters and maintenance facilities for CAS aircraft. With more coalition Soldiers, Sailors, Marines and Airmen flowing into the theater, increase passenger terminal capacity is critical for operations at Bagram.

Currently, Camp Bastion Air Base is a true bare base that requires significant upgrade in order to support and sustain the warfighter. Camp Bastion will see a significant increase in operations requiring CAS aircraft shelters, CAS expansion apron and maintenance facilities, airfield apron expansion to support strategic airlift, a secure passenger terminal, and cargo handling area, and apron expansions to support ISR assets.

Cargo Handling areas are required at four forward operating bases, (Tarin Kowt, Shank, Wolverine, and Dwyer) to accommodate the planned increase in cargo flow.

Page Intentionally Left Blank

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

BAGRAM AB, AFGHANISTAN

4. PROJECT TITLE

CARGO TERMINAL

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

27596 141-782 ATUH103100 13,800

9. COST ESTIMATES

U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
			5,790
SM	4,700	1,232	(5,790)
			6,382
LS			(650)
LS			(180)
LS			(2,779)
LS			(2,176)
LS			(597)
			12,172
			609
			12,781
			984
			13,765
			13,800
	LS LS LS	SM 4,700 LS LS LS LS LS LS	U/M QUANTITY COST SM 4,700 1,232 LS LS LS LS LS LS

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a 4,700 SM cargo terminal facility for both inbound and outbound cargo processing. Work will include pavements, supporting infrastructure (to include power and electrical connections as appropriate), and other necessary site improvements. Line item costs in block 9 include contractor overhead and profit. Design costs are included in the total cost of this design/build project.

11. Requirement: 4700 SM Adequate: 0 SM Substandard: 0 SM

PROJECT: CARGO TERMINAL (NEW MISSION)

REQUIREMENT: Cargo Terminal is required to support an increase in cargo into Bagram as a result of the increase of up to 6 Brigade Combat Team equivalents into the southern and eastern portions of Afghanistan. The Combined Forces Air Component Commander (CFACC) has identified an increase of strategic and tactical airflow at Bagram as a key logistics capability.

CURRENT SITUATION: Bagram is currently not capable of handling the huge projected increase in cargo flow. No terminal currently exists. The existing cargo marshalling yard is scoped to handle existing traffic and is not capable of handling the drastically increased workload without the construction of the cargo terminal facility. Existing cargo operations will quickly be overwhelmed when airlift operations increase.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If this project is not funded, the commanders in Afghanistan will face unacceptable risk sustaining additional forces because the logistics concept of operations for those forces will be impossible to execute. The facilities at the existing air hubs at Bagram and Kandahar are currently overextended (not able to meet the full daily demand for airlift) and unable to support the demands of additional forces. The required increase to cargo marshalling capacity is in correspondence with and critical to the huge increase

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY	T DATA 2. DATE				
AIR FORCE	(com	(computer generated)				
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE						
BAGRAM AB, AF	AFGHANISTAN CARGO TERMINAL					
5. PROGRAM ELI	EMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST (\$000)			
27596 141-782		ATUH103100	13,800			

airlift capacity.

ADDITIONAL: All required physical security and anti-terrorism / force protection measures will be incorporated. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the development, design, and construction of the project. Joint use potential will be incorporated where feasible. This project has been coordinated with the installation physical security plan, and all physical security measures are included. Alternative methods of meeting this requirement have been explored during project development. This project is the only feasible option to meet the requirement.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE (computer generated)					
3. INSTALLATI	ON AND I		or gene.	4. PROJECT	rttt.R	
BAGRAM AB, AF				CARGO TERMII		
DAGRAM AD, AF	GUMNISIA	7/		CARGO TERMIT	NAL	
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)
27596		141-782	ATU	JH103100	13,	800
12. SUPPLEMEN	TAL DATA	\ :				
a. Estimate	d Desigr	Data:				
(1) Statu	s:					
(a) Da	te Desig	n Started			29	-SEP-08
		: Cost Estimates use		evelop costs		YES
		omplete as of 01 JAN	2009			15 %
* (d) Da	te 35% I	esigned			18	-MAR-09
	-	n Complete				-SEP-09
(f) En	ergy Stu	dy/Life-Cycle analy	rsis was	s/will be per	formed	NO
(2) Basis	:					
(a) St	andard o	or Definitive Design	ı –			NO
(b) Wh	ere Desi	.gn Was Most Recentl	y Used			
(3) Total	Cost (c	e) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e)	:		(\$000)
(a) Pr	oduction	of Plans and Speci	ficatio	ons		828
(b) Al	1 Other	Design Costs				414
(c) To	tal					1,242
(d) Co	ntract					1,136
(e) In	-house					106
(4) Const	ruction	Contract Award				10 FEB
(5) Const	ruction	Start				10 MAR

- * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.
- b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations: $\ensuremath{\mathtt{N}/\mathtt{A}}$

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE AIR FORCE (computer generated)

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

BAGRAM AB, AFGHANISTAN

4. PROJECT TITLE

EXPEDITIONARY FIGHTER SHELTER

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)
27596 211-111 ATUH103500 6,400

9. COST ESTIMATES

3. 6621 2.		•		
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES				3,255
EXPEDITIONARY FIGHTER SHELTER	SM	2,100	1,550	(3,255)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				2,389
UTILITIES (POWER PRODUCTION & DISTRIBUTION)	LS			(305)
UTILITIES (WATER & SEWER)	LS			(223)
FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEM	SM	2,100	343	(720)
PAVEMENTS	SM	3,845	200	(769)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS & DRAINAGE	LS			(372)
SUBTOTAL				5,644
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				282
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				5,927
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (7.	7%)			456
TOTAL REQUEST				6,383
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				6,400

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct two 1,050 SM expeditionary fighter shelters for conducting minor field maintenance on deployed aircraft. Work will include pavements, fire protection system, supporting infrastructure (to include power and electrical connections as appropriate), and other necessary site improvements. Line item costs in block 9 include contractor overhead and profit. Design costs are included in the total cost of this design/build project.

11. Requirement: 2100 SM Adequate: 0 SM Substandard: 0 SM

PROJECT: EXPEDITIONARY FIGHTER SHELTER (NEW MISSION)

REQUIREMENT: Expeditionary Fighter Shelters are required to support an increase in CAS capability at Bagram. The Combined Forces Air Component Commander (CFACC) has identified BAF as one of a limited number of existing airfields in Afghanistan suitable for CAS operations that will provide maximum operational effectiveness and minimum response-time in support of kinetic ground-force events.

CURRENT SITUATION: The CFACC requires beddown of fighter aircraft in Afghanistan in response to current ground-force planning efforts. New apron space, rotary wing operations, and improvements to the nearby munitions storage area; all these efforts are critical to a planned increase of up to 6+ Brigade Combat Team equivalents in Afghanistan in the next two years. This project provides logistic enablers necessary to sustain OEF forces and to give Commander USFOR-A operational flexibility to either introduce additional forces or to redeploy forces as necessary to counter emerging threats or reinforce successful operations. Bagram is central to the CFACC's air support plan. BAF currently does not have adequate fighter maintenance space available for planned counterinsurgency, "seize/hold", and police mentoring/training operations.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If fighter maintenance space is not provided at Bagram, the CFACC will not be able to support increased ground operations in Southern and

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA				2. DATE	
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)				
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE						
BAGRAM AB, AF	AGRAM AB, AFGHANISTAN EXPEDITIONARY FIGHTER SHEL				FIGHTER SHELT	TER
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)
27596		211-111	ATUH103500		6,40	00

Eastern Afghanistan. All other CAS-suitable airfields in proximity to Afghanistan (outside planned work at Bagram, KAF and Bastion) will require extensive tanker support, and also exceed desired response time to the planned area of operations. An alternate airfield will drive an increase in response time to ground-force contact, putting US Forces in increased/prolonged danger after and during insurgent contact. Alternately, the Commander may be forced to support an increase in ground forces with no increase in CAS aircraft on the ground in Afghanistan; this will cause air and ground commanders alike to assume risk in engaging insurgents, in the event no CAS is available to support.

ADDITIONAL: All required physical security and anti-terrorism / force protection measures will be incorporated. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the development, design, and construction of the project. Joint use potential will be incorporated where feasible. This project has been coordinated with the installation physical security plan, and all physical security measures are included. Alternative methods of meeting this requirement have been explored during project development. This project is the only feasible option to meet the requirement.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE (computer generated)						
AIR FORCE		(compute	er gene	rated)			
3. INSTALLATION	ON AND I	OCATION		4. PROJECT	FITLE		
BAGRAM AB, AF	GHANISTA	N.		EXPEDITIONAL	RY FIGHTER SHE	LTER	
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)	
27596		211-111	ATI	JH103500	6,4	400	
12. SUPPLEMEN	TAL DATA	\:					
a. Estimate	d Design	n Data:					
(1) Statu							
	-	gn Started			29	-SEP-08	
(b) Pa	rametrio	C Cost Estimates use	d to de	evelop costs		YES	
` '		omplete as of 01 JAN	1 2009			15%	
* (d) Da	te 35% I	Designed			18	-MAR-09	
(e) Da	te Desig	yn Complete			30	-SEP-09	
(f) En	ergy Stu	udy/Life-Cycle analy	rsis was	s/will be per	formed	NO	
(2) Basis	:						
(a) St	andard o	or Definitive Design	ı -			NO	
(b) Wh	ere Desi	ign Was Most Recentl	y Used				
(3) Total	Cost ((a) = (a) + (b) or (d)	l) + (e)	:		(\$000)	
(a) Pr	oduction	n of Plans and Speci	fication	ons		384	
		Design Costs				192	
(c) To	tal					576	
(d) Co	ntract					527	
(e) In	-house					49	
(4) Const	ruction	Contract Award				10 FEB	
(5) Const	ruction	Start				10 MAR	
(6) Const	ruction	Completion				11 SEP	
	_	letion of Project De cable to traditional					

- cost and executability.
- $\ensuremath{\text{b.}}$ Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations: N/A

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE
AIR FORCE (computer generated)

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

BAGRAM AB, AFGHANISTAN

4. PROJECT TITLE

AVIATION OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE FACS

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

27596 141-753 ATUH103400

8,900

9. COST ESTIMATES

J. 6021 221		-		
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES				5,474
OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE FACILITIES	SM	2,400	2,281	(5,474)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				2,373
UTILITIES (POWER PRODUCTION & DISTRIBUTION)	LS			(850)
FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEM	LS			(611)
SEWER SYSTEM	LS			(99)
PAVEMENTS (ROADS & TOW WAY)	SM	3,110	200	(622)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS & DRAINAGE	LS			(191)
SUBTOTAL				7,847
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				392
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				8,240
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (7.79	;)			634
TOTAL REQUEST				8,874
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				8,900

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a 2,400 SM aviation operations and maintenance facilities. Work will include pavements, supporting infrastructure (to include power and electrical connections as appropriate), and other necessary site improvements. Line item costs in block 9 include contractor overhead and profit. Design costs are included in the total cost of this design/build project.

11. Requirement: 2400 SM Adequate: 0 SM Substandard: 0 SM

PROJECT: AVIATION OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE FACS (NEW MISSION)

REQUIREMENT: Aviation Ops/Maintenance Facility is required to support increased Close Air Support (CAS) operations. The Combined Forces Air Component Commander (CFACC) has identified BAF as one of a limited number of existing airfields in Afghanistan suitable for CAS operations that will provide maximum operational effectiveness and minimum response-time in support of kinetic ground-force events.

CURRENT SITUATION: Bagram is growing considerably in aircraft and requires corresponding operations and maintenance facilities to handle the load. This project is necessary to increase force posture in Afghanistan.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The airfield will be unable to handle the increase in close air support operations tempo and significantly impact force employment in Regional Command-South.

ADDITIONAL: All required physical security and anti-terrorism / force protection measures will be incorporated. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the development, design, and construction of the project. Joint use potential will be incorporated where feasible. This project has been coordinated with the installation physical security plan, and all physical security measures are

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA				2. DATE	
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE						
,				AVIATION OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE FACS		
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)
27596		141-753	ATUH103400		8,9	00

included. Alternative methods of meeting this requirement have been explored during project development. This project is the only feasible option to meet the requirement.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements.

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE (computer generated)					
3. INSTALLATI	ON AND I			4. PROJECT	ritle	
BAGRAM AB, AF	GHANISTA	AN		AVIATION OP	ERATIONS & MAI	NTENANCE
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000
27596		141-753	ATI	UH103400	8,	900
12. SUPPLEMEN	TAL DATA	A:				
a. Estimate	d Design	n Data:				
(1) Statu	s:					
• •	•	gn Started			29	-SEP-08
		c Cost Estimates use		evelop costs		YES
		omplete as of 01 JAN	1 2009			15%
• •		Designed				-MAR-09
	_	gn Complete				-SEP-09
(f) En	ergy St	udy/Life-Cycle analy	sis was	s/will be per	rformed	NO
(2) Basis						
		or Definitive Design				NO
(b) Wh	ere Des	ign Was Most Recentl	y Used			
(3) Total	Cost ((a) = (a) + (b) or (d)	l) + (e)) :		(\$000)
	-	n of Plans and Speci				534
		Design Costs				267
(c) To						801
(d) Co	ntract					733
(e) In	-house					68
(4) Const	ruction	Contract Award				10 FEB
(5) Const	ruction	Start				10 MAR
(6) Const	ruction	Completion				11 SEP
* ****		lation of Doublant Da	وبالسلف			

- * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.
- b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations: $\ensuremath{\mathrm{N/A}}$

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE AIR FORCE (computer generated)

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION
CAMP BASTION, AFGHANISTAN

4. PROJECT TITLE
CAS APRON EXPANSION

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

27596 113-321 CMBA103200 40,000

9. COST ESTIMATES

9. COS	or Felim	WT ES			
ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES					26,177
CAS APRON PAVEMENT		SM	43,000	469	(20,167)
ARM/DE-ARM PADS		SM	10,000	469	(4,690)
CAS APRON SHOULDERS		SM	5,400	165	(891)
ARM/DE-ARM PAD SHOULDERS		SM	2,600	165	(429)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					8,948
AIRFIELD PAVEMENT MARKINGS		SM	53,000	5	(265)
GROUNDING AND TIE-DOWN POINTS		EA	260	1,000	(260)
BLAST DEFLECTOR		LS			(500)
APRON EDGE LIGHTING		LS			(885)
ELEC. PRODUCTION AND DISTRIBUTION		LS			(890)
HIGH MAST AREA LIGHTING		EA	3	305,000	(915)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS & DRAINAGE		LS			(4,635)
DEMOLITION		LS			(598)
SUBTOTAL					35,125
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)					1,756
TOTAL CONTRACT COST					36,881
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD	(7.7%)				2,840
TOTAL REQUEST					39,721
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)					40,000

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a 43,000 SM medium-load paved aircraft apron, shoulders, connecting taxiways, and Arm/De-Arm pads for 12 fighter aircraft. Work will include pavement markings, edge lighting, utilities (including but not limited to power connections and electrical infrastructure), and other necessary site improvements. Line item costs in block 9 include contractor overhead and profit. Design costs are included in the total cost of this design/build project.

11. Requirement: 89000 SM Adequate: 46000 SM Substandard: 0 SM

PROJECT: CAS APRON EXPANSION (NEW MISSION)

REQUIREMENT: In order to support a planned increase in ground operations (counterinsurgency and seize/hold) in Southern and Eastern Afghanistan, Bastion Airfield requires dedicated apron space to accommodate an additional 12 close air support (CAS) aircraft. The Combined Forces Air Component Commander (CFACC) has identified Bastion as one of a limited number of existing airfields in Afghanistan suitable for CAS operations that will provide maximum operational effectiveness and minimum response-time in support of kinetic ground-force events.

CURRENT SITUATION: The CFACC requires beddown of fighter aircraft in Afghanistan in response to current ground-force planning efforts. New apron space, rotary wing operations, and improvements to the nearby munitions storage area are all efforts

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DAT				
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)				
3. INSTALLATIO	ITLE				
CAMP BASTION,	AFGHANISTAN	PANSION			
5. PROGRAM ELE	EMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST (\$000)		
27596	113-321	CMBA103200	40,000		

critical to a planned increase of up to 6+ Brigade Combat Team equivalents in Afghanistan in the next two years. This project provides logistic enablers necessary to sustain OEF forces and to give Commander USFOR-A operational flexibility to either introduce additional forces or to redeploy forces as necessary to counter emerging threats or reinforce successful operations. Bagram, Kandahar, and Bastion are central to the CFACC's air support plan. Currently, Bastion does not have adequate apron space available for planned counterinsurgency, "seize/hold", and police mentoring/training operations. During this time, other substantial efforts to increase airfield capacity at Bastion will be ongoing, including construction of a strategic airlift apron, increased apron space for ISR aircraft.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If CAS ramp space is not provided at Bastion, the CFACC will not be able to support increased ground operations in Southern and Eastern Afghanistan. All other CAS-suitable airfields in proximity to Afghanistan (outside planned work at Bagram, KAF and Bastion) will require extensive tanker support, and also exceed desired response time to the planned area of operations. An alternate airfield will drive an increase in response to ground-force contact, putting US Forces in increased/prolonged danger after and during insurgent contact. Alternately, the Commander may be forced to support an increase in ground forces with no increase in CAS aircraft on the ground in Afghanistan; this will cause air and ground commanders alike to assume undue risk in engaging insurgents, in the event no CAS is available to support.

ADDITIONAL: All required physical security and anti-terrorism / force protection measures will be incorporated. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the development, design, and construction of the project. Joint use potential will be incorporated where feasible. This project has been coordinated with the installation physical security plan, and all physical security measures are included. Alternative methods of meeting this requirement have been explored during project development. This project is the only feasible option to meet the requirement.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements.

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE (computer generated)					
3. INSTALLATION	N AND T		si gene.	<u> </u>	n. m. m.	
				4. PROJECT		
CAMP BASTION,	AFGHANI	STAN		CAS APRON EX	XPANSION	
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)
27596		113-321	CMI	BA103200	40,	000
12. SUPPLEMEN	TAL DATA	ι:				
a. Estimate	d Desigr	Data:				
(1) Statu						
	-	n Started		_	29	-SEP-08
(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs						YES
• •		omplete as of 01 JAN	1 2009			15%
* (d) Da						-MAR-09
` '	-	n Complete	_			-SEP-09
(f) En	ergy Stu	dy/Life-Cycle analy	rsis was	s/will be per	formed	NO
(2) Basis	:					
(a) St	andard o	or Definitive Design	ı –			NO
(b) Wh	ere Desi	.gn Was Most Recentl	y Used			
(3) Total	Cost (c	e) = (a) + (b) or (d	l) + (e)	:		(\$000)
(a) Pr	oduction	of Plans and Speci	fication	ons		2,400
(b) Al	1 Other	Design Costs				1,200
(c) To	tal					3,600
(d) Co:	ntract					3,294
(e) In	-house					306
(4) Const	ruction	Contract Award				10 FEB
(5) Const	ruction	Start				10 MAR

- which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.
- b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations: N/A

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE

AIR FORCE (computer generated)

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE

CAMP BASTION, AFGHANISTAN ISR APRON

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

27596 113-321 CMBA103300 41,000

9. COST ESTIMATES						
ITEM	υ/ м	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)		
PRIMARY FACILITIES		:		23,928		
APRON PAVEMENT	SM	43,000	469	(20,167)		
APRON SHOULDERS	sm	3,700	165	(611)		
CONNECTING TAXIWAYS	sm	4,500	469	(2,111)		
TAXIWAY SHOULDERS	SM	6,300	165	(1,040)		
SUPPORTING FACILITIES		j j		12,099		
AIRFIELD PAVEMENT MARKINGS	sm	47,500	5	(238)		
GOUNDING & TIE-DOWN POINTS	EA	210	1,000	(210)		
AIRCRAFT PARKING SHELTERS	EA	10	600,000	(6,000)		
AIRFIELD EDGE LIGHTING	LS			(675)		
HIGH MAST AREA LIGHTING	EA	3	305,000	(915)		
UTILITIES (POWER PRODUCTION & DISTRIBUTION)	LS		ĺ	(500)		
SITE IMPROVEMENTS AND DRAINAGE	LS			(3,561)		
SUBTOTAL				36,026		
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				1,801		
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				37,827		
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD	(7.7%)			2,913		
TOTAL REQUEST				40,740		
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				41,000		

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a 43,000 SM medium-load paved apron sized to accommodate 20 ISR aircraft; project includes all connecting taxiways, shoulders, aircraft shelters, site work, markings, lighting, tie-downs, utilities (including but not limited to power connections and electrical infrastructure), and all other elements required to make the ramp complete and usable. Line item costs in block 9 include contractor overhead and profit. Design costs are included in the total cost of this design/build project.

11. Requirement: 43000 SM Adequate: 0 SM Substandard: 0 SM PROJECT: ISR APRON (NEW MISSION)

REQUIREMENT: A fully connected and operable apron sized and designed for 20 Intelligence, Surveillance and Reconnaissance (ISR) aircraft. The Combined Forces Air Component Commander (CFACC), has identified Bastion as a key ISR hub to support the beddown and sustainment of 6 Brigade Combat Team equivalents into the Southern and Eastern portions of Afghanistan.

CURRENT SITUATION: The CFACC requires beddown of ISR aircraft in Afghanistan in response to current ground-force planning efforts. Currently, there is not enough apron space to accommodate the number of ISR aircraft that COMUSAFOR-A has requested. This has led to delays in ISR aircraft arriving in theater as needed. New apron space is critical to a planned increase of up to 6+ Brigade Combat Team equivalents in Afghanistan in the next two years. This project provides logistic enablers necessary to sustain OEF forces and to give Commander USFOR-A operational

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA					
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE						
CAMP BASTION, AFGHANI	CAMP BASTION, AFGHANISTAN ISR APRON					
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST (\$000)			
27596	113-321	CMBA103300	41,000			

flexibility to either introduce additional forces or to redeploy forces as necessary to counter emerging threats or reinforce successful operations. Bastion is central to the CFACC's air support plan. Bastion currently has no apron space available for planned counterinsurgency, "seize/hold", and police mentoring/training operations. During this time, other substantial efforts to increase airfield capacity at Bastion will be ongoing, including construction of a strategic airlift apron expansion, increased apron space for CAS aircraft.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If ISR apron space is not provided at Bastion, the CFACC will not be able to support increased ground operations in Southern and Eastern Afghanistan. This lack of ISR assets will force the commander to dedicate his resources to ongoing operations and not allow persistent coverage of high threat areas to enable better forecasting of hostile actions or conduct pre-emptive operations and will have lost opportunities due to this lack of adequate and timely intelligence. This will force the ground force commander to either delay operations until adequate support can be provided or place his forces at increased risk due to the lack of adequate airpower.

ADDITIONAL: All required physical security and anti-terrorism / force protection measures will be incorporated. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the development, design, and construction of the project. Joint use potential will be incorporated where feasible. This project has been coordinated with the installation physical security plan, and all physical security measures are included. Alternative methods of meeting this requirement have been explored during project development. This project is the only feasible option to meet the requirement.

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 2010 MILITARY Compute			DATA	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATI	ON AND I			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
				4. PROJECT	TITLE	
CAMP BASTION,	AFGHANI	STAN		ISR APRON	,	
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT C	OST (\$000)
27596		113-321	СМ	BA103300	41	,000
12. SUPPLEMEN	TAL DATA	\:	•			
a. Estimate	d Desigr	n Data:				
(1) Statu	ıs:					
(a) Da	te Desig	n Started			2	9-SEP-08
(b) Pa	rametrio	: Cost Estimates use	ed to de	evelop costs		YES
* (c) Pe	rcent Co	omplete as of 01 JAN	7 2009			15%
* (d) Date 35% Designed					1	8-MAR-09
(e) Date Design Complete						0-SEP-09
(f) En	ergy Stu	dy/Life-Cycle analy	rsis was	s/will be per	formed	МО
(2) Basis	:					
		or Definitive Design				ио
(b) Wh	ere Desi	lgn Was Most Recentl	y Used			
(3) Total	Cost (c	!) = (a) + (b) or (d	l) + (e)	:		(\$000)
(a) Pr	oduction	of Plans and Speci	fication	ons		2,460
(b) Al	.1 Other	Design Costs				1,230
(c) To	tal					3,690
•	ntract		4			3,376
(e) In	-house					314
(4) Const	ruction	Contract Award				10 FEB
(5) Const	ruction	Start				10 MAR
(6) Const	ruction	Completion				12 MAR
which i	s compar	etion of Project Detable to traditional ability.				

b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations: $\ensuremath{\mathtt{N}/\mathtt{A}}$

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA AIR FORCE (computer generated)

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

CAMP BASTION, AFGHANISTAN

4. PROJECT TITLE

STRATEGIC AIRLIFT APRON EXPANSION

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER

8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

2. DATE

27596

113-321

CMBA103100

32,000

9. COST ESTIMATES

J. COB1 EB11	MAILE	<u>, </u>		
			UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES				24,809
PAVEMENT	SM	44,600	469	(20,917)
SHOULDERS	SM	12,500	165	(2,063)
TAXIWAYS	SM	3,900	469	(1,829)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				3,317
AIRFIELD PAVEMENT MARKINGS	SM	48,500	4	(194)
GROUNDING AND TIE-DOWN POINTS	EA	54	1,000	(54)
APRON & TAXIWAY EDGE LIGHTING	LS			(495)
HIGH MAST APRON LIGHTING	EA	4	305,000	(1,220)
ELEC. PRODUCTION AND DISTRIBUTION	LS			(425)
SITE IMPROVEMENT AND DRAINAGE	LS			(913)
DEMOLITION	LS			(16)
SUBTOTAL				28,126
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				1,406
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				29,532
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (7.7%)				2,274
TOTAL REQUEST				31,806
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				32,000

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a 44,600 SM medium-load, paved aircraft apron with shoulders and connecting taxiways for strategic airlift aircraft. Work will also include pavement markings, edge lighting, utilities (including but not limited to power and electrical connections), and other necessary site improvements. Line item costs in block 9 include contractor overhead and profit. Design costs are included in the total cost of this design/build project.

11. Requirement: 112500 SM Adequate: 0 SM Substandard: 67900 SM

PROJECT: STRATEGIC AIRLIFT APRON EXPANSION (NEW MISSION)

REQUIREMENT: Airlift aircraft parking apron to support 2 strategic airlift aircraft. Apron is required to support an increase in cargo & personnel into Camp Bastion as a result of the increase of up to 6 Brigade Combat Team equivalents into the southern and eastern portions of Afghanistan. The Combined Forces Air Component Commander (CFACC) in concert with USCENTCOM has identified an increase of strategic and tactical airflow at Bastion as a key logistics capability.

CURRENT SITUATION: Currently, the only fixed-wing apron available at the base is for two small support aircraft. CFACC is using the base increasingly in support of US Forces logistical requirements. These aircraft generally offload on a small horseshoe-shaped taxiway at mid-field, large enough for three cargo aircraft of C-17 size or below. The outboard engines of a C-17 overhang the edge of this taxiway and create a foreign-object damage (FOD) hazard; there is no additional space on which aircraft can overnight in case of maintenance issues. In order to support

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DAT					2. DATE	
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)					
3. INSTALLATIO	3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE						
CAMP BASTION, AFGHANISTAN STRATEGIC AIRLIFT APROI					RLIFT APRON EXE	PANSION	
5. PROGRAM ELE	EMENT	6. CATEGORY C	CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)
27596		113-321		CMBA103100		32,0	00

the planned buildup of ground component forces in Southern and Eastern Afghanistan, (where substantial US forces, including combat aviation, intend to beddown), additional strategic apron space is required. This project is necessary to enable increased force posture in Afghanistan.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If this project is not funded, the commanders in Afghanistan will face unacceptable risk sustaining additional forces because the logistics concept of operations for those forces will be impossible to execute. The facilities at the existing air hubs Bagram and Kandahar are currently overextended (not able to meet the full daily demand for airlift) and unable to support the demands of additional forces. The required increase to airlift capacity cannot be satisfied by increased reliance on ground transportation. Several sensitive categories of materials must be flown.

ADDITIONAL: All required physical security and anti-terrorism / force protection measures will be incorporated. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the development, design, and construction of the project. Joint use potential will be incorporated where feasible. This project has been coordinated with the installation physical security plan, and all physical security measures are included. Alternative methods of meeting this requirement have been explored during project development. This project is the only feasible option to meet the requirement.

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE (computer generated)							
3. INSTALLATI	3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE							
						WDANGTON		
CAMP BASTION,	AFGHANI	.STAN		STRATEGIC A.	IRLIFT APRON E	EXPANSION		
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)		
27596		113-321	CMI	BA103100	32,	000		
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:								
a. Estimate	d Design	n Data:						
(1) Statu	s:							
(a) Da	te Desig	gn Started			29	-SEP-08		
(b) Pa	rametrio	Cost Estimates use	d to de	evelop costs		YES		
* (c) Pe	rcent Co	omplete as of 01 JAN	1 2009			15%		
* (d) Da	te 35% I	Designed			18	-MAR-09		
(e) Da	te Desig	gn Complete			30	-SEP-09		
(f) En	ergy St	udy/Life-Cycle analy	rsis was	s/will be per	formed	NO		
(2) Basis	:							
(a) St	andard o	or Definitive Design	ı –			NO		
(b) Wh	ere Desi	ign Was Most Recentl	y Used					
(3) Total	Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d	l) + (e)	:		(\$000)		
(a) Pr	oduction	n of Plans and Speci	fication	ons		1,920		
(b) Al	1 Other	Design Costs				960		
(c) To	tal					2,880		
(d) Co	ntract					2,635		
(e) In	-house					245		
(4) Construction Contract Award 10 FEB						10 FEB		
(5) Const	(5) Construction Start 10 MAR							
(6) Const	(6) Construction Completion 12 MAR							
	_	letion of Project De rable to traditional						

- * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.
- b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations: $\ensuremath{\mathtt{N}/\mathtt{A}}$

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION
CAMP BASTION, AFGHANISTAN

4. PROJECT TITLE

CARGO HANDLING AREA

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

27596 452-258 CMBA103500 18,000

9. COST ESTIMATES

U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
			10,054
SM	1,000	1,584	(1,584)
SM	35,000	242	(8,470)
			5,806
LS			(2,826)
EA	4	305,000	(1,220)
LS		į	(655)
LS	[İ	(210)
LS			(895)
			15,860
			793
		-	16,653
)			1,282
		-	17,935
			18,000
	SM SM LS EA LS	SM 1,000 SM 35,000 LS EA 4 LS LS LS	U/M QUANTITY COST SM 1,000 1,584 SM 35,000 242 LS EA 4 305,000 LS LS LS

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a 35,000 SM cargo handling area with a 1,000 SM cargo warehouse for both inbound and outbound cargo processing. Work will include pavements, supporting infrastructure (to include power and electrical connections as appropriate), and other necessary site improvements. Line item costs in block 9 include contractor overhead and profit. Design costs are included in the total cost of this design/build project.

11. Requirement: 35000 SM Adequate: 0 SM Substandard: 0 SM

PROJECT: CARGO HANDLING AREA (NEW MISSION)

REQUIREMENT: A Cargo Handling Area is required to support an increase in cargo into Camp Bastion as a result of the increase of up to 6 Brigade Combat Team equivalents into the southern and eastern portions of Afghanistan. The Combined Forces Air Component Commander (CFACC) has identified an increase of strategic and tactical airflow at Bastion as a key logistics capability.

CURRENT SITUATION: Bastion is currently not capable of handling the huge projected increase in cargo flow. The existing site has only basic expedient cargo handling capability and very limited capacity. The current area is compacted earth and presents an increased risk of Foreign Object Damage (FOD) to aircraft and is further exacerbated during the wet season. Existing area will quickly be overwhelmed when planned operations increase.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If this project is not funded, the commanders in Afghanistan will face unacceptable risk sustaining additional forces because the logistics concept of operations for those forces will be impossible to execute. The increased risk of FOD damage to aircraft will require increased measures to reduce FOD hazards on the airfield pavements or risk reducing the ability of Bastion to handle the expected increased troop strength and corresponding airflow.

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITA	2. DATE			
AIR FORCE	(co				
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE					
CAMP BASTION,					
5. PROGRAM ELE	EMENT 6. CATEGORY COL	DE 7. PROJECT NUM	7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (S		
27596	452-258	CMBA103500	18,0	00	

The facilities at the existing air hubs Bagram and Kandahar are currently overextended (not able to meet the full daily demand for airlift) and unable to support the demands of additional forces. With the forecasted increase in troop end strength of up to 500% above current levels, the required increase to cargo handling capacity is critical and directly correlates to the planned increase in required airlift capacity.

ADDITIONAL: All required physical security and anti-terrorism / force protection measures will be incorporated. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the development, design, and construction of the project. Joint use potential will be incorporated where feasible. This project has been coordinated with the installation physical security plan, and all physical security measures are included. Alternative methods of meeting this requirement have been explored during project development. This project is the only feasible option to meet the requirement.

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE (computer generated)						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE							
CAMP BASTION, AFGHANISTAN CARGO HANDLING AREA							
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)	
27596		452-258	СМІ	BA103500	18,	000	
12. SUPPLEMEN	TAL DATA	\:					
a. Estimate	d Design	n Data:					
(1) Statu	s:						
	-	n Started			29	-SEP-08	
		Cost Estimates use		evelop costs		YES	
		omplete as of 01 JAN	1 2009			15 %	
* (d) Da	te 35% I	Designed			18	-MAR-09	
(e) Da	te Desig	yn Complete			30	-SEP-09	
(f) En	ergy Stu	udy/Life-Cycle analy	rsis was	s/will be per	formed	NO	
(2) Basis	:						
(a) St	andard o	or Definitive Design	ı –			NO	
(b) Wh	ere Desi	ign Was Most Recentl	y Used				
(3) Total	Cost (e) = (a) + (b) or (d	l) + (e)	:		(\$000)	
(a) Pr	oduction	n of Plans and Speci	fication	ons		1,080	
(b) Al	1 Other	Design Costs				540	
(c) To	tal					1,620	
(d) Co	ntract					1,482	
(e) In	-house					138	
(4) Construction Contract Award 10 FEB						10 FEB	
(5) Const	(5) Construction Start 10 MAR						
(6) Const	(6) Construction Completion 11 SEP						
	_	letion of Project De Table to traditional					

- * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.
- b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations: $\ensuremath{\mathtt{N}/\mathtt{A}}$

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE AIR FORCE (computer generated)

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION
CAMP BASTION, AFGHANISTAN

EXPEDITIONARY FIGHTER SHELTER

4. PROJECT TITLE

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT | 6. CATEGORY CODE | 7. PROJECT NUMBER | 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

27596 211-111 CMBA103700 6,300

9. COST ESTIMATES

9. COST ESTI	9. COST ESTIMATES							
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)				
PRIMARY FACILITIES				3,255				
EXPEDITIONARY FIGHTER SHELTER	SM	2,100	1,550	(3,255)				
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				2,300				
UTILITIES (POWER PRODUCTION & DISTRIBUTION)	LS			(299)				
UTILITIES (WATER & SEWER)	LS			(219)				
FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEM	SM	2,100	343	(720)				
PAVEMENTS (ROADS & TOW WAY)	SM	3,765	200	(753)				
SITE IMPROVEMENTS & DRAINAGE	LS			(309)				
SUBTOTAL				5,555				
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				278				
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				5,833				
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (7.7%)				449				
TOTAL REQUEST				6,282				
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				6,300				

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct two 1,050 SM expeditionary fighter shelters for conducting minor field maintenance on deployed aircraft. Work will include pavements, fire protection system, supporting infrastructure (to include power and electrical connections as appropriate), and other necessary site improvements. Line item costs in block 9 include contractor overhead and profit. Design costs are included in the total cost of this design/build project.

11. Requirement: 2100 SM Adequate: 0 SM Substandard: 0 SM

PROJECT: EXPEDITIONARY FIGHTER SHELTER (NEW MISSION)

REQUIREMENT: Expeditionary Fighter Shelters are required to support increased CAS capability at Bastion. The Combined Forces Air Component Commander (CFACC) has identified Bastion as one of a limited number of existing airfields in Afghanistan suitable for CAS operations that will provide maximum operational effectiveness and minimum response-time in support of kinetic ground-force events.

CURRENT SITUATION: The CFACC requires beddown of fighter aircraft in Afghanistan in response to current ground-force planning efforts. New apron space, rotary wing operations, and improvements to the nearby munitions storage are all efforts critical to a planned increase of up to 6+ Brigade Combat Team equivalents in Afghanistan in the next two years. This project provides logistic enablers necessary to sustain OEF forces and to give Commander USFOR-A operational flexibility to either introduce additional forces or to redeploy forces as necessary to counter emerging threats or reinforce successful operations. Bastion is central to the CFACC's air support plan. Camp Bastion currently has no fighter maintenance space available for planned counterinsurgency, "seize/hold", and police mentoring/training operations.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If fighter maintenance space is not provided at Bastion, the CFACC will not be able to support increased ground operations in Southern and

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

1. COMPONENT	FY	2. DATE						
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE								
CAMP BASTION, AFGHANISTAN				EXPEDITIONARY FIGHTER SHELTER				
5. PROGRAM ELI	EMENT 6. 0	CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)		
27596		211-111	CMBA103700		6,3	00		

Eastern Afghanistan. All other CAS-suitable airfields in proximity to Afghanistan (outside planned work at Bagram, KAF and Bastion) will require extensive tanker support, and also exceed desired response time to the planned area of operations. An alternate airfield will drive an increase in response to ground-force contact, putting US Forces in increased/prolonged danger after and during insurgent contact. Alternately, the Commander may be forced to support an increase in ground forces with no increase in CAS aircraft on the ground in Afghanistan; this will cause air and ground commanders alike to assume risk in engaging insurgents, in the event no CAS is available to support.

ADDITIONAL: All required physical security and anti-terrorism / force protection measures will be incorporated. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the development, design, and construction of the project. Joint use potential will be incorporated where feasible. This project has been coordinated with the installation physical security plan, and all physical security measures are included. Alternative methods of meeting this requirement have been explored during project development. This project is the only feasible option to meet the requirement.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MI	LITARY CONSTRUC	CTION PROJECT	DATA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		(computer gene	erated)		
3. INSTALLATION	AND LOCATION		4. PROJECT	TITLE	
CAMP BASTION, A	GHANISTAN .		EXPEDITIONAL	RY FIGHTER SHE	LTER
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT 6. CATEGO	RY CODE 7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)
27596	211-	111 CM	IBA103700	6,	300
12. SUPPLEMENTAL	DATA:	•			
a. Estimated	Design Data:				
(1) Status:	Danis dranta				00
	Design Started metric Cost Estin	matag ugad ta d	lorrolon gogta	29	-SEP-08 YES
	ent Complete as o		evelop costs		15%
` '	35% Designed	OI 01 0AN 2009		1 Ω	-MAR-09
	Design Complete				-MAR-09 -SEP-09
• •	gy Study/Life-Cyc	cle analysis wa	s/will be per		NO
(2) Basis:					
(a) Stan	dard or Definitiv	ve Design -			NO
(b) Where	e Design Was Most	t Recently Used	l		
(3) Total Co	ost (c) = (a) + ((b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a) Prod	action of Plans a	and Specificati	ons		378
(b) All	Other Design Cost	ts			189
(c) Tota	L				567
(d) Cont	ract				519
(e) In-h	ouse				48
(4) Construc	ction Contract Aw	ward			10 FEB
(5) Construc	ction Start				10 MAR
(6) Constru	tion Completion				11 SEP

- which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.
- b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations: N/A

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	I

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

4. PROJECT TITLE

CAMP BASTION, AFGHANISTAN SECURE RSOI FACILITY

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

27596 141-784 CMBA103400 10,000

9. COST ESTIMATES

J. 6651 E51				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES				6,480
PASSENGER TERMINAL FACILITY	SM	2,400	2,700	(6,480)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				2,336
UTILITIES	LS			(425)
PAVEMENTS	SM	4,595	200	(919)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS & DRAINAGE	LS			(992)
SUBTOTAL				8,816
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				441
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				9,257
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (7.7%)			713
TOTAL REQUEST				9,970
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				10,000

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a 2,400 SM pre-engineered metal building or similar type of construction passenger terminal for the reception, staging, onward-movement and integration (RSOI) facility. The facility will be sized for both inbound and outbound passenger processing. Work will include pavements, supporting infrastructure (to include power and electrical connections as appropriate), and other necessary site improvements. Line item costs in block 9 include contractor overhead and profit. Design costs are included in the total cost of this design/build project.

11. Requirement: 2400 SM Adequate: 0 SM Substandard: 0 SM

PROJECT: SECURE RSOI FACILITY (NEW MISSION)

REQUIREMENT: Passenger Terminal is required to support an increase in passengers into Bastion as a result of the increase of up to 6 Brigade Combat Team equivalents into the southern and eastern portions of Afghanistan. The Combined Forces Air Component Commander (CFACC) has identified an increase of strategic and tactical airflow at Bastion as a key logistics capability.

CURRENT SITUATION: Bastion is currently not capable of handling the huge projected increase in passenger flow. All passenger processing is currently executed either outdoors or in tents. The operation is scoped to handle existing traffic and is not capable of handling the drastically increased workload. The existing operation will quickly be overwhelmed when airlift operations increase.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If this project is not funded, the commanders in Afghanistan will face unacceptable risk sustaining additional forces because the logistics concept of operations for those forces will be impossible to execute. The existing facilities are unable to support the demands of additional forces. The required increase to passenger processing up to 500% from current capacity is in correspondence with and critical to the planned increase in both troop strength and airlift capacity.

ADDITIONAL: All required physical security and anti-terrorism / force protection measures will be incorporated. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

1. COMPONENT	F	Y 2010 MILITARY	CONSTR	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE				
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT TITLE					
CAMP BASTION, AFGHANISTAN				SECURE RSOI FACILITY				
5. PROGRAM ELI	EMENT 6.	CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)		
27596		141-784	CI	IBA103400	10,0	000		

development, design, and construction of the project. Joint use potential will be incorporated where feasible. This project has been coordinated with the installation physical security plan, and all physical security measures are included. Alternative methods of meeting this requirement have been explored during project development. This project is the only feasible option to meet the requirement.

ı						
1. COMPONENT		FY 2010 MILITARY CO	ONSTRUC	TION PROJECT	DATA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		(compute	er gene	rated)		
3. INSTALLATIO	ON AND I	OCATION		4. PROJECT	FITLE	
CAMP BASTION,	AFGHANI	STAN		SECURE RSOI	FACILITY	
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)
27596		141-784	CMI	BA103400	10,	000
12. SUPPLEMEN	TAL DATA	A:				
a. Estimate	d Design	n Data:				
(1) Statu	s:					
(a) Da	te Desig	gn Started			29	-SEP-08
		C Cost Estimates use		evelop costs		YES
` '		omplete as of 01 JAN	1 2009			15 %
* (d) Da		-				-MAR-09
(e) Da	te Desig	gn Complete			30	-SEP-09
(f) En	ergy St	udy/Life-Cycle analy	sis was	s/will be per	rformed	NO
(2) Basis	:					
		or Definitive Design				NO
(b) Wh	ere Des:	ign Was Most Recentl	y Used			
(3) Total	Cost ((a) = (a) + (b) or (a)	l) + (e)) :		(\$000)
(a) Pr	oduction	n of Plans and Speci	fication	ons		600
(b) Al	1 Other	Design Costs				300
(c) To	tal					900
(d) Co	ntract					823
(e) In	-house					77
(4) Const	ruction	Contract Award				10 FEB
(5) Const	ruction	Start				10 MAR
(6) Const	ruction	Completion				11 SEP
	_	letion of Project De rable to traditional				

- cost and executability.
- $\ensuremath{\text{b.}}$ Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations: N/A

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE AIR FORCE (computer generated)

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

4. PROJECT TITLE

CAMP BASTION, AFGHANISTAN

AVIATION OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE FACS

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

27596 141-753 CMBA103600 8,900

9. COST ESTIMATES

9. COST EST:	IMATES	5		
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES				5,474
OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE FACILITIES	SM	2,400	2,281	(5,474)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				2,373
UTILITIES (POWER PRODUCTION & DISTRIBUTION)	LS			(850)
FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEM	LS			(611)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS & DRAINAGE	LS			(191)
SEWER SYSTEM	LS			(99)
PAVEMENTS (ROADS & TOW WAY)	SM	3,110	200	(622)
SUBTOTAL				7,847
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				392
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				8,240
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (7.7%)			634
TOTAL REQUEST				8,874
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				8,900

- 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct 2,400 SM in aviation operations and maintenance facilities. Work will include pavements, supporting infrastructure (to include power and electrical connections as appropriate), and other necessary site improvements. Line item costs in block 9 include contractor overhead and profit. Design costs are included in the total cost of this design/build project.
- 11. Requirement: 2400 SM Adequate: 0 SM Substandard: 0 SM

PROJECT: AVIATION OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE FACILITIES (NEW MISSION)

REQUIREMENT: Aviation Ops/Maintenance Facilities are required to support increased Close Air Support (CAS) operations. The Combined Forces Air Component Commander (CFACC) has identified Camp Bastion as one of a limited number of existing airfields in Afghanistan suitable for CAS operations that will provide maximum operational effectiveness and minimum response-time in support of kinetic ground-force events.

CURRENT SITUATION: Bastion currently does not have any facilities for supporting maintenance operations on deployed CAS aircraft. Maintenance is executed out in the open or deferred until the aircraft can be flown to an airfield where the required maintenance can be conducted.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Bastion will be unable to perform required operations and maintenance of assigned aircraft. As a minimum aircraft will need to rotate to other locations for required maintenance, greatly increasing downtime and decreasing sortic generation rate. It is also possible aircraft will not be deployed to Bastion and support for the warfighters will be extremely limited.

ADDITIONAL: All required physical security and anti-terrorism / force protection

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

May 2009 302

1. COMPONENT		FY 2010 MILITARY	CONSTR	UCTION PROJECT	DATA	2. DATE	
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION				4. PROJECT TITLE			
CAMP BASTION, AFGHANISTAN				AVIATION OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE FACS			
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)	
27596		141-753	CMBA103600		8,9	00	

measures will be incorporated. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the development, design, and construction of the project. Joint use potential will be incorporated where feasible. This project has been coordinated with the installation physical security plan, and all physical security measures are included. Alternative methods of meeting this requirement have been explored during project development. This project is the only feasible option to meet the requirement.

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE		FY 2010 MILITARY Co			DATA	2. DATE
3. INSTALLATI	ON AND I	LOCATION		4. PROJECT	 FITLE	I.
CAMP BASTION,	AFGHAN1	ISTAN		AVIATION OP	ERATIONS & MAI	INTENANCE
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)
27596		141-753	СМІ	BA103600	8,	900
12. SUPPLEMEN	TAL DAT	A:				
a. Estimate	d Desig	n Data:				
(1) Statu	s:					
(a) Da	te Desi	gn Started			29	-SEP-08
(b) Pa	rametri	c Cost Estimates use	ed to de	evelop costs		YES
* (c) Pe	rcent C	omplete as of 01 JAN	1 2009			15%
* (d) Da	te 35% 1	Designed			18	-MAR-09
(e) Da	te Desi	gn Complete			30	-SEP-09
(f) En	ergy St	udy/Life-Cycle analy	sis was	s/will be per	formed	NO
(2) Basis	:					
(a) St	andard o	or Definitive Design	ı -			NO
(b) Wh	ere Des	ign Was Most Recentl	y Used			
(3) Total	Cost ((a) = (a) + (b) or (a)	l) + (e)	:		(\$000)
(a) Pr	oduction	n of Plans and Speci	fication	ons		534
		Design Costs				267
(c) To	tal					801
• •	ntract					733
(e) In	-house					68
(4) Const	ruction	Contract Award				10 FEB
(5) Const	ruction	Start				10 MAR
(6) Const	ruction	Completion				11 SEP

- * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.
- b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations: $\ensuremath{\mathrm{N/A}}$

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION
FOB DWYER, AFGHANISTAN

4. PROJECT TITLE
CARGO HANDLING AREA

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

27596 452-258 ACC103910 4,900

9. COST ESTIMATES

3. CODI 1111		•		
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES				3,725
CARGO WAREHOUSE AND OFFICE	SM	500	2,050	(1,025)
PAVED CARGO MARSHALLING YARD	SM	10,000	270	(2,700)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				602
UTILITIES (ELEC. PRODUCTION & DISTRIBUTION)	LS			(240)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS & DRAINAGE	LS			(362)
SUBTOTAL				4,327
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				216
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				4,543
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (7.7%)				350
TOTAL REQUEST				4,893
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				4,900

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct 10,000 SM cargo handling area and associated 500 SM cargo warehouse for both inbound and outbound cargo processing. Work will include pavements, supporting infrastructure (to include power and electrical connections as appropriate), and other necessary site improvements. Line item costs in block 9 include contractor overhead and profit. Design costs are included in the total cost of this design/build project.

11. Requirement: 10000 SM Adequate: 0 SM Substandard: 0 SM

PROJECT: CARGO HANDLING AREA (NEW MISSION)

REQUIREMENT: A Cargo Handling Area is required to support an increase in cargo into FOB Dwyer as a result of the increase of up to 6 Brigade Combat Team equivalents into the southern and eastern portions of Afghanistan. The Combined Forces Air Component Commander (CFACC) has identified an increase of tactical airflow at Dwyer as a key logistics capability.

CURRENT SITUATION: FOB Dwyer is currently not capable of handling the huge projected increase in cargo flow. Existing site has only expedient cargo handling capability and very limited capacity. The existing area will quickly be overwhelmed when operations increase.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If this project is not funded, the commanders in Afghanistan will face unacceptable risk sustaining additional forces because the logistics concept of operations for those forces will be impossible to execute. The facilities at the existing air hubs Bagram and Kandahar are currently overextended (not able to meet the full daily demand for airlift) and unable to support the demands of additional forces. The required increase to cargo marshalling capacity is in correspondence with and critical to the huge increase airlift capacity.

ADDITIONAL: All required physical security and anti-terrorism / force protection measures will be incorporated. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the development, design, and construction of the project. Joint use potential will be

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY	r data	2. DATE	
AIR FORCE	(comp			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE				
FOB DWYER, AFO	ER, AFGHANISTAN CARGO HANDLING AREA			
5. PROGRAM ELI	EMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)
27596	452-258	ACC103910	4,90	00

incorporated where feasible. This project has been coordinated with the installation physical security plan, and all physical security measures are included. Alternative methods of meeting this requirement have been explored during project development. This project is the only feasible option to meet the requirement.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements.

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

1. COMPONENT		FY 2010 MILITARY CO			DATA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		(compute	er gene	rated)		
3. INSTALLATIO	N AND L	OCATION		4. PROJECT	FITLE	
FOB DWYER, AFG	HANISTA	N		CARGO HANDL	ING AREA	
5. PROGRAM ELE	MENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)
27596		452-258	AC	C103910	4,:	900
12. SUPPLEMENT	'AL DATA	·:				
a. Estimated	l Design	Data:				
(1) Status		_				
	-	n Started		_	29	-SEP-08
		Cost Estimates use		evelop costs		YES
` ,		omplete as of 01 JAN	1 2009			15%
* (d) Dat		-				-MAR-09
	-	n Complete				-SEP-09
(f) Ene	ergy Stu	dy/Life-Cycle analy	sis was	s/will be per	riormed	NO
(2) Basis:						
		or Definitive Design				NO
(b) Whe	re Desi	gn Was Most Recentl	y Used			
(3) Total	Cost (c	(a) = (a) + (b) or (d)	l) + (e)	:		(\$000)
(a) Pro	duction	of Plans and Speci	fication	ons		294
(b) All	Other	Design Costs				147
(c) Tot	:al					441
(d) Cor	tract					404
(e) In-	house					37
(4) Constr	uction	Contract Award				10 FEB
(5) Constr	uction	Start				10 MAR
(6) Constr		G1				11 MAR

- which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.
- b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations: N/A

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA
AIR FORCE (computer generated)

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

4. PROJECT TITLE

2. DATE

KANDAHAR AB, AFGHANISTAN

CAS APRON EXPANSION

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

27596 113-321 LYAV103400 25,000

9. COST ESTIMATES

9. COST ES	TIMATES	j		
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES				19,289
APRON PAVEMENT	SM	32,700	469	(15,336)
APRON SHOULDERS	ям	2,600	165	(429)
CONNECTING TAXIWAYS	ям	6,000	469	(2,814)
TAXIWAY SHOULDERS	SM	4,300	165	(710)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				2,786
AIRFIELD PAVEMENT MARKINGS	SM	38,700	5	(194)
GROUNDING & TIE DOWN POINTS	EA	108	1,000	(108)
BLAST DEFLECTOR	LS		İ	(500)
AIRFIELD EDGE LIGHTING	LS		İ	(375)
HIGH MAST AREA LIGHTING	EA	3	305,000	(915)
UTILITIES (POWER PRODUCTION & DISTRIBUTION)	LS		į	(425)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS & DRAINAGE	LS			(269)
SUBTOTAL				22,074
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				1,104
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				23,178
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (7.3	7%)			1,785
TOTAL REQUEST				24,963
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				25,000

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a 32,700 SM medium-load paved apron sized to accommodate 12 fighters; project includes all connecting taxiways, shoulders, site work, markings, lighting, tie-downs, utilities (including but not limited to power connections and electrical infrastructure), and all other elements required to make the ramp complete and usable. Line item costs in block 9 include contractor overhead and profit. Design costs are included in the total cost of this design/build project.

11. Requirement: 90900 SM Adequate: 58200 SM Substandard: 0 SM

PROJECT: CAS APRON EXPANSION (NEW MISSION)

REQUIREMENT: In order to support a planned increase in ground operations (counterinsurgency and seize/hold) in Southern and Eastern Afghanistan, Kandahar Airfield (KAF) requires dedicated apron space to accommodate 12 close air support (CAS) aircraft. The Combined Forces Air Component Commander (CFACC) has identified KAF as one of a limited number of existing airfields in Afghanistan suitable for CAS operations that will provide maximum operational effectiveness and minimum response-time in support of kinetic ground-force events.

CURRENT SITUATION: The CFACC requires beddown of fighter aircraft in Afghanistan in response to current ground-force planning efforts. New apron space, rotary wing operations, and improvements to the nearby munitions storage area; all efforts are critical to a planned increase of up to 6+ Brigade Combat Team equivalents in Afghanistan in the next two years. This project provides additional CAS apron

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA				
AIR FORCE	(compu				
3. INSTALLATION A	AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE			
KANDAHAR AB, AFG	B, AFGHANISTAN CAS APRON EXPANSION				
5. PROGRAM ELEME	ENT 6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST (\$000)		
27596	113-321	LYAV103400	25,000		

space to sustain OEF forces and to give Commander USFOR-A operational flexibility to either introduce additional forces or to redeploy forces as necessary to counter emerging threats or reinforce successful operations. Bagram, Kandahar, and Bastion are central to the CFACC's air support plan. KAF currently has limited apron space available for planned increase in CAS aircraft to support counterinsurgency, "seize/hold", and police mentoring/training operations. During this time, other substantial efforts to increase airfield capacity at KAF will be ongoing, including construction of aprons for strategic airlift, ISR, Refueler and Cargo Helicopter aircraft.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If additional CAS ramp space is not provided at Kandahar, the CFACC will not be able to support increased ground operations in Southern and Eastern Afghanistan. All other CAS-suitable airfields in proximity to Afghanistan (outside planned work at Bagram, KAF and Bastion) will require extensive tanker support, and also exceed desired response time to the planned area of operations. An alternate airfield will drive an increase in response to ground-force contact, putting US Forces in increased/prolonged danger after and during insurgent contact. Alternately, the Commander may be forced to support an increase in ground forces with no increase in CAS aircraft on the ground in Afghanistan; this will cause air and ground commanders alike to assume undue risk in engaging insurgents, in the event no CAS is available to support.

ADDITIONAL: All required physical security and anti-terrorism / force protection measures will be incorporated. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the development, design, and construction of the project. Joint use potential will be incorporated where feasible. This project has been coordinated with the installation physical security plan, and all physical security measures are included. Alternative methods of meeting this requirement have been explored during project development. This project is the only feasible option to meet the requirement.

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE						
AIR FORCE	IR FORCE (computer generated)					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE						
KANDAHAR AB, AFGH	ANISTAN		CAS APRON EX	KPANSION		
5. PROGRAM ELEMEN	T 6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROC	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)	
27596	113-321	LYZ	AV103400	25,	000	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL	DATA:	•				
a. Estimated De	sign Data:					
(1) Status:						
(a) Date I	Design Started			15	-JUN-08	
(b) Parame	etric Cost Estimates use	ed to de	velop costs		YES	
* (c) Percent Complete as of 01 JAN 2009 15%						
* (d) Date 35% Designed 18-MAR-09						
(e) Date Design Complete 30-SEP-09						
(f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed NO						
(2) Basis:						
(a) Standa	ard or Definitive Design	n -			NO	
(b) Where	Design Was Most Recent	ly Used				
	st(c) = (a) + (b) or (a)				(\$000)	
	ction of Plans and Spec	ificatio	ns		1,500	
	ther Design Costs				750	
(c) Total					2,250	
(d) Contra					2,060	
(e) In-hou	ıse				190	
(4) Construct	ion Contract Award				10 FEB	
(5) Construct	ion Start				10 MAR	
(6) Construct	(6) Construction Completion 12 MAR					
* Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate						

- which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.
- b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations: N/A

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA
AIR FORCE (computer generated)

2. DATE

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

KANDAHAR AB, AFGHANISTAN

4. PROJECT TITLE

REFUELER APRON / RELOCATE HCP

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)
27596 116-662 LYAV103300 66,000

9. COST ESTIMATES

9. COST ESTIMATES						
TMTN	U/M	OHANDIDI	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)		
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)		
PRIMARY FACILITIES				49,885		
REFUELER APRON PAVEMENT	SM	66,000	473	(31,218)		
HOT CARGO PAD PAVEMENT	SM	17,500	473	(8,278)		
CONNECTING TAXIWAY	SM	13,000	473	(6,149)		
SHOULDERS	SM	25,700	165	(4,241)		
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				8,313		
AIRFIELD PAVEMENT MARKINGS	SM	96,500	5	(483)		
GROUNDING & TIE DOWN POINTS	EA	144	1,000	(144)		
AIRFIELD EDGE LIGHTING	LS			(925)		
HIGH MAST APRON LIGHTING	EA	8	305,000	(2,440)		
UTILITIES (POWER PRODUCTION & DISTRIBUTION)	LS			(1,385)		
FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEM	LS			(393)		
SITE IMPROVEMENTS & DRAINAGE	LS			(2,543)		
SUBTOTAL				58,198		
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				2,910		
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				61,107		
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (7.7%)				4,705		
TOTAL REQUEST				65,813		
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				66,000		

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a 66,000 SM heavy-load paved refueler apron and relocate the hazardous cargo pad. Refueler apron sized to accommodate KC-10 and KC-135 aircraft and the hazardous cargo apron to accommodate C-5 aircraft; project includes all connecting taxiways, shoulders, site work, markings, lighting, tie-downs, utilities (including but not limited to power connections and electrical infrastructure), and all other elements required to make the ramp complete and usable. Line item costs in block 9 include contractor overhead and profit. Design costs are included in the total cost of this design/build project.

11. Requirement: 66000 SM Adequate: 0 SM Substandard: 0 SM

PROJECT: HOT CARGO PAD RELOCATION (NEW MISSION)

REQUIREMENT: The Refueler Apron is required to provide required tanker support in theater to meet CFAC's requirement for additional aircraft in theater. The Hot Cargo Pad is required to replace the existing pad that impedes safe and efficient airfield operations. Relocation will optimally situate (with respect to QD Arcs) the pad and allow for critical near-airfield real estate to be used for tanker aircraft parking.

CURRENT SITUATION: The existing Hot Cargo Pad and its QD Arcs currently occupy valuable airfield real estate. The Combined Forces Air Component Commander (CFACC) has identified an increase of strategic, refueler, and tactical airflow at Kandahar as a key logistics capability. With an increase of up to 6 Brigade Combat Team

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

May 2009

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY	MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE			
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)				
3. INSTALLATION AND	LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE			
KANDAHAR AB, AFGHANI	AB, AFGHANISTAN REFUELER APRON / RELOCATE H				
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)	
27596	116-662	LYAV103300	66,0	000	

equivalents into the southern and eastern portions of Afghanistan and corresponding increase in airfield operation, airfield parking space is at a premium. This space will be used to site the new refueler apron.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The CFACC will be unable to sustain combat and airlift air operations at the desired rate to support US ground forces deployed in Afghanistan. Kandahar will essentially run out of space for aircraft parking and be unable to grow with operational demands.

ADDITIONAL: All required physical security and anti-terrorism / force protection measures will be incorporated. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the development, design, and construction of the project. Joint use potential will be incorporated where feasible. This project has been coordinated with the installation physical security plan, and all physical security measures are included. Alternative methods of meeting this requirement have been explored during project development. This project is the only feasible option to meet the requirement.

. INSTALLATION AND I			4. PROJECT :	FITLE RON / RELOCATE	
27596	6. CATEGORY CODE	<u> </u>	REFUELER AP	RON / RELOCATE	
27596		7. PROJ			E HCP
	116 662		ECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)
2. SUPPLEMENTAL DAT	116-662	LYA	V103300	66,	000
D. DOLLDENING DILL	A:				
a. Estimated Desig	n Data:				
(1) Status:					
(a) Date Desi	~			29	-SEP-08
(b) Parametri	c Cost Estimates use	ed to de	velop costs		YES
* (c) Percent C	omplete as of 01 JAM	1 2009			15%
* (d) Date 35%	Designed			18	-MAR-09
(e) Date Desi	gn Complete			30	-SEP-09
(f) Energy St	udy/Life-Cycle analy	ysis was	/will be per	rformed	NO
(2) Basis:					
	or Definitive Design				NO
(b) Where Des	ign Was Most Recentl	ly Used			
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (c)	d) + (e)	:		(\$000)
	n of Plans and Speci	ification	ns		3,960
	Design Costs				1,980
(c) Total					5,940
(d) Contract					5,435
(e) In-house					505
(4) Construction	Contract Award				10 FEB
(5) Construction	Start				10 MAR
(6) Construction	Completion				12 MAR

- * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.
- b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations: $\ensuremath{\mathtt{N}/\mathtt{A}}$

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA
AIR FORCE (computer generated)

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

KANDAHAR AB, AFGHANISTAN ISR APRON EXPANSION

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)
27596 113-321 LYAV103500 40,000

4. PROJECT TITLE

9. COST ESTIMATES

J. 6651 E511		•		
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES				21,260
APRON PAVEMENT	SM	36,200	469	(16,978)
APRON SHOULDERS	SM	4,600	165	(759)
CONNECTING TAXIWAYS	SM	6,000	469	(2,814)
TAXIWAY SHOULDERS	SM	4,300	165	(710)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				13,841
AIRFIELD PAVEMENT MARKINGS	SM	42,200	5	(211)
GROUNDING & TIE-DOWN POINTS	EA	156	1,000	(156)
AIRCRAFT PARKING SHELTERS	EA	13	600,000	(7,800)
AIRFIELD EDGE LIGHTING	LS			(635)
HIGH MAST AREA LIGHING	EA	3	305,000	(915)
UTILITIES (POWER PRODUCTION & DISTRIBUTION)	LS			(425)
FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEM	LS			(429)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS & DRAINAGE	SM	51,100	64	(3,270)
SUBTOTAL				35,102
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				1,755
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				36,857
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (7.7%)				2,838
TOTAL REQUEST				39,695
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				40,000

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a 36,200 SM medium-load paved apron sized to accommodate 26 ISR aircraft; project includes all connecting taxiways, shoulders, aircraft shelters, site work, markings, lighting, tie-downs, utilities (including but not limited to power connections and electrical infrastructure), and all other elements required to make the ramp complete and usable. Line item costs in block 9 include contractor overhead and profit. Design costs are included in the total cost of this design/build project.

11. Requirement: 89200 SM Adequate: 53000 SM Substandard: SM

PROJECT: ISR APRON EXPANSION (NEW MISSION)

REQUIREMENT: A fully connected and operable apron sized and designed for 26 ISR aircraft. The Combined Forces Air Component Commander (CFACC) has identified Kandahar as a key ISR hub to support the beddown and sustainment of 6 Brigade Combat Team equivalents into the Southern and Eastern portions of Afghanistan. This new requirement of 26 aircraft will expand upon the previously defined requirement of 26 ISR aircraft that drove design for the FY08 ISR Ramp project.

CURRENT SITUATION: The CFACC requires beddown of ISR aircraft in Afghanistan in response to current ground-force planning efforts. New apron space is critical to a planned increase of up to 6+ Brigade Combat Team equivalents in Afghanistan in

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

2. DATE

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE				
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)				
3. INSTALLATIO	ON AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE				
KANDAHAR AB,	IAR AB, AFGHANISTAN ISR APRON EXPANSION				
5. PROGRAM ELI	EMENT 6. CATEGORY CO	ODE 7. PROJ	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)
27596	113-321	LY	AV103500	40,0	00

the next two years. This project provides additional apron space to accommodate the exploding requirement for ISR assets to support the ground forces and conduct surveillance of other critical areas. The current and planned aprons will not meet the requirement for additional ISR assets in this region of Afghanistan and to give Commander USFOR-A operational flexibility to either introduce additional forces or to redeploy forces as necessary to counter emerging threats or reinforce successful operations. Bagram, Kandahar, and Bastion are central to the CFACC's air support plan. KAF currently does not have sufficient apron space available for planned counterinsurgency, "seize/hold", and police mentoring/training operations. During this time, other substantial efforts to increase airfield capacity at KAF will be ongoing, including construction of a strategic airlift apron, increased apron space for ISR aircraft.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If additional ISR apron space is not provided at Kandahar, the CFACC will not be able to support increased ground operations in Southern and Eastern Afghanistan. This lack of ISR assets will force the commander to dedicate his resources to ongoing operations and not allow persistent coverage of high threat areas to enable better forecasting of hostile actions or conduct pre-emptive operations and will have lost opportunities due this lack of adequate and timely intelligence.

ADDITIONAL: All required physical security and anti-terrorism / force protection measures will be incorporated. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the development, design, and construction of the project. Joint use potential will be incorporated where feasible. This project has been coordinated with the installation physical security plan, and all physical security measures are included. Alternative methods of meeting this requirement have been explored during project development. This project is the only feasible option to meet the requirement.

1. COMPONENT AIR FORCE	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE (computer generated)					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE						
KANDAHAR AB, AFGHANISTAN ISR APRON EXPANSION						
5. PROGRAM ELEMEN	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)	
27596	113-321	LY	AV103500	40,	000	
12. SUPPLEMENTAL	DATA:					
a. Estimated De	sign Data:					
(1) Status:						
	esign Started			29	-SEP-08	
(b) Parame	tric Cost Estimates us	sed to de	evelop costs		YES	
* (c) Percen	t Complete as of 01 J^{μ}	AN 2009			15%	
* (d) Date 3	5% Designed			18	-MAR-09	
(e) Date Design Complete 30-SEP-09						
(f) Energy	Study/Life-Cycle anal	lysis was	s/will be per	rformed	NO	
(2) Basis:						
(a) Standa	rd or Definitive Desig	n -			NO	
(b) Where	Design Was Most Recent	ly Used				
(3) Total Cos	(c) = (a) + (b) or (a)	d) + (e)):		(\$000)	
(a) Produc	tion of Plans and Spec	cificatio	ons		2,400	
(b) All Ot	ner Design Costs				1,200	
(c) Total					3,600	
(d) Contra	et				3,294	
(e) In-house 306						
(4) Construction Contract Award 10 FEB						
(5) Construct	ion Start				10 MAR	
(6) Construction Completion 12 MAR						

- * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.
- b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations: $\ensuremath{\mathtt{N}/\mathtt{A}}$

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE AIR FORCE (computer generated)

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

KANDAHAR AB, AFGHANISTAN

4. PROJECT TITLE

RELOCATE NORTH AIRFIELD ROAD

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

27596 851-147 LYAV103900 16,000

9. COST ESTIMATES

9. COST ESTI	MAIES)		
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES				12,404
ROADS	SM	36,000	168	(6,048)
BRIDGES	EA	2	3,178,000	(6,356)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				1,649
PAVEMENT MARKINGS	SM	36,000	2	(72)
DEMOLITION	LS			(285)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS & DRAINAGE	LS			(1,292)
SUBTOTAL				14,053
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				703
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				14,756
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (7.7%)				1,136
TOTAL REQUEST				15,892
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				16,000

- 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a 36,000 SM of road pavements, and shoulders heavy vehicle traffic. Work will also include pavement markings, bridges, drainage culverts, and other necessary site improvements. Line item costs in block 9 include contractor overhead and profit. Design costs are included in the total cost of this design/build project.
- 11. Requirement: 36000 SM Adequate: 0 SM Substandard: 0 SM

PROJECT: RELOCATE NORTH AIRFIELD ROAD (NEW MISSION)

REQUIREMENT: Relocate 36,000 SM of the North Airfield Road around the flightline to allow construction of aircraft parking aprons and improve base vehicle circulation.

CURRENT SITUATION: Currently, the north airfield road is dirt and follows the runway. It crosses several access taxiways, presenting security and safety problems. These road intersections require all base personnel to cross active taxiways presenting a significant Foreign Object Debris (FOD) hazard to taxiing aircraft especially in the winter during the rainy season. In addition there is an increased security risk with base personnel having access to these active taxiways during airfield operations potentially involving armed aircraft. Munitiona are currently hauled over a dirt road from the hazardous cargo pad to the munitions storage area (MSA) and from the MSA to the respective armed aircraft parking aprons.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The base will be forced to continue to use the dirt road and cross all the current and under construction access taxiways. The increased risk of FOD damage to an aircraft engine is a grave safety concern. The munitions will continue to be hauled over muddy and rutted dirt roads placing operations at risk. The road will see increasingly more use as as additional munitions meet the 6+ Brigade Combat teams arrive; the already failing transportation network will virtually collapse.

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA				2. DATE	
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)				
3. INSTALLATIO	ON AND I	N AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE				
KANDAHAR AB,	, AFGHANISTAN RELOCATE NORTH AIRFIELD ROAD					ND
5. PROGRAM ELI	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER		8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)
27596		851-147	LYAV103900		16,0	00

ADDITIONAL: All required physical security and anti-terrorism / force protection measures will be incorporated. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the development, design, and construction of the project. Joint use potential will be incorporated where feasible. This project has been coordinated with the installation physical security plan, and all physical security measures are included. Alternative methods of meeting this requirement have been explored during project development. This project is the only feasible option to meet the requirement.

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE							
AIR FORCE	AIR FORCE (computer generated)						
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE							
KANDAHAR AB, AFGHANI	STAN		RELOCATE NO	RTH AIRFIELD F	OAD		
5. PROGRAM ELEMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)		
27596	851-147	LYZ	AV103900	16,	000		
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DAT	A:			•			
a. Estimated Desig	n Data:						
(1) Status:	dtt1				00		
(a) Date Desi	gn Started c Cost Estimates use	مت مدات	veolon gogta	29	-SEP-08		
	c cost Estimates use complete as of 01 JAN		evelop costs		YES 15%		
* (d) Date 35%	_	N 2009		10	15 % -MAR-09		
(e) Date Desi	-				-MAK-09 -SEP-09		
(f) Energy Study/Life-Cycle analysis was/will be performed NO							
(2) Basis:							
, ,	or Definitive Design	n -			NO		
, ,	ign Was Most Recent				2.0		
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d	i) + (e)	:		(\$000)		
(a) Productio	n of Plans and Speci	ificatio	ons		960		
(b) All Other	Design Costs				480		
(c) Total					1,440		
(d) Contract					1,318		
(e) In-house					122		
(4) Construction	Contract Award				10 FEB		
(5) Construction	Start				10 MAR		
(6) Construction	(6) Construction Completion 11 SEP						
_	letion of Project De			etric Cost Es	timate		

- * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.
- b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations: $\ensuremath{\mathtt{N}/\mathtt{A}}$

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA
AIR FORCE (computer generated)

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

KANDAHAR AB, AFGHANISTAN TACTICAL AIRLIFT APRON

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)
27596 113-321 LYAV103200 29,000

4. PROJECT TITLE

9. COST ESTIMATES

TIMMIES	•		
U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
			22,629
			•
SM	35,000	469	(16,415)
SM	13,500	165	(2,228)
SM	8,500	469	(3,987)
			2,958
SM	43,500	5	(218)
EA	60	1,000	(60)
LS			(455)
EA	3	305,000	(915)
LS			(355)
EA	3	240,000	(720)
LS			(235)
			25,587
			1,279
			26,866
'%)			2,069
			28,934
			29,000
	U/M SM SM SM LS EA LS EA	SM 35,000 SM 13,500 SM 8,500 SM 43,500 EA 60 LS EA 3 LS EA 3 LS	U/M QUANTITY COST SM 35,000 469 SM 13,500 165 SM 8,500 469 SM 43,500 5 EA 60 1,000 LS EA 3 305,000 LS EA 3 240,000 LS EA 3 240,000

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a 35,000 SM medium-load paved aircraft apron, connecting taxiways, and shoulders for tactical airlift aircraft. Work will also include pavement markings, edge lighting, high mast apron lighting, utilities (including but not limited to power and electrical connections) and other necessary site improvements. Line item costs in block 9 include contractor overhead and profit. Design costs are included in the total cost of this design/build project.

11. Requirement: 35000 SM Adequate: 0 SM Substandard: 0 SM

PROJECT: TACTICAL AIRLIFT APRON (NEW MISSION)

REQUIREMENT: A fully connected and operable apron sized and designed for 6 tactical airlift aircraft. The Combined Forces Air Component Commander (CFACC) has identified Kandahar Air Field (KAF) as a key airlift hub to support the beddown and sustainment of 6 Brigade Combat Team equivalents into the Southern and Eastern portions of Afghanistan.

CURRENT SITUATION: Planned ground-force plus-ups for Southern and Eastern Afghanistan will increase logistical demand up to 500% just to beddown the added units. Airlift is now and will become increasingly critical, both strategically - getting supplies and personnel into theater - and tactically - getting supplies and personnel into and out of forward operating locations. Currently the base cannot support the planned airlift from existing aprons. KAF currently operates 3 strategic and tactical airlift aprons, which allow parking/offload for 9 aircraft. Of these, the United States typically has 2 on the apron at Kandahar at any one

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

2. DATE

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITAR	2. DATE			
AIR FORCE	(com				
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE					
KANDAHAR AB, A	AL AIRLIFT APRON				
5. PROGRAM ELE	EMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUI	MBER 8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)	
27596	113-321	LYAV10320	29,0	29,000	

time; about 85% below what is currently required (the rest of the available MOG is used by ISAF partner nations, as Kandahar is an ISAF base). This project is necessary to increase force posture in Afghanistan.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If this project is not provided, a huge amount of added strain will be placed on not only ground logistics lines into and out of Afghanistan, but around the Southern and Eastern portions of the country's Ring Road as materials are ground-convoyed to more remote locations. Lacking appropriate airlift infrastructure, this massive ground logistics effort will exponentially slow the planned influx of ground forces into Afghanistan, giving insurgents an opportunity to gain additional ground; it will also place many more logistics convoys in direct harm on what have lately been the most dangerous and vulnerable stretches of Ring Road in the country.

ADDITIONAL: All required physical security and anti-terrorism / force protection measures will be incorporated. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the development, design, and construction of the project. Joint use potential will be incorporated where feasible. This project has been coordinated with the installation physical security plan, and all physical security measures are included. Alternative methods of meeting this requirement have been explored during project development. This project is the only feasible option to meet the requirement.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE								
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)								
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE						•			
KANDAHAR AB, AFGHANISTAN TACTICAL AIRLIFT APRON									
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$					
27596		113-321	LY	AV103200	29,	000			
12. SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:									
a. Estimate	d Design	n Data:							
(1) Statu	s:								
• •	-	gn Started			29	-SEP-08			
		C Cost Estimates use		evelop costs		YES			
• •		omplete as of 01 JAN	1 2009			15%			
* (d) Da		-				-MAR-09			
	-	gn Complete				-SEP-09			
(f) En	ergy St	udy/Life-Cycle analy	sis was	s/will be per	rformed	NO			
(2) Basis	:								
(a) Standard or Definitive Design - NO									
(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used									
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):						(\$000)			
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications						1,740			
(b) Al	1 Other	Design Costs				870			
(c) Total						2,610			
(d) Contract						2,388			
(e) In	-house					222			
(4) Const	ruction	Contract Award				10 FEB			
(5) Construction Start						10 MAR			
(6) Construction Completion 1						12 MAR			
* Indicat	es compl	letion of Project De	efinitio	on with Param	metric Cost Es	timate			

- * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.
- b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations: $\ensuremath{\mathtt{N}/\mathtt{A}}$

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE AIR FORCE (computer generated)

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

KANDAHAR AB, AFGHANISTAN

4. PROJECT TITLE

CARGO HELICOPTER APRON

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)
27596 113-321 LYAV103800 32,000

9. COST ESTIMATES

J. CODI ED.		'		
			UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES				25,987
PAVEMENT	SM	50,200	469	(23,544)
SHOULDERS	SM	7,700	165	(1,271)
CONNECTING TAXIWAYS	SM	2,500	469	(1,173)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				2,279
AIRFIELD PAVEMENT MARKINGS	SM	52,700	5	(264)
GOUNDING AND TIE-DOWN POINTS	EA	60	1,000	(60)
APRON EDGE LIGHTING	LS			(325)
HIGH MAST APRON LIGHTING	EA	3	305,000	(915)
ELEC. (PRODUCTION AND DISTRIBUTION)	LS	İ İ		(385)
DEMOLITION	SM	66,000	5	(330)
SUBTOTAL				28,265
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				1,413
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				29,679
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (7.79	%)			2,285
TOTAL REQUEST				31,964
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				32,000

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a 50,200 SM medium-load paved aircraft apron, connecting taxiways, and shoulders for 10 cargo helicopter aircraft. Work will also include pavement markings, edge lighting, high mast apron lighting, utilities (including but not limited to power and electrical connections) and other necessary site improvements. Line item costs in block 9 include contractor overhead and profit. Design costs are included in the total cost of this design/build project.

11. Requirement: 50200 SM Adequate: 0 SM Substandard: 0 SM

PROJECT: CARGO HELICOPTER APRON (NEW MISSION)

REQUIREMENT: Cargo Helicopter Apron is required to support an increase in cargo into Kandahar as a result of the increase of up to 6 Brigade Combat Team equivalents into the southern portion of Afghanistan. USFOR-A has identified an increase of tactical airflow at Kandahar as a key logistics capability.

CURRENT SITUATION: Kandahar is currently not capable of handling the huge projected increase in cargo flow. Existing cargo helicopter operation is met with expedient facilities and is scoped to handle existing traffic. It is not capable of handling the drastically increased workload. The existing apron will quickly be overwhelmed when operations increase.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If this project is not funded, the commanders in Afghanistan will face unacceptable risk sustaining additional forces because the logistics concept of operations for those forces will be impossible to execute. The facilities at the existing air hubs Bagram and Kandahar are currently

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA				
AIR FORCE (computer generated)					
3. INSTALLATION	AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT T	4. PROJECT TITLE		
KANDAHAR AB, AFG	GHANISTAN	CARGO HELICO	PTER APRON		
5. PROGRAM ELEME	ENT 6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST (\$000)		
27596	113-321	LYAV103800	32,000		

overextended (not able to meet the full daily demand for airlift) and unable to support the demands of additional forces. The required increase to cargo marshalling capacity is in correspondence with and critical to the huge increase airlift capacity.

ADDITIONAL: All required physical security and anti-terrorism / force protection measures will be incorporated. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the development, design, and construction of the project. Joint use potential will be incorporated where feasible. This project has been coordinated with the installation physical security plan, and all physical security measures are included. Alternative methods of meeting this requirement have been explored during project development. This project is the only feasible option to meet the requirement.

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE AIR FORCE (computer generated)						
3. INSTALLATION	ON AND L	OCATION		4. PROJECT	TITLE .	
KANDAHAR AB,	AFGHANIS	TAN		CARGO HELICO	OPTER APRON	
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)
27596		113-321	LYZ	AV103800	32,	000
12. SUPPLEMEN	TAL DATA	\:				
a. Estimate	d Desigr	Data:				
(1) Statu	s:					
(a) Da	te Desig	n Started			29	-SEP-08
(b) Pa	rametrio	: Cost Estimates use	ed to de	evelop costs		YES
* (c) Pe	rcent Co	omplete as of 01 JAN	2009			15%
* (d) Da	te 35% I	esigned			18	-MAR-09
(e) Da	te Desig	n Complete			30	-SEP-09
(f) En	ergy Stu	dy/Life-Cycle analy	sis was	s/will be per	formed	NO
(2) Basis	:					
(a) St	andard o	or Definitive Design	-			NO
(b) Wh	ere Desi	gn Was Most Recentl	y Used			
(3) Total	Cost (c	e) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e)	:		(\$000)
(a) Pr	oduction	of Plans and Speci	ficatio	ons		1,920
(b) Al	1 Other	Design Costs				960
(c) To	tal					2,880
(d) Co	ntract					2,635
(e) In	-house					245
(4) Const	ruction	Contract Award				10 FEB
(5) Const	ruction	Start				10 MAR

- * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.
- b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations: $\ensuremath{\mathtt{N}/\mathtt{A}}$

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE AIR FORCE (computer generated)

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION KANDAHAR AB, AFGHANISTAN

4. PROJECT TITLE

EXPEDITIONARY FIGHTER SHELTER

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)
27596 211-111 LYAV103700 6,400

9. COST ESTIMATES

9. COST ESTI	MATES	j		
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES				3,255
EXPEDITIONARY FIGHTER SHELTER	SM	2,100	1,550	(3,255)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				2,401
UTILITIES (POWER PRODUCTION & DISTRIBUTION)	LS			(305)
UTILITIES (WATER & SEWER)	LS			(225)
FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEM	SM	2,100	343	(720)
PAVEMENTS (ROADS & TOW WAY)	SM	3,845	200	(769)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS & DRAINAGE	LS			(382)
SUBTOTAL				5,656
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				283
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				5,939
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (7.7%)				457
TOTAL REQUEST				6,396
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				6,400

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct two 1,050 SM expeditionary fighter shelters for conducting minor field maintenance on deployed aircraft. Work will include pavements, fire protection system, supporting infrastructure (to include power and electrical connections as appropriate), and other necessary site improvements. Line item costs in block 9 include contractor overhead and profit. Design costs are included in the total cost of this design/build project.

11. Requirement: 2100 SM Adequate: 0 SM Substandard: 0 SM

PROJECT: EXPEDITIONARY FIGHTER SHELTER (NEW MISSION)

REQUIREMENT: Expeditionary Fighter Shelters are required to support increased CAS capability at Kandahar Air Field (KAF). The Combined Forces Air Component Commander (CFACC) has identified KAF as one of a limited number of existing airfields in Afghanistan suitable for CAS operations that will provide maximum operational effectiveness and minimum response-time in support of kinetic ground-force events.

CURRENT SITUATION: CFACC requires beddown of fighter aircraft in Afghanistan in response to current ground-force planning efforts. New apron space, rotary wing operations, and improvements to the nearby munitions storage area; are all efforts critical to a planned increase of up to 6+ Brigade Combat Team equivalents in Afghanistan in the next two years. The rapid increase in CAS aircraft has resulted in insufficient space for maintaining the number of aircraft being deployed. This project provides maintainers the necessary space to sustain OEF forces and to give Commander USFOR-A operational flexibility to either introduce additional forces or to redeploy forces as necessary to counter emerging threats or reinforce successful operations. Kandahar is central to the CFACC's air support plan. KAF currently has no fighter maintenance space available for planned counterinsurgency, "seize/hold", and police mentoring/training operations.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If fighter maintenance space is not provided at Kandahar,

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MIL	2. DATE			
AIR FORCE					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION			4. PROJECT T	ITLE	
KANDAHAR AB, A	AFGHANISTAN		EXPEDITIONARY	FIGHTER SHELT	'ER
5. PROGRAM ELE	EMENT 6. CATEGORY	CODE 7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)
27596	211-111	r rz	AV103700	6,40	00

the CFACC will not be able to support increased ground operations in Southern and Eastern Afghanistan. The lack of adequate aircraft maintenance space will either limit the amount and duration of aircraft that can be deployed or result in aircraft not being deployed to this location, until adequate space can be constructed. All other CAS-suitable airfields in proximity to Afghanistan (outside planned work at Bagram, Kandahar, and Bastion) will require extensive tanker support, and also exceed desired response time to the planned area of operations. An alternate airfield will drive an increase in response to ground-force contact, putting US Forces in increased/prolonged danger after and during insurgent contact. Alternately, the Commander may be forced to support an increase in ground forces with no increase in CAS aircraft on the ground in Afghanistan; this will cause air and ground commanders alike to assume risk in engaging insurgents, in the event no CAS is available to support.

ADDITIONAL: All required physical security and anti-terrorism/force protection measures will be incorporated. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the development, design, and construction of the project. Joint use potential will be incorporated where feasible. This project has been coordinated with the installation physical security plan, and all physical security measures are included. Alternative methods of meeting this requirement have been explored during project development. This project is the only feasible option to meet the requirement.

o develop costs 09 18-1	ST (\$000)				
EXPEDITIONARY FIGHTER SHELL PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COS LYAV103700 6,40 29-3 0 develop costs	SEP-08 YES 15% MAR-09 SEP-09 NO				
PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COS LYAV103700 6,40 29-9 develop costs 18-1 30-9	SEP-08 YES 15% MAR-09 SEP-09 NO				
LYAV103700 6,40 29-5 0 develop costs 09 18-1 30-5	SEP-08 YES 15% MAR-09 SEP-09				
29-9 D develop costs 09 18-1 30-9	SEP-08 YES 15% MAR-09 SEP-09 NO				
o develop costs 09 18-1 30-8	YES 15% MAR-09 SEP-09 NO				
o develop costs 09 18-1 30-8	YES 15% MAR-09 SEP-09 NO				
o develop costs 09 18-1 30-8	YES 15% MAR-09 SEP-09 NO				
o develop costs 09 18-1 30-8	YES 15% MAR-09 SEP-09 NO				
18-1 30-	15 % MAR-09 SEP-09 NO				
18-1 30-:	MAR-09 SEP-09 NO				
30-	SEP-09 NO				
	NO				
	NO				
	NO				
	110				
sed					
(e):	(\$000)				
ations	384				
	192				
	576				
	527				
(e) In-house 49					
(4) Construction Contract Award					
:	10 MAR				
:	11 SEP				

- which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.
- b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations: N/A

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	I

KANDAHAR AB, AFGHANISTAN

4. PROJECT TITLE

SECURE RSOI FACILITY

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)
27596 141-784 LYAV104200 9,700

9. COST ESTIMATES

J. 6651 H.	J 1 1111 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
PRIMARY FACILITIES				6,480	
PASSENGER TERMINAL FACILITY	SM	2,400	2,700	(6,480)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				2,083	
UTILITIES	LS			(398)	
PAVEMENTS	SM	4,595	200	(919)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS & DRAINAGE	LS			(766)	
SUBTOTAL				8,563	
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				428	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				8,991	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (7.	7%)			692	
TOTAL REQUEST				9,683	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				9,700	

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a 2,400 SM pre-engineered metal building or similar type of construction passenger terminal for the reception, staging onward-movement and integration (RSOI) facility. The facility will be sized for both inbound and outbound passenger processing. Work will include pavements, supporting infrastructure (to include power and electrical connections as appropriate), and other necessary site improvements. Line item costs in block 9 include contractor overhead and profit. Design costs are included in the total cost of this design/build project.

11. Requirement: 2400 SM Adequate: 0 SM Substandard: 0 SM

PROJECT: SECURE RSOI FACILITY (NEW MISSION)

REQUIREMENT: Passenger Terminal is required to support an increase in passengers into Kandahar as a result of the increase of up to 6 Brigade Combat Team equivalents into the southern and eastern portions of Afghanistan. The Combined Forces Air Component Commander (CFACC) has identified an increase of strategic and tactical airflow at Kandahar as a key logistics capability.

CURRENT SITUATION: Kandahar is currently not capable of handling the huge projected increase in passenger flow. All passenger processing is currently executed in a building that is shared with the airfield operations personnel. The operation is scoped to handle existing traffic and is not capable of handling the drastically increased workload. Existing operation will quickly be overwhelmed when airlift operations increase.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If this project is not funded, the commanders in Afghanistan will face unacceptable risk sustaining additional forces because the logistics concept of operations for those forces will be impossible to execute. The lack of an adequate facility will require commanders to gain accountability of personnel arriving and departing the airfield through other less exact methods. Personnel transiting through the Kandahar will increasingly be forced outdoors and potentially overflowing into the street. The existing facilities are unable to support the demands of additional forces. The required increase to passenger processing capacity is critical and directly correlates to the huge increase

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA				
AIR FORCE (computer generated)					
3. INSTALLATIO	ON AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT TITLE			
KANDAHAR AB, A	AFGHANISTAN	SECURE RSOI FACILITY			
5. PROGRAM ELE	EMENT 6. CATEGORY COD	7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COS	ST (\$000)		
27596	141-784	LYAV104200 9,70	0		

personnel airlift requirements.

ADDITIONAL: All required physical security and anti-terrorism / force protection measures will be incorporated. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the development, design, and construction of the project. Joint use potential will be incorporated where feasible. This project has been coordinated with the installation physical security plan, and all physical security measures are included. Alternative methods of meeting this requirement have been explored during project development. This project is the only feasible option to meet the requirement.

1. COMPONENT		FY 2010 MILITARY C	ONSTRIIC	TTON PROJECT	DATA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE (computer generated)						
3. INSTALLATIO	N AND I	OCATION		4. PROJECT	TITLE	I.
KANDAHAR AB, A	AFGHANIS	STAN		SECURE RSOI	FACILITY	
5. PROGRAM ELI	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	OST (\$000)
27596		141-784	LY	AV104200	9,	700
12. SUPPLEMENT	FAL DATA	\:				
a. Estimated	d Design	n Data:				
(1) Status	5:					
(a) Dat	te Desig	n Started			29	-SEP-08
(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs						YES
* (c) Percent Complete as of 01 JAN 2009						15%
* (d) Dat	te 35% I	Designed			18	-MAR-09
(e) Dat	te Desig	gn Complete			30	-SEP-09
(f) Ene	ergy Stu	udy/Life-Cycle analy	sis was	s/will be per	rformed	NO
(2) Basis	:					
(a) Sta	andard o	or Definitive Design	n -			NO
(b) Whe	ere Desi	ign Was Most Recentl	Ly Used			
(3) Total	Cost ((a) = (a) + (b) or (a)	i) + (e)):		(\$000)
(a) Pro	oduction	n of Plans and Speci	ificatio	ons		582
(b) Al:	l Other	Design Costs				291
(c) Tot	tal					873
(d) Co	ntract					799
(e) In-	-house					74
(4) Constr	ruction	Contract Award				10 FEB
(5) Consti	ruction	Start				10 MAR
(6) Consti	ruction	Completion				11 SEP
	_	letion of Project De rable to traditional				

- cost and executability.
- $\ensuremath{\text{b.}}$ Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations: N/A

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	

KANDAHAR AB, AFGHANISTAN

4. PROJECT TITLE

AVIATION OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE FACS

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

27596 141-753 LYAV103600 10,500

9. COST ESTIMATES

9. COS1 ES	TIMATES	MAIES				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)		
PRIMARY FACILITIES				5,474		
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE FACILITIES	SM	2,400	2,281	(5,474)		
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				3,785		
UTILITIES (POWER PRODUCTION & DISTRIBUTION)	LS			(850)		
FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEM	LS			(611)		
PAVEMENTS (ROADS & TOW WAY)	SM	8,495	200	(1,699)		
SITE IMPROVEMENTS & DRAINAGE	LS			(625)		
SUBTOTAL				9,259		
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				463		
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				9,722		
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (7.7	%)			749		
TOTAL REQUEST				10,471		
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				10,500		

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct 2,400 SM in aviation operations and maintenance facilities. Work will include pavements, supporting infrastructure (to include power and electrical connections as appropriate), and other necessary site improvements. Line item costs in block 9 include contractor overhead and profit. Design costs are included in the total cost of this design/build project.

11. Requirement: 2400 SM Adequate: 0 SM Substandard: 0 SM

PROJECT: AVIATION OPERATION & MAINTENANCE FACS (NEW MISSION)

REQUIREMENT: Aviation Ops/Maintenance Facilities are required to support increased Close Air Support (CAS) operations. The Combined Forces Air Component Commander (CFACC) has identified Kandahar Air Field (KAF) as one of a limited number of existing airfields in Afghanistan suitable for CAS operations that will provide maximum operational effectiveness and minimum response-time in support of kinetic ground-force events.

CURRENT SITUATION: Kandahar currently does not have any failities for aircraft maintenance operations on deployed CAS aircraft. Maintenance is performed out in the open or deferred until the aircraft can be flown to an airfield where the required maintenance can be conducted.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Kandahar will be unable to adequately support operatrions and maintenance on assigned aircraft forcing these aircraft to rotate to other locations for required maintenance. Remaining aircraft may experience increased amounts of downtime awaiting maintenance. This could result in either aircraft not being deployed to Kandahar to support ground forces or a significant decrease in sortie generation due to lack of maintenance capability.

ADDITIONAL: All required physical security and anti-terrorism/force protection measures will be incorporated. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA				DATA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		(computer generated)				
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE						
				AVIATION OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE FACS		
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT (6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT		ST (\$000)
27596		141-753	L)	AV103600	10,5	500

development, design, and construction of the project. Joint use potential will be incorporated where feasible. This project has been coordinated with the installation physical security plan, and all physical security measures are included. Alternative methods of meeting this requirement have been explored during project development. This project is the only feasible option to meet the requirement.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

333

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE AIR FORCE (computer generated)						
3. INSTALLATI	ON AND I	OCATION		4. PROJECT	PITLE	
KANDAHAR AB,	AFGHANIS	STAN		AVIATION OP	ERATIONS & MAI	NTENANCE
5. PROGRAM EL	5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)					ST (\$000)
27596		141-753	LYZ	AV103600	10,	500
12. SUPPLEMEN	TAL DATA	A:	•			
a. Estimate	d Design	n Data:				
(1) Statu	s:					
(a) Da	te Desig	gn Started			29	-SEP-08
(b) Pa	rametri	c Cost Estimates use	ed to de	evelop costs		YES
* (c) Pe	rcent Co	omplete as of 01 JAN	1 2009			15%
* (d) Da	te 35% 1	Designed			18	-MAR-09
(e) Da	te Desig	gn Complete			30	-SEP-09
(f) En	ergy St	udy/Life-Cycle analy	sis was	s/will be per	rformed	NO
(2) Basis	:					
• •		or Definitive Desigr ign Was Most Recentl				NO
(2) //	.010 205.	Ign was nobe weeding	., obea			
(3) Total	Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (c)	l) + (e)	:		(\$000)
• •		n of Plans and Speci	ification	ons		630
		Design Costs				315
(c) To						945
(-,					865	
(e) In	-house					80
(4) Const	ruction	Contract Award				10 FEB
(5) Const	(5) Construction Start 10 MAR					10 MAR
(6) Const	ruction	Completion				11 SEP

- * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.
- b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations: $\ensuremath{\mathrm{N/A}}$

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	l

SHANK, AFGHANISTAN

4. PROJECT TITLE

CARGO HANDLING AREA

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

27596 452-258 SHNK103100 4,900

9. COST ESTIMATES

U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
			3,725
SM	500	2,050	(1,025)
SM	10,000	270	(2,700)
			602
LS			(240)
LS			(362)
			4,327
			216
			4,543
)			350
			4,893
			4,900
	SM SM LS	SM 500 SM 10,000	U/M QUANTITY COST SM 500 2,050 SM 10,000 270 LS LS

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct 10,000 SM cargo handling area and associated 500 SM cargo warehouse for both inbound and outbound cargo processing. Work will include pavements, supporting infrastructure (to include power and electrical connections as appropriate), and other necessary site improvements. Line item costs in block 9 include contractor overhead and profit. Design costs are included in the total cost of this design/build project.

11. Requirement: 10000 SM Adequate: 0 SM Substandard: 0 SM

PROJECT: CARGO HANDLING AREA (NEW MISSION)

REQUIREMENT: A Cargo Handling Area is required to support an increase in cargo into FOB Shank as a result of the increase of up to 6 Brigade Combat Team equivalents into the southern and eastern portions of Afghanistan. The Combined Forces Air Component Commander (CFACC) has identified an increase of tactical airflow at Shank as a key logistics capability.

CURRENT SITUATION: FOB Shank is currently not capable of handling the huge projected increase in cargo flow. Existing site has only expedient cargo handling capability and very limited capacity. The existing area will quickly be overwhelmed when operations increase.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If this project is not funded, the commanders in Afghanistan will face unacceptable risk sustaining additional forces because the logistics concept of operations for those forces will be impossible to execute. The facilities at the existing air hubs Bagram and Kandahar are currently overextended (not able to meet the full daily demand for airlift) and unable to support the demands of additional forces. The required increase to cargo handling capacity is in correspondence with and critical to the huge increase in airlift capacity.

ADDITIONAL: All required physical security and anti-terrorism / force protection measures will be incorporated. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the development, design, and construction of the project. Joint use potential will be

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY	r data	2. DATE	
AIR FORCE	(comp			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE				
SHANK, AFGHANISTAN CARGO HANDLING AREA				
5. PROGRAM ELE	EMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COS	T (\$000)
27596	452-258	SHNK103100	4,90	0

incorporated where feasible. This project has been coordinated with the installation physical security plan, and all physical security measures are included. Alternative methods of meeting this requirement have been explored during project development. This project is the only feasible option to meet the requirement.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements.

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

1. COMPONENT		FY 2010 MILITARY CO	ONSTRUC	TION PROJECT	DATA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE	AIR FORCE (computer generated)					
3. INSTALLATIO	N AND I	OCATION		4. PROJECT	TITLE	I
SHANK, AFGHANI	STAN			CARGO HANDL	ING AREA	
5. PROGRAM ELI	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)
27596		452-258	-258 SHNK103100			900
12. SUPPLEMENT	FAL DATA	\:			1	
a. Estimated	d Design	n Data:				
(1) Status	5:					
(a) Dat	te Desig	gn Started			29	-SEP-08
(b) Par	rametrio	Cost Estimates use	ed to de	evelop costs		YES
* (c) Per	rcent Co	omplete as of 01 JAN	1 2009			15%
* (d) Dat	te 35% I	Designed			18	-MAR-09
(e) Dat	te Desig	gn Complete			30	-SEP-09
(f) Ene	ergy Sti	udy/Life-Cycle analy	ysis was	s/will be per	formed	NO
(2) Basis	:					
(a) Sta	andard o	or Definitive Design	n -			NO
(b) Whe	ere Desi	ign Was Most Recentl	Ly Used			
(3) Total	Cost ((a) = (a) + (b) or (a)	i) + (e)):		(\$000)
(a) Pro	oduction	n of Plans and Speci	ificatio	ons		294
(b) Al:	l Other	Design Costs				147
(c) Tot	tal					441
(d) Coi	ntract					404
(e) In	-house					37
(4) Constr	ruction	Contract Award				10 FEB
(5) Consti	ruction	Start				10 MAR
(6) Consti	ruction	Completion				11 MAR
	_	letion of Project De rable to traditional				

- cost and executability.
- $\ensuremath{\text{b.}}$ Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations: N/A

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	

4. PROJECT TITLE

TARIN KOWT, AFGHANISTAN

CARGO HANDLING AREA

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

27596 452-258 TRKT103100 4,900

9. COST ESTIMATES

]				
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES				3,725
CARGO WAREHOUSE AND OFFICES	SM	500	2,050	(1,025)
PAVED CARGO MARSHALLING YARD	SM	10,000	270	(2,700)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				602
UTILITIES (ELEC. PRODUCTION & DISTRIBUTION)	LS			(240)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS & DRAINAGE	LS			(362)
SUBTOTAL				4,327
CONTINGENCY (5.0%)				216
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				4,543
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (7.7%)			350
TOTAL REQUEST				4,893
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				4,900

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct 10,000 SM cargo handling area and associated 500 SM cargo warehouse for both inbound and outbound cargo processing. Work will include pavements, supporting infrastructure (to include power and electrical connections as appropriate), and other necessary site improvements. Line item costs in block 9 include contractor overhead and profit. Design costs are included in the total cost of this design/build project.

11. Requirement: 10000 SM Adequate: 0 SM Substandard: 0 SM

PROJECT: CARGO HANDLING AREA (NEW MISSION)

REQUIREMENT: Cargo Handling Area is required to support an increase in cargo into Tarin Kowt as a result of the increase of up to 6 Brigade Combat Team equivalents into the southern and eastern portions of Afghanistan. The Combined Forces Air Component Commander (CFACC) has identified an increase of tactical airflow at Tarin Kowt as a key logistics capability.

CURRENT SITUATION: Tarin Kowt is currently not capable of handling the huge projected increase in cargo flow. Existing site has only expedient cargo handling capability and very limited capacity. The existing area will quickly be overwhelmed when operations increase.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If this project is not funded, the commanders in Afghanistan will face unacceptable risk sustaining additional forces because the logistics concept of operations for those forces will be impossible to execute. The facilities at the existing air hubs Bagram and Kandahar are currently overextended (not able to meet the full daily demand for airlift) and unable to support the demands of additional forces. The required increase to cargo marshalling capacity is in correspondence with and critical to the huge increase airlift capacity.

ADDITIONAL: All required physical security and anti-terrorism / force protection measures will be incorporated. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the development, design, and construction of the project. Joint use potential will be

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

Page No.

338

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY	T DATA	2. DATE	
AIR FORCE	(comp			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE				
TARIN KOWT, AFGHANISTAN CARGO HANDLING AREA				
5. PROGRAM ELE	EMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT CO		ST (\$000)
27596	452-258	TRKT103100	4,90	00

incorporated where feasible. This project has been coordinated with the installation physical security plan, and all physical security measures are included. Alternative methods of meeting this requirement have been explored during project development. This project is the only feasible option to meet the requirement.

JOINT USE CERTIFICATION: This facility can be used by other components on an "as available" basis; however, the scope of the project is based on Air Force requirements.

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATE AIR FORCE (computer generated)						
AIR FORCE		(compute	er gene	rated)		
3. INSTALLATIO	ON AND L	OCATION		4. PROJECT	FITLE	
TARIN KOWT, A	FGHANIST	'AN		CARGO HANDL	ING AREA	
5. PROGRAM EL	5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000					
27596		452-258	TRI	KT103100	4,:	900
12. SUPPLEMEN	TAL DATA	λ:				
a. Estimate	d Design	n Data:				
(1) Statu	s:					
	_	n Started			29	-SEP-08
(b) Pa	rametrio	Cost Estimates use	ed to de	evelop costs		YES
* (c) Pe	rcent Co	omplete as of 01 JAN	1 2009			15%
* (d) Da	te 35% I	Designed			18	-MAR-09
(e) Da	te Desig	n Complete			30	-SEP-09
(f) En	ergy Stu	dy/Life-Cycle analy	sis was	s/will be per	formed	NO
(2) Basis	:					
(a) St	andard o	or Definitive Design	ı -			NO
(b) Wh	ere Desi	lgn Was Most Recentl	y Used			
(3) Total	Cost ((a) = (a) + (b) or (d)	l) + (e)	:		(\$000)
(a) Pr	oduction	of Plans and Speci	fication	ons		294
		Design Costs				147
(c) To	tal					441
` '	ntract					404
(e) In	-house					37
(4) Const	ruction	Contract Award				10 FEB
(5) Construction Start 10 MAR					10 MAR	
(6) Const	ruction	Completion				11 MAR
	_	etion of Project De				

- cost and executability.
- $\ensuremath{\text{b.}}$ Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations: N/A

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99 Previous editions are obsolete.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION FOB WOLVERINE, AFGHANISTAN

4. PROJECT TITLE
CARGO HANDLING AREA

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)

27596 452-258 ACC104410 4,900

9. COST ESTIMATES

U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
			3,725
SM	500	2,050	(1,025)
SM	10,000	270	(2,700)
			602
LS			(240)
LS			(362)
			4,327
			216
			4,543
)			350
			4,893
			4,900
	SM SM LS	SM 500 SM 10,000	U/M QUANTITY COST SM 500 2,050 SM 10,000 270 LS LS

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct 10,000 SM cargo handling area and associated 500 SM cargo warehouse for both inbound and outbound cargo processing. Work will include pavements, supporting infrastructure (to include power and electrical connections as appropriate), and other necessary site improvements. Line item costs in block 9 include contractor overhead and profit. Design costs are included in the total cost of this design/build project.

11. Requirement: 10000 SM Adequate: 0 SM Substandard: 0 SM

PROJECT: CARGO HANDLING AREA (NEW MISSION)

REQUIREMENT: A Cargo Handling Area is required to support an increase in cargo into FOB Wolverine as a result of the increase of up to 6 Brigade Combat Team equivalents into the southern and eastern portions of Afghanistan. The Combined Forces Air Component Commander (CFACC) has identified an increase of tactical airflow at Wolverine as a key logistics capability.

CURRENT SITUATION: FOB Wolverine is currently not capable of handling the huge projected increase in cargo flow. Existing site has only expedient cargo handling capability and very limited capacity. The existing area will quickly be overwhelmed when operations increase.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If this project is not funded, the commanders in Afghanistan will face unacceptable risk sustaining additional forces because the logistics concept of operations for those forces will be impossible to execute. The facilities at the existing air hubs Bagram and Kandahar are currently overextended (not able to meet the full daily demand for airlift) and unable to support the demands of additional forces. The required increase to cargo marshalling capacity is in correspondence with and critical to the huge increase airlift capacity.

ADDITIONAL: All required physical security and anti-terrorism / force protection measures will be incorporated. Sustainable principles will be integrated into the development, design, and construction of the project. Joint use potential will be

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.

1. COMPONENT	FY 2010 MIL	2. DATE					
AIR FORCE		(computer ge	er generated)				
3. INSTALLATIO	ON AND LOCATION		4. PROJECT TITLE				
FOB WOLVERINE	FOB WOLVERINE, AFGHANISTAN			CARGO HANDLING AREA			
5. PROGRAM ELI	EMENT 6. CATEGORY	CODE 7. PRO	OJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST (\$000)				
27596	452-258	3 A	CC104410	4,90	00		

incorporated where feasible. This project has been coordinated with the installation physical security plan, and all physical security measures are included. Alternative methods of meeting this requirement have been explored during project development. This project is the only feasible option to meet the requirement.

1. COMPONENT FY 2010 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 2. DATA AIR FORCE (computer generated)							
3. INSTALLATIO	ON AND L	OCATION		4. PROJECT	TITLE		
FOB WOLVERINE	, AFGHAN	ISTAN		CARGO HANDL	ING AREA		
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROC	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT CO	ST (\$000)	
27596		452-258	AC	C104410	4,	4,900	
12. SUPPLEMEN	TAL DATA	λ:					
a. Estimate	d Design	n Data:					
(1) Statu							
(a) Date Design Started						29-SEP-08	
(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs						YES	
* (c) Percent Complete as of 01 JAN 2009						15%	
* (d) Date 35% Designed						18-MAR-09	
(e) Date Design Complete						-SEP-09	
(f) En	ergy Stı	udy/Life-Cycle analy	rsis was	s/will be per	rformed	NO	
(2) Basis	:						
` ,		or Definitive Design				NO	
(b) Wh	ere Desi	lgn Was Most Recentl	y Used				
(3) Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):						(\$000)	
(a) Pr	oduction	n of Plans and Speci	ficatio	ons		294	
(b) Al	1 Other	Design Costs				147	
(c) To	tal					441	
(d) Contract						404	
(e) In	-house					37	
	ruction	Contract Award				10 FEB	
(4) Consti							
(4) Const	ruction	Start				10 MAR	

- * Indicates completion of Project Definition with Parametric Cost Estimate which is comparable to traditional 35% design to ensure valid scope, cost and executability.
- b. Equipment associated with this project provided from other appropriations: $\ensuremath{\mathtt{N}/\mathtt{A}}$

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE							
AIR FORCE	IR FORCE (computer generated)								
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION					4. PROJECT TITLE				
HQ USAF, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA				PLANNING AND DESIGN - FY10 OCOR					
5. PROGRAM EL	5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT			8. PROJECT C	COST (\$000)				
91211	91211 102-11 PAYZ1000:		0010	35,000					
9. COST ESTIMATES									
ITEM			U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)			
PRIMARY FACILITIES							35,000		
PLANNING AND DESIGN				LS			(35,000)		
SUPPORTING FACILITIES							0		
SUBTOTAL							35,000		
TOTAL CONTRACT COST							35,000		
TOTAL REQUEST							35,000		
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)							35,000		

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Planning and Design Funds for FY10 WAR Supplemental MILCON Projects

11. Requirement: Adequate: Substandard:

PROJECT: As required

REQUIREMENT: Planning and Design (P&D) Funds for projects at various AOR CENTCOM locations (most projects support OEF); P&D for FY10 OCOR.

DD FORM 1391, DEC 99

Previous editions are obsolete.